

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1281154-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 6
Page 72 ~ b6; b7C;
Page 91 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 92 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 201 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 202 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 203 ~ Referral/Direct;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

FBI

Date: 6/22/66

b3
b7E

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-1778)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (166-600) (RUC)
RE: HOMEX;
INSUBS (3)
[redacted] - VICTIM b6
ITAR-EXTORTION b7C
OO: NEW YORK

Re New York airtels to Bureau dated 5/20/66 and 6/14/66.

On 6/1/66, [redacted] advised SA RICHARD GORDON DOUCE that [redacted] true name is [redacted] and his last known address was [redacted] California with unlisted Telephone No. [redacted]. He reportedly has an apartment at [redacted] New York City, and his office is located at [redacted] Hollywood, California, Telephone No. [redacted]. He is married to, but separated from [redacted] and has one child.

b6
b7C
b7D

AT [redacted] CALIFORNIA

On 6/3/66, an attempt was made to contact [redacted] at [redacted] but the handy man at the residence stated that [redacted] no longer resides there. He

b6
b7C

- ③ - Bureau
- 1 - Chicago (166-585)(info) (AM)
- 3 - New York (2 - 166-1274) (AM)
- (1 - 166-1195)
- 2 - Los Angeles
- (1 - 166-494)

REC-94

166-1778-158

JPC/mjg
(9)

JUN 24 1966

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

166-1778-158

E/46

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

LA 166-600

stated that [] could probably be reached through his office telephone number.

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

b6
b7C

On 6/3/66, [] advised he was [] secretary and [] was not available but his attorney, [] Telephone No. [] would be able to furnish information concerning [] location.

On the above date, [] Attorney,

[] California, Telephone No. [] was contacted and he advised he was both [] attorney and manager and [] was presently working on a motion picture at Universal Studios. He inquired as to the reason for wanting to talk with [] and was told it was a personal matter and Agents desired to talk with [] alone. Mr. [] was told that the purpose of the inquiry would be explained to Mr. [] in full before he had to say anything and that after hearing the Agents out, if he felt it was necessary, he could contact Mr. [] before answering any questions. Mr. [] stated he had confidence in the way the FBI would handle the matter and would, therefore, arrange passes for Agents to interview [] alone at Universal Studios on 6/7/66.

b6
b7C

AT UNIVERSAL CITY, CALIFORNIA

On 6/7/66, [] was interviewed in his trailer at Universal Pictures Co., Inc., Universal City, by SAs JOHN P. COSTIN, JR. and AMEDEE O. RICHARDS, JR. He was apprised fully of the information desired and was told that information had been received that he had been extorted [] by two individuals who had represented themselves as New York City policemen at Cleveland, Ohio some time in 1965 because of an alleged act of oral copulation he had committed on an individual in New York City in 1965. He was further advised that the individual on whom he had committed this alleged act reportedly had an expensive dark sport coat with a red stripe that had formerly belonged to []

b6
b7C
b7D

Mr. [] stated the information was not true; that he had never committed any act of copulation with anyone, and that he had not been extorted by anyone. He stated to

b6
b7C

LA 166-600

the best of his knowledge he was not in Cleveland, Ohio at any time in 1965 and did not appear in any night clubs or similar establishments during that year.

[redacted] was exhibited the photographs of 19 individuals, including those of [redacted] EDMUND STANLEY PACEWICZ and PETER GEORGE PARKER, aka "Pete the Greek", and he stated he did not recognize any of those people; did not know them, and had never seen nor been in contact with any of them.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised he was shocked that anyone could accuse him of such an act and that the interview had been a "traumatic" experience for him, but he wanted the Agents to know that he had nothing to hide and requested that Agents contact his attorney because he (the attorney) was anxious about the matter. Mr. [redacted] was told that if he wished to talk to his attorney, to call him and if the attorney had any questions the Agents would attempt to answer them. Mr. [redacted] attempted to telephonically contact his attorney, but he was not in his office.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated he appreciated the way the Agents had handled the matter because any publicity of this nature, even though false, could do repeatable harm to his career and that if he could be of any further assistance to the FBI, he would do so.

b6
b7C

AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

On 6/8/66, Mr. [redacted] (supra) telephonically contacted SA COSTIN and advised that Mr. [redacted] had explained the interview with him and he had determined that [redacted] was not in Cleveland, Ohio in 1965 and that [redacted] as a matter of practice, periodically disposes of his clothing by contributing it to the Assistance League and that his suits and sport coats usually have a monogram in the inside pocket which would be the only explanation that he could think of as to how [redacted] could have the dark sport coat in his possession.

b6
b7C

Mr. [redacted] stated he handles a number of celebrities and it is not unusual for allegations to be made against them. He stated if he could assist the FBI any further, he would be happy to do so.

b6
b7C

Since the Department's policy, according to New York

LA 166-600

airtel to the Bureau dated 5/20/66, is to authorize prosecution only in those cases where the victim is willing to testify and [redacted] has denied being involved in the activities as alleged, no report is being submitted in this matter.

b6

b7C

An FD 302 containing complete results of the interview of [redacted] which is paraphrased in this communication, is being placed in the Los Angeles file.

6/24/66

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, WFO (166-817) (P)

CHANGED
WILLIAM JOSEPH BURKE, aka;
[redacted] aka;
[redacted] aka;

Major General [redacted] - VICTIM
ITAM - EXTORTION
(OO:CG)

b6
b7C

INDEX
ITAM - EXTORTION
(OO:NY)

Title "Changed" to reflect full name of [redacted]
[redacted] and to reflect the identity
of the victim as Major General [redacted] the
victim being formerly described as "Unknown General, [redacted]
Army Commander."

b6
b7C

ReBairtel to CG dated 6/21/66; WFOairtel to the
Director dated 6/17/66.

- 4 - Bureau
- 1 - (1- 166-1778) (INDEX)
- 2 - Chicago (166-682) (Info)
- 1 - (1- 166-685) (INDEX)
- 2 - New York (Info)
- 1 - (1- 166-1195) (INDEX)
- 2 - Omaha (Info) (AM)
- 1 - (1- 166-) (INDEX)
- 2 - WFO
- 1 - (1- 166-891) (INDEX)

RCG:mms
(12)

AIRTEL

F-53

166-1778

NOT RECORDED
174 JUN 28 1966

ORIGINAL FILED IN 166-1778-17

57 JUN 6 1966

WFO 100-617

On 6/24/66, [redacted] FBI Number [redacted] who was recontacted by Bureau Agents at [redacted] Washington, D.C., selected a photograph of Major General [redacted] from among ten photographs suitable for comparison, and positively identified [redacted] as the Major General, U.S. Army, previously described by him, as reflected in referenced airtel dated 6/17/66. [redacted] selected the photograph of the General without hesitation and made an immediate identification of him.

b6
b7C

LEADS

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

1. Will submit FD 302 reflecting results of interview of [redacted]
2. Will hold further investigation in abeyance.

b6
b7C

9

FBI

Date: 6/23/66

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-1778)

FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (166-205) (P)

WILLIAM JOSEPH BURKE, Aka.;
ELWOOD LEE HAMMOCK, Aka.;
UNKNOWN PROFESSOR, NORTH TEXAS
STATE UNIVERSITY - VICTIM
ITAR - EXTORTION
OO: CHICAGO

HOMEX
ITAT - EXTORTION
OO: NEW YORK

Re N. Y. airtel to Charlotte, 6/17/66.
Dallas airtel to Chicago, 6/15/66.

The five names of college persons, North Texas
University, furnished _____ 6/23/66. _____
could not identify professor from name, but thought _____
_____ sounded familiar except he was sure victim was _____
_____ and did not believe him _____
_____ He recalled that the victim was supposed to have borrowed
some money _____
_____ recalled that _____
together to get the money.

- ③ - Bureau
- 3 - New York (2 - 166-1290)
(1 - 166-1195)
- 2 - Dallas (166-258)
- 3 - Chicago (2 - 166-619)
(1 - 166-585)
- 3 - Charlotte (2 - 166-205)
(1 - 166-182)

ABL:mtl
(14)

1-cc DT D

166-1778
NOT RECORDED
JUL 2 1966
5

11 JUN 27 1966

[Handwritten initials]

166-1848 B

ORIGINAL FILED IN

b6
b7C
b7D

56 JUL 14 1966

[Handwritten signature]

Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

CE 166-205

He further recalled that JOHN PYNE of Chicago [redacted] and may have furnished his name and address to [redacted] who could have gone back at other times. Although [redacted] could not recall [redacted] name he stated this could have been the victim.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] recalled that [redacted]

[redacted] The victim's office was a large office behind this office.

b7D

[redacted] recalled that according to the "chicken" the victim had another person in Chicago with him at the time he was compromised and it was necessary for the victim to slip away from his companion in order to meet with the "chicken" to perform the alleged act.

[redacted] feels that he can identify this victim or his photograph. He further suggested that [redacted]

b7D

[redacted] could not recall results of this.

LEADS:

CHICAGO

AT CHICAGO, ILL.

Will interview [redacted] for additional details.

b7D

DALLAS

AT DENTON, TEXAS

Will forward photographs of possible victims.

FBI

Date: 6/23/66

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____ AIR MAIL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (166-234)
SUBJECT: UNSUBS (3);

[redacted] - VICTIM b6
ITAR - EXTORTION b7C

OO: NY

HOMEX
ITAR - EXTORTION
OO: NY

Re Charlotte airtel 6/21/66 advising that [redacted] will be interviewed in detail concerning the incident, after which time they would furnish results to the New Orleans Office.

b6
b7C
b7D

No attempt is being made to interview former [redacted] by the New Orleans Office until the interview determines whether or not if such an incident occurred, it would fall within the Statute of Limitations, UACB.

b6
b7C

ELWOOD LEE HAMMOCK AND [redacted] ARE REPORTED TO HAVE SUICIDAL TENDENCIES.

- 3 - Bureau
- 1 - Charlotte (166-208)
- 1 - New York (166-1273)
- 2 - New Orleans

JTS:sam
(7)

RECEIVED
JUN 24 1966

166-1778
NOT RECORDED
183 JUN 27 1966

~~18 JUN 24 1966~~

ORIGINAL FILED IN 166-1839-9

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

(29)

6/28/66

Airtel

To: SAC, Chicago (166-585)

REC-93

From: Director, FBI (166-1778) - 159

HOMEX
ITAR - EXTORTION

Reurtel June 27, last.

[Redacted]
Bureau authority is granted to review toll calls charged to [Redacted] residence and to review toll calls charged to Burke's Chicago telephone number as set out in retel.

b6
b7C
b7D

1 - New York (166-1195) (info)

792

MAILED 27
JUN 28 1966

FJS:dsa dca
(5)

- Tolson _____
- DeLoach _____
- Mohr _____
- Wick _____
- Casper _____
- Callahan _____
- Conrad _____
- Felt _____
- Gale _____
- Rosen _____
- Sullivan _____
- Tavel _____
- Trotter _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holmes _____
- Gandy _____

247
6/29/66

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

6/1/66

In effort to determine full extent of interstate toll calls made by subjects [redacted] and Burke in connection with their residences in [redacted] and Chicago, Illinois, respectively the Chicago Office requests authority to make toll call check on these two residents' phones.

In view of fact Chicago Office

[redacted]

b7D

AAS:djg

D

JH

[Handwritten mark]

WASH DC --

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUN 27 1966

FBI CHICAGO

1537 PM CDST URGENT 6/27/66 JLV TELETYPE

TO DIRECTOR \166-1778\ AND NEW YORK \166-1195\

NEW YORK VIA WASHINGTON

FROM CHICAGO \166-585\

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Wick	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

Homosexual Extortion
CHOMEX. OO\ NEW YORK.

REMYTEL JUNE SEVENTEEN LAST AND BUAIRTEL JUNE TWENTY LAST.

[REDACTED] FAILED TO REVEAL ANY TOLL CALLS FROM
RESIDENCE OF CHICAGO DAILY NEWS REPORTER [REDACTED]

b6
b7C
b7D

[REDACTED]

ILL., OFFICE. [REDACTED] ADMITTED TO BUAGENTS ON JUNE TWENTY SEVEN
INSTANT THAT HE ALWAYS CALLED [REDACTED] WHENEVER
ON EXTORTION SCHEMES THROUGHOUT COUNTRY.

BUREAU AUTHORITY IS REQUESTED TO REVIEW TOLL CALLS CHARGED TO

[REDACTED]

b6
b7C
b7D

NEW YORK AIRTEL DATED JUNE SEVEN LAST REQUESTED TOLL CALL CHECK
FOR CHICAGO NUMBER [REDACTED] UTILIZED
BY WILLIAM BURKE UNDER THE ALIAS WALTER J. O'CASEY IN AN EFFORT TO
DETERMINE EXTENT OF BURKE'S ACTIVITIES.

b7D

BUREAU APPROVAL ALSO REQUESTED FOR [REDACTED]
ABOVE TELEPHONE NUMBER UTILIZED BY BURKE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

END

WA... \DLH

FBI WASH DC

REC-93
66-17718-159
14 JUN 29 1966
795-200-9
airtel to [unclear]
6-28-66
Dw-9

Delayed to [Signature]

166-1778-160

CHANGED TO

166-1670-7

JUL 19 1966

mt/ms

C

FBI

DATE: 6/28/66

AIRTEL

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM : SAC, BALTIMORE (166-472)
SUBJECT : ELWOOD LEE HAMMOCK;

[Redacted]
[Redacted]

b6
b7C

VICTIM
ITAR EXTORTION;
HOMEