

## Advection-Diffusion Equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho + V \cdot \frac{d}{dx}\rho = k \cdot \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\rho$$

Look at a general form of an Explicit difference equation

$$\frac{\rho(x, t + \Delta t) - \rho(x, t)}{\Delta t} + V \cdot \frac{w \cdot \rho(x + \Delta x, t) + (1 - w) \cdot \rho(x, t) - w \cdot \rho(x, t) - (1 - w) \cdot \rho(x - \Delta x, t)}{\Delta x} = k \cdot \left( \frac{\rho(x + \Delta x, t) + 2 \cdot \rho(x, t) + \rho(x - \Delta x, t)}{\Delta x^2} \right)$$

Substitute in a perturbed density

$$\rho(x, t) := \rho_0 + \delta\rho(t) \cdot e^{i \cdot k \cdot x}$$

and divide the entire equation by  $e^{ikx}$

$$\frac{\delta\rho(t + \Delta t) - \delta\rho(t)}{\Delta t} + \delta\rho(t) \cdot V \cdot \frac{w \cdot e^{i \cdot k \cdot \Delta x} + 1 - 2w - (1 - w) \cdot e^{-i \cdot k \cdot \Delta x}}{\Delta x} = k \cdot \delta\rho(t) \cdot \left( \frac{e^{i \cdot k \cdot \Delta x} \cdot -2 + e^{-i \cdot k \cdot \Delta x}}{\Delta x^2} \right)$$

$$\text{let } c = \frac{V \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x} \quad \gamma = \frac{k \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x^2}, \text{ and } \Theta = k \cdot \Delta x$$

$$\delta\rho(t + \Delta t) = \left[ \left[ 1 + 2 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\cos(\Theta) - 1) - c \cdot (2 \cdot w - 1) \cdot (\cos(\Theta) - 1) \right] - c \cdot i \cdot \sin(\Theta) \right] \cdot \delta\rho(t)$$

The amplification factor is

$$G = \left[ 1 + (2 \cdot \gamma - 2 \cdot w \cdot c + c) \cdot (\cos(\Theta) - 1) \right] - c \cdot i \cdot \sin(\Theta)$$

Examining  $G$ , stability is not possible if the magnitude of either the real or imaginary parts exceeds 1  
The imaginary term leads to the requirement that  $c$  be less than one

$$\frac{V \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x} \leq 1 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{solve, } \Delta t \\ \text{assume, } \Delta x > 0 \rightarrow \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x}{V} \\ \text{assume, } V > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

For the real term to be less than one we need:

$$2 \cdot \gamma - 2 \cdot w \cdot c + c \geq 0$$

which restricts possible weighting factors. For the real term to be greater than -1 we need:

$$1 - 2 \cdot (2 \cdot \gamma - 2 \cdot w \cdot c + c) \geq -1$$

$$1 - 2 \cdot \left( 2 \cdot \frac{k \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} - 2 \cdot w \cdot \frac{V \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x} + \frac{V \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta x} \right) \geq -1$$

or

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x^2}{2 \cdot k - 2 \cdot w \cdot V \cdot \Delta x + V \cdot \Delta x}$$

Note that the previous two conditions are necessary for stability but not sufficient. Fine tuning the stability conditions requires a little more finesse.

The product of G and its complex conjugate is

$$[1 + (2\gamma - 2w \cdot c + c) \cdot (\cos(\Theta) - 1)]^2 + c^2 \cdot [1 - \cos^2(\Theta)]$$

Let  $\mu = \cos(\Theta)$  and look the extreme values of  $|G|^2$  with respect to  $\mu$

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ [1 + (2\gamma - 2w \cdot c + c) \cdot (\mu - 1)]^2 + c^2 \cdot (1 - \mu^2) \right] = 0 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{solve, } \mu \\ \text{simplify} \end{array} \right. \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1 - 2\gamma + 2w \cdot c - c) \cdot \frac{(-2\gamma + 2w \cdot c - c)}{(\gamma^2 - 2\gamma \cdot w \cdot c + \gamma \cdot c + w^2 \cdot c^2 - w \cdot c^2)}$$

