

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1281154-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 10

- Page 92 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 93 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 94 ~ Duplicate;
- Page 120 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 121 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 122 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 123 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 124 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 125 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;
- Page 131 ~ b6; b7C; b7D;

```
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X  
X For this Page X  
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
```

FBI

Date: 1/4/67

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

[Handwritten signature]

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-16469) ¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁷⁷⁸ ?
ATTENTION: FBI LABORATORY

FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (166-585) (P)

521278

SUBJECT: HOMEX
ITAR-EXTORTION
OO: New York

Re: Charlotte airtel to the Bureau, 5/18/66;
FBI Latent Fingerprint report dated 6/7/66 (Latent Case #67903).

166-1834
BF

Enclosed for the FBI Laboratory are the following-described items:

1.) Cellophane evidence packet containing the following five (5) identification cards:

- Chicago PD: Sgt. [Redacted]
- New York City PD: Det. Sgt. [Redacted]
- Miami PD: Sgt. [Redacted]
- Miami Beach PD: Sgt. [Redacted]
- Washington MPD: Det. [Redacted]

b6
b7C

2.) Cellophane packet containing specimens taken 1/4/67 from Olympia typewriter, SN 2019807, with said specimens facsimiles of above ~~and~~ forth names.

- 3 - Bureau (Enc. 3) ^(RM)
- 2 - Charlotte (166-182)
- 1 - Dallas (info)
- 1 - Newark (166-545) (info)
- 1 - New York (166-1195) (info)
- 1 - Philadelphia (166-432) (info)
- 1 - Chicago

ENCLOSURE

REC-57 166-1778-280

ST-105

JAN 6 1967

RPC/pjn
(10)

E.C. Wick

[Handwritten signature]

COPY & SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB FOR LAB ACTION & REPORT

INDEXED FILES

Appr ⁵³ 62 JAN 25 1967
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

CG 166-585

3.) Cellophane packet containing carbon paper samples from the above Olympia typewriter.

On 6/24/66, JOHN J. PYNE, former Chicago Police Officer and alleged leader of a nationwide homosexual extortion ring, was arrested by the Chicago office of the FBI at his residence 10458 South Claremont Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

Pursuant to a residence search incidental to PYNE's arrest the enclosed police identification cards were located. Numerous other such cards were found but were still blank. An Olympia typewriter was also seized from PYNE's residence which bore the following identifying data:

Olympia Werke Ag.
Wilhelmshaven
Made in West Germany

Inter-Continental ^{TRADING} Traveling Corporation
90 West Street
New York 6, N.Y.

Serial Number 20190807

The FBI Laboratory is requested to conduct the following examinations:

1) Compare the facsimile typed specimens with the typewriting on the five (5) police identification cards.

2) Search the above-described Olympia typewriter through the National Stolen Property File.

3) Compare the facsimile specimens with any typewriting which appears on the items previously submitted by Charlotte in the referenced airtel. These latter items being various police papers maintained in a so-called "international brief case"

b7D

CG 166-585

Report results to Chicago, Charlotte, Dallas, Neward, New York and Philadelphia. Return evidence to Chicago.

Charlotte should review its HOMEX file to make certain the FBI Laboratory is in possession of the original papers found in the "international brief case" mentioned above.

The extensive delay in the submission of the requested examination is noted. However, the five (5) police identification cards were ~~returned~~ ^{RETAINED} by the Chicago office at the specific direction of AUSA PATRICK F. HEALY, Chicago. When AUSA PATRICK F. HEALY resigned in early 12/66 his successor in these cases, AUSA MICHAEL B. NASH, also requested same be ~~returned~~ ^{RETAINED} for his perusal.

Chicago is forwarding this Laboratory Examination request under the HOMEX control file as JOHN J. PYNE is the subject in numerous cases throughout the Bureau and to include each and every title would not be feasible.

Offices receiving this communication as well as the ensuing FBI Laboratory report should include same in pertinent files.

Pyne
Sub 166-1821
166-5-5 St Louis

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE JAN 12 1967	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 9/16 - 12/20/66
TITLE OF CASE CHANGED		REPORT MADE BY SA EUGENE C. GIES	TYPED BY feg
[Redacted] aka Homex		CHARACTER OF CASE ITAR - EXTORTION	
UNSUB, aka [Redacted]		b6	
UNSUB: [Redacted] - VICTIM		b7C	

The title is "Changed" to reflect additional aliases of [Redacted] as [Redacted] and [Redacted] reflected in the details of this report or located on section of Identification Record not to be disseminated outside FBI and, therefore, not reflected in the report.

APPROVED	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
COPIES MADE: 5 - Bureau (1-166-1778) (HOMEX) 1 - USA, Washington, D. C. (ATTN : AUSA DONALD S. SMITH) 3 - Washington Field (166-713) (1-166-591) continued on cover page B	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report	
Agency	
Request Recd.	
Date Fwd.	
How Fwd.	
By	EHW

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
166-1778-	
NOT RECORDED	
JAN 16 1967	
Notations	

166-2810-110
ORIGINAL FILED IN

65 JAN 31 1967

WFO 166-713

- 2 - Atlanta
- 4 - Baltimore (166-501)
(1-USA, Baltimore, Maryland)
(1-166-431) (HOMEX)
- 3 - Charlotte
(1-166-182) (HOMEX)
- 3 - Chicago
(1-166-585) (HOMEX)
- 2 - Cincinnati
- 2 - Cleveland
- 2 - Dallas
- 2 - Denver
- 2 - Houston
- 2 - Las Vegas
- 2 - Los Angeles
- 2 - Louisville
- 2 - Miami
- 2 - New Orleans
- 5 - New York (166-1450)
(2-USA, SDNY)
(1-166-1195) (HOMEX)
- 2 - Pittsburgh
- 4 - Richmond (166-389)
(1-USA, Alexandria, Virginia)
(1-166-335) (HOMEX)
- 2 - Salt Lake City
- 2 - San Francisco

REFERENCES: BAairtel to Director, 9/17/66;
SFairtel to Baltimore, 9/20/66;
WFOteletype to Director 9/22/66;
WFOairtel to Richmond 9/22/66;
WFO FD 65 9/22/66;
RHairtel to Director 9/23/66;
BAairtel to Director, 9/26/66;
BAairtel to WFO 9/29/66;
NYairtel to Director 9/29/66;
BAlet to WFO 9/29/66;
RHrep of SA JOHN L. LYNCH 9/30/66;
BAairtel to WFO 10/3/66;
WFOairtel to San Francisco 10/4/66;
BAairtel to Director 10/5/66;

WFO 166-713

WFOairtel to Director 10/7/66;
CGairtel to WFO 10/12/66;
BA LHM 10/13/66;
BAairtel to Director 10/13/66;
BAlet to WFO 10/28/66;
WFOairtel to Director 11/7/66;
BAlet to WFO 11/18/66;
BAlet to WFO 11/21/66;
CGlet to WFO 11/25/66;
RHlet to WFO 11/30/66;
NYairtel to WFO 12/7/66;
WFOairtel to Director, 12/29/66.

- P -

ENCLOSURES

TO RICHMOND

One photograph of [redacted] FBI
Number [redacted] taken 5/8/64, at Colorado State
Penitentiary, and one photograph of [redacted]
FBI Number [redacted] taken 2/1/51, at Metropolitan Police
Department, Washington, D. C.

b6
b7c

ADMINISTRATIVE

Additional copies of this report are afforded
the Bureau to facilitate dissemination to the Department of
Justice if desired.

The prosecutive authorization of AUSA GEOFFREY M.
ALPRIN, Washington, D. C., on 9/22/66, in connection with
[redacted] was confirmed by separate letter of WFO
dated 9/28/66, as well as by Richmond report of SA JOHN L.
LYNCH dated 9/30/66, reflecting apprehension of [redacted]

b6
b7c

By letter dated 9/29/66, a stop was placed by WFO
with the Passport Office, U. S. Department of State,
Washington, D. C., in order that WFO might be notified in the
event [redacted] applies for a passport at any future
date.

WFO 166-713

On 9/23/66, [redacted] (protect identity)

[redacted] Virginia, confidentially informed SA JOHN L. LYNCH of the Richmond Office (Alexandria, Virginia Resident Agency) that during [redacted]

[redacted] a number of photographs [redacted]

[redacted] made these photographs available to SA LYNCH, eight of which were forwarded by mail by SA LYNCH to SA CHARLES M. FLEURY of the Baltimore Office (Silver Spring, Maryland Resident Agency) for display to the victim in an effort to identify the unknown subjects. These are color photographs further identified as follows:

- 1., 2. and 3. are of a white male, different poses, with light brown hair, and wearing a white T-shirt, blue trousers, and dark glasses.
4. is of a white male with brown hair, wearing a white sport shirt, blue trousers, and sunglasses
5. is of a white male sitting in a fast-back sports car
6. is of a group of individuals standing around a card table apparently under a covered patio.
7. is a white male, wearing swimming trunks, lying in a stream of water
8. is of a white male, wearing swimming trunks, standing in a stream of water.

On 9/24/66, the described photographs were displayed to the victim by SA FLEURY at which time the victim stated the white male individual with light brown hair, wearing white T-shirt, blue trousers, and dark glasses as depicted in the photographs numbered 1, 2 and 3, closely resembled the unknown male who approached him on the street in Washington, D. C., [redacted] had previously referred to this man as Unknown Man No. 1, also known as [redacted] He said he feels 90% certain that the above three photographs are of

b6
b7C
b7D

b6
b7C
b7D

WFO 166-713

Unknown Man No. 1. He further advised that the second man from the left in the photograph of the group, mentioned above as number 6, somewhat resembles Unknown Subject previously referred to him as Unknown Man No. 2. He said the general features of this man somewhat resemble those of No. 2 man and that it is possible that they are identical.

b6
b7C

[redacted] recognized photograph No. 4, the white male, wearing white sport shirt, blue pants, and dark glasses as being subject [redacted] the number 3 man. He said also that the man depicted in photograph number 8, standing in the stream of water, with swimming trunks on, appeared to be [redacted]

It is noted that the above photographs are colored and that photographs 5 through 8 are not of good quality and do not appear to be good photographs for identification purposes.

On 9/26/66, SA LYNCH was informed directly by SA FLEURY of the results of the display of the photographs to the victim and the photographs were returned directly to SA LYNCH by mail.

On 9/29/66, the Baltimore Office forwarded black and white copies of photographs numbers 1, 2 and 3, as reflected above, to WFO for investigative assistance. Examination of these photographs reveals they resemble suspect [redacted] FBI Number [redacted] whose photograph has been tentatively identified by the victim, when displayed by WFO as reflected in the details of this report.

b6
b7C

On 9/21/66, [redacted] stated to SA EUGENE C. GIES that he could provide no information concerning the captioned case, and that he is not acquainted with [redacted]. Informant stated that he believed [redacted] is acquainted with [redacted] and that he suspected [redacted] was in the Washington, D. C., area during the pertinent period of the extortion plot. Informant based his suspicion of [redacted] presence in Washington upon the fact that during the pertinent week [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] Informant noted this was [redacted]

[redacted] Informant
called attention to [redacted] letter [redacted] dated [redacted]
(previously furnished by informant) in which [redacted] stated
his intention of [redacted]
[redacted] Informant reiterated a previously made
statement that [redacted]
[redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

It is noted that [redacted] is presently in custody
of the New York City, New York authorities, having been
recently arrested, [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] It is further noted that the victim
felt that [redacted] were definitely not involved
when photographs of these individuals were displayed to him
as reflected in the details of this report.

By referenced LHM dated 10/13/66, the Baltimore
Office reported that on 10/10/66, the victim on his own
initiative had telephonically contacted SA FLEURY and had
requested advice as to whether or not he should withdraw
an application for federal employment in view of the informa-
tion now in the possession of the FBI regarding his homo-
sexual tendencies. Victim stated he had applied for a position
in the field of [redacted] Victim did not
name the agency of the United States Government with which he
had applied for employment. Victim was informed by SA FLEURY
that no advice on this matter could be given.

b6
b7C

The above facts were set forth in LHM form in the
event the Bureau might desire to disseminate the information
contained therein to the Civil Service Commission.

The victim was not specifically questioned concerning
the federal agency to which he had applied for employment,
due to the fact that to do so might well have caused him to
become belligerent and uncooperative as a witness and might,
therefore, jeopardize the prosecution of this case. It is
noted that the victim had originally expressed serious mis-
givings about being a witness because it would necessitate
the disclosure of his homosexual tendencies and cause him
great embarrassment, but after serious thought he agreed

WFO 166-713

to cooperate as a witness in this case.

By airtel to the Baltimore Office dated 9/20/66, the San Francisco Office (SFfile 166-283) reported that [redacted] was then considered a suspect in the case involving the compromise of one [redacted] California, [redacted] in a mens room incident at San Francisco, California, and the successful extortion of [redacted] from him that date by a person representing himself to be one Sergeant [redacted] Vice Squad, San Francisco Police Department.

b6
b7C

By airtel to WFO dated 9/29/66, the Baltimore Office requested the Richmond Office to forward a 9/23/66 photograph of [redacted] to the San Francisco Office for display to the victim. No further activity has been noted by WFO in connection with the [redacted] affair.

b6
b7C

By WFO airtel to San Francisco dated 10/4/66, the San Francisco Office was provided a summary of the captioned case.

By airtel to the Director dated 9/29/66, the New York Office noted that [redacted] had not been identified in any cases related to HOMEX matters other than the captioned case. But that [redacted] should be considered a potential suspect in other HOMEX cases. The New York Office also noted that [redacted] might be in a position to furnish the identities of other persons not previously identified in HOMEX matters.

b6
b7C

LEADS

[redacted] d 9/15 at J
[redacted] this rt

ALL OFFICES

1. Search indices concerning [redacted] and names pertinent to the respective divisions which were located in the personal effects of [redacted] when arrested 9/16/66, at Silver Spring, Maryland, as reflected in this report.

b6
b7C

WFO 166-713

2. Inform WFO and any other office deemed appropriate of the results of requested indices searches in an effort to identify the unknown subjects in this case and to develop further background information concerning [redacted] including known associates.

b6
b7C

3. Keep in mind that some of the persons whose names were in [redacted] possession might also be HOMEX victims. It is noted that the New York Office is office of origin in the case captioned "HOMEX; ITAR - EXTORTION" (Bufile 166-1778, NYfile 166-1195, WFOfile 166-591) and is the coordinating office in the HOMEX investigation.

4. Index pertinent names in indices of respective offices for future reference.

BALTIMORE

AT ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

1. Follow prosecution of [redacted] in Montgomery County Court.

2. Review records of Montgomery County Police Department concerning [redacted] to determine his location and associates.

b6
b7C

3. Obtain 1966 photograph of [redacted] from Montgomery County Police Department if available, for display to victim. Also forward copy of this photograph to WFO and the New York Office for use in further investigation of the HOMEX case.

AT SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

1. Attempt to locate [redacted] through background information reflected in the files of the Montgomery County Police Department, Rockville, and if located interview [redacted] concerning this case and his whereabouts at the time of the extortion of the victim.

b6
b7C

WFO 166-713

2. Display photographs of suspects [redacted] and ARCHIE GROVER WHITE, now in the possession of the Baltimore Office, to the victim for identification or elimination of them as suspects.

b6
b7C

CLEVELAND

AT CLEVELAND, OHIO Attempt to secure 3/4/54 photograph of [redacted] FBI Number [redacted] Cleveland Police Department Number [redacted] inasmuch as [redacted] registered with the Cleveland Police Department on 3/4/54. If obtained, forward photograph to WFO for display to victim to further identify or eliminate him as a suspect in this case. Forward an additional copy to the New York Office for use in the HOMEX investigation. In addition, Cleveland should forward a copy of the [redacted] photograph to the Richmond Office for display to [redacted] Virginia, [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

DENVER

AT CANON CITY, COLORADO Furnish results of requested records review at Colorado State Penitentiary concerning [redacted]

b6
b7C

NEW YORK

AT NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

1. Obtain best available photographs of [redacted] aka [redacted] New York City Police Department Number [redacted] and [redacted] aka [redacted] [redacted] New York City Police Department Number [redacted] from New York City Police Department and forward them to WFO for display to victim as suspects in this case. It is noted that [redacted] and [redacted] were past associates of [redacted] as reflected in New York file 87-15364, according to reNYlet to WFO dated 12/7/66.

b6
b7C

WFO 166-713

2. Inform WFO of other logical "chicken" and "shakemen" suspects identified from review of New York file 166-1195 captioned "HOMEX". Forward photographs of these suspects to WFO if deemed appropriate.

RICHMOND

AT ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA Follow proceedings against [redacted] before United States Commissioner.

AT [redacted] VIRGINIA

1. Maintain contact with [redacted]

[redacted] for information concerning activities and the identification of his associates.

b6
b7C
b7D

2. Display photographs of [redacted] and [redacted] to [redacted] in an effort to identify them as recent associates of [redacted] who frequented [redacted] during the pertinent period of the extortion of the victim.

3. Conduct appropriate investigation to develop [redacted]

[redacted] aka [redacted] FBI Number [redacted] as a possible suspect.

b6
b7C

AT RICHMOND, VIRGINIA Forward to WFO a copy of photograph number six described in referenced Baltimore airtel to WFO dated 10/3/66, and in the administrative pages of this report. It is noted a person appearing on this photograph somewhat resembles unknown subject number two according to the victim.

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

WFO 166-713

1. Will arrange for interview of victim by AUSA DONALD S. SMITH and will follow Federal Grand Jury action concerning [REDACTED]

2. Will obtain current identification record of [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

3. Will display photographs of [REDACTED] GEORGE PATRICK BROOKS, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] other suspects to the victim for identification or elimination of them in connection with this case, as such photographs become available to WFO.

4. Conduct investigation deemed necessary to locate [REDACTED] for interview in connection with this case.

5. Will be alert for additional suspects in connection with this case.

b6
b7C

6. Will remove stop placed at Passport Office, U. S. Department of State, regarding [REDACTED] after prosecution of [REDACTED] is brought to logical conclusion.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1-USA, Washington, D. C.
(ATTN: AUSA DONALD S. SMITH)
1-USA, Baltimore, Maryland
2-USA, SDNY, New York City, New York
1-USA, Alexandria, Virginia

Copy to:

Report of:

EUGENE C. GIES

Office: Washington, D. C.

Date:

JAN 12 1967

Field Office File #: 166-713

Bureau File #:

Title:

[REDACTED]
UNKNOWN SUBJECT, also known
as [REDACTED]
UNKNOWN SUBJECT:

b6
b7C

Character:

[REDACTED] - VICTIM

INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING -
EXTORTION

Synopsis:

[REDACTED] was arrested 9/16/66, by Montgomery County Maryland, Police Department on charge of Impersonating Police Officer while he was at [REDACTED] Silver Spring, Maryland in company with victim who had then been attempting to withdraw [REDACTED] in cash. [REDACTED] was released on \$5,000 bond. [REDACTED] had attempted to discard fraudulent police badge at bank immediately prior to arrest. Victim is Washington, D. C. [REDACTED] who resides with [REDACTED] Victim admitted homosexuality for past ten years. On evening of 9/15/66, victim was solicited by an unknown subject using name [REDACTED] in Washington and entered mens toilet with this unknown subject at [REDACTED] Hotel, Washington, to engage in homosexual activity. Before homosexual activity began [REDACTED] and another unknown subject posing as Detectives of the Vice Squad, Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, placed the first unknown subject under alleged arrest and questioned victim concerning his identity and financial position. [REDACTED] and his accomplice then released victim. On morning of 9/16/66, [REDACTED] and accomplice appeared at victim's office in Washington and alleged that disciplinary action had been taken against [REDACTED] by the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) due to their failure to arrest victim the previous evening. They then informed victim he was under arrest but could post [REDACTED] cash bond under an assumed identity if desired, to which the victim agreed. [REDACTED] accompanied the victim to [REDACTED] to obtain the cash bond. Authorized

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 166-713

complaint charging [] with violation Title 18, U.S. Code Section 1952 (a) filed before U. S. Commissioner, Washington, 9/22/66. [] was arrested by Bureau Agents at Falls Church, Virginia, 9/23/66, and was released on \$10,000 bond by U. S. Commissioner, Alexandria, Virginia. Hearing before U. S. Commissioner, Alexandria, held in abeyance. [] was again arrested by Montgomery County, Police Department at Rockville, Maryland, 9/30/66, on Kidnapping charge based upon same activity with victim and was released on 10/4/66, on \$25,000 bond. All charges continue pending against [] AUSA DONALD S. SMITH, Washington, D. C., desires personal interview with victim before scheduling case against [] for Federal Grand Jury action. Victim tentatively identified [] as unknown subject using name [] Victim also considered [] somewhat resembles unknown subject using name [] Numerous photographs of known homosexual extortionists displayed to victim, failed to identify other unknown subjects. Known extortionist associates of [] set forth. Investigation continues to identify other subjects.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS:

This investigation was predicated upon the receipt of information from Detective Corporal ROBERT PEAY, Montgomery County Police Department, Silver Spring, Maryland, as follows:

- 2 -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date September 29, 1966

1

Detective Corporal ROBERT PEAY, Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD), advised that [redacted] also known as [redacted] was arrested by himself and Detective Sergeant JOHN KENNEDY at Silver Spring, Maryland on September 16, 1966, and charged with impersonating a police officer. He said that subject was being held in lieu of \$5000 bond.

b6
b7C

Corporal PEAY furnished the following information concerning the arrest of [redacted]

b6
b7C

On September 16, 1966, Corporal PEAY received a telephone call from [redacted] Silver Spring, Maryland, who said that a [redacted] a customer, was in the bank in the process of withdrawing [redacted] from two accounts, and that he, [redacted] was suspicious that something was wrong because [redacted] was accompanied by a strange man.

Corporal PEAY immediately went to the bank where he interviewed [redacted] privately. [redacted] at first indicated he did not want to identify the person accompanying him; however, he reluctantly told Detective PEAY that the individual was a Washington, D. C. detective who was with him to pick up [redacted] cash he, [redacted] was posting as bond for a friend in Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

While still talking to [redacted] Detectives PEAY and KENNEDY observed that the individual who was with [redacted] was departing the bank. The detectives overtook the man outside of the bank, at which time he identified himself as [redacted] and said he was at the bank with a friend of his, [redacted]. Detective PEAY requested [redacted] to come back into the bank as there seemed to be "a little difficulty" and he would like to talk to him further. Inside of the bank [redacted] pulled a chair up to a desk being used by Detective PEAY and laid a paper (newspaper) which was rolled up down alongside of the chair.

On 9/16/66 at Silver Spring, Maryland File# BA 165-501

by SA CHARLES M. FLEURY:mao Date dictated 9/29/66

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

BA 166-501

CMF:mao

2

When Detective PEAY suggested that it would be better if they went to the police station to discuss the matter, [redacted] agreed, got up out of his chair and began to depart without picking up the newspaper. At this time, Detective KENNEDY picked the newspaper up and a silver police badge bearing the words "Police", "Sergeant", and the numeral [redacted], fell out of the paper. [redacted] denied that it was his; however, the Detectives arrested him on the charge of impersonating a police officer.

b6
b7C

The subject, after giving his true name as [redacted] refused to answer any further questions without consulting an attorney. He indicated that he desired an attorney be appointed him by the court.

Detective PEAY described [redacted] as follows:

b6
b7C

Race:

White

Sex:

Male

Height:

Weight:

[redacted] pounds

Date of Birth:

Build:

Hair:

Complexion:

Residence:

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date November 9, 1966

1

Detective Sergeant JOHN KENNEDY, Detective Bureau, Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD), advised that shortly before noon on September 16, 1966, on the basis of a telephone call received from Detective Corporal ROBERT A. PEAY, he went to

the [redacted] Silver Spring, Maryland, to assist Corporal PEAY, who had advised that an unknown individual representing himself as a police officer was attempting to obtain [redacted] from [redacted] a customer of the aforementioned bank. He said that upon his arrival at the bank he observed the unknown man, described by Corporal PEAY, sitting on a couch in the bank near the door. After speaking briefly with [redacted] the bank manager, Sergeant KENNEDY proceeded to the second floor of the bank where Corporal PEAY was interviewing [redacted]

b6
b7C

Sergeant KENNEDY advised that shortly after his arrival at the bank, it was noted that the unknown man was departing the bank. Immediately, he and Corporal PEAY left the bank and overtook the man in front of the building.

Sergeant KENNEDY advised that the unknown man identified himself as [redacted] and said that he was with [redacted] a friend. He said that when the man was asked if he would come back into the bank to discuss a matter, the man said that he would do so, and accompanied the detectives into the bank.

b6
b7C

Sergeant KENNEDY said that he noticed that the man was carrying a new newspaper folded and under his arm. When he sat at a desk on the second floor of the bank to talk to Corporal PEAY, the man put the newspaper on the floor beside the chair. After Corporal PEAY had spoken with the man for several minutes, he was asked if he would accompany the detectives to the Detective Bureau and he agreed to do so. When the man got up from the chair and started to depart without the newspaper which he had placed on the floor, Detective Sergeant KENNEDY said that he questioned the man as to whether or not the paper was his, and the man denied that it belonged to him. When Sergeant KENNEDY picked the paper up it was noted that a police officer's badge in a leather or leatherette case fell from it.

On 11/1/66 at Silver Spring, Maryland File# BA 166-501

by SA CHARLES H. FLEURY:ki/crs Date dictated 11/7/66

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

BA 166-501

CMF:kj/cn

2

Sergeant KENNEDY said that when he and Corporal FEAY saw the police badge, they immediately put the unknown man under arrest, telling him that he was being charged with impersonating a police officer. He stated that the man offered no objection and accompanied them peacefully to the Detective Bureau.

After arriving at the Detective Bureau, [redacted] gave his true name as [redacted] of Falls Church, Virginia, and stated he would answer no other questions prior to consulting his attorney. He was charged with impersonating a police officer and held in lieu of \$5,000.00 bond.

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date September 29, 1966

1

The following is a list of property and identification taken from [redacted] also known as [redacted] white male, [redacted] pounds, date of birth - [redacted] FBI [redacted] address - [redacted] Falls Church, Virginia, after his arrest by Montgomery County Police Department authorities:

b6
b7C

1. Credit card from Thunderbird Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, in the name of [redacted] #5705.

2. Owner identification card for Chrysler Motor Corporation in the name of [redacted] New Orleans, Louisiana, #C353-184941.

b6
b7C

3. An identification card from the New Orleans Athletic Club in the name of [redacted] #3785-3.

4. An identification card for the National Travel Club, Travel Building, Floral Park, New York, in the name of [redacted] New Orleans, Louisiana, #64-49885-10-22-65.

5. Membership card to the Racquet Club International, Miami Beach, Florida, in the name of [redacted] no further identifying numbers.

b6
b7C

6. Business card from Rock Castle Motor Sales, Inc., Madison at Starnett Covington, Kentucky. This business card has the name [redacted] General Manager, telephone number [redacted]

7. Business card from the Thunderbird Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada, with the name of the Casino Executive as [redacted] telephone number [redacted]

b6
b7C

8. Identification card from the First National Bank of Arlington, Arlington, Virginia, in the name of [redacted] #24-926-0.

On 9/16/66 at Silver Spring, Maryland File # BA 156-501

by IC ROBERT L. BALDWIN:mco Date dictated 9/23/66

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