Try the case of central differencing

$$\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{(-2\gamma + 2w \cdot c - c + 4\gamma^2 - 8\gamma \cdot w \cdot c + 4\gamma \cdot c + 4w^2 \cdot c^2 - 4w \cdot c^2 + c^2)}{(\gamma^2 - 2\gamma \cdot w \cdot c + \gamma \cdot c + w^2 \cdot c^2 - w \cdot c^2)} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{substitute, } w = \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{simplify} \end{array} \right. \rightarrow -2\gamma \cdot \frac{(-1 + 2\gamma)}{(-4\gamma^2 + c^2)} = \blacksquare$$

This gives a extreme for  $|G|^2$  when

$$\mu = \frac{(4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma)}{(4\gamma^2 - c^2)} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\mu = \frac{(4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma)}{[4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma + (2\gamma - c^2)]}$$

or

$$\mu = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2\gamma - c^2}{4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma}}$$

To make useful statements about stability we need a general idea of the behavior of  $|G|^2$ . First notice that  $|G|^2 \geq 0$  for  $-1 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , and when  $|G|^2 = 1$ :

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ [1 + 2\gamma \cdot (\mu - 1)]^2 + c^2 \cdot (1 - \mu^2) \right] \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{simplify} \\ \text{collect, } \mu \\ \text{substitute, } \mu = 1 \end{array} \right. \rightarrow -2 \cdot c^2 + 4\gamma$$

$$[1 + 2\gamma \cdot (\mu - 1)]^2 + c^2 \cdot (1 - \mu^2) \quad \text{substitute, } \mu = 1 \rightarrow 1$$

With bounding values and the slope at one end of the interval, the next thing to explore is the location and nature of the

maximum or minimum of  $|G|^2$ . First note that  $4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma$  is always less than or equal to zero since  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

When  $2\gamma - c^2 \geq 0$  or  $c^2 \leq 2\gamma$   $\mu$  of the extreme value is greater than one. It is a maximum

rather than minimum because the derivative of  $|G|^2$  is positive and  $|G|^2 = 1$  when  $\mu = 1$ . Further behavior in this situation can be studied in three regions.

Region 1

$$0 \geq \frac{2\gamma - c^2}{4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma} \geq -1 \quad \text{corresponding to } c^2 \leq 2\gamma \text{ and } c \leq 2\gamma$$

In this case  $|G|^2$  is monotonically increasing between  $\mu = -1$  and  $\mu = 1$ , so has a maximum value of 1 (Remember that  $|G|^2$  is greater than zero between  $\mu = -1$  and  $\mu = 1$ ).

Region 2

$$-1 > \frac{2\gamma - c^2}{4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma} > -2$$

Here  $|G|^2$  has a minimum at  $\mu < -1$ . This means that the maximum value of  $|G|^2$  must again be 1 at  $\mu = 1$ .

Region 3

$$-2 \geq \frac{2\gamma - c^2}{4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma} > -\infty$$

Here a local minimum exists in  $|G|^2$  at a value of  $\mu$  between -1 and 0. Since  $|G|^2$  is always greater than zero, the largest possible values of  $|G|^2$  are at  $\mu = -1$  or  $\mu = 1$ . At  $\mu = -1$

$$|G^2| = [1 + 2\gamma \cdot (-2)]^2 \leq 1 \text{ which requires } \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x^2}{2 \cdot k}$$

What happens when  $c^2 > 2\gamma$  Look at the details of the derivative of  $|G|^2$

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ [1 + 2\gamma \cdot (\mu - 1)]^2 + c^2 \cdot (1 - \mu^2) \right] \begin{array}{l} \text{simplify} \\ \text{collect, } \mu \end{array} \rightarrow (8\gamma^2 - 2c^2) \cdot \mu + 4\gamma - 8\gamma^2 = \blacksquare$$

When  $\mu = 1$  and  $c^2 > 2\gamma$  this slope is negative. However,  $G$  is one when  $\mu$  is one, so  $G$  must be greater than one for some range of  $\mu$ .

All of this leads us to two conditions that are necessary and sufficient for stability. The first is the standard condition for conduction:

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta x^2}{2 \cdot k}$$

and from  $c^2 \leq 2\gamma$  we get:

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{2 \cdot k}{V^2}$$

Note that for a pure convection problem ( $k=0$ ) this gives the result that central differencing is unstable.

Also note that this is not the full story. The cell Peclet number (or cell Reynolds number is defined as:

$$Pe = \frac{2 \cdot c}{\gamma} \text{ or } Pe = \frac{V \cdot \Delta x}{k}$$

we will later see that boundary conditions can add a stability requirement  $Pe \leq 2$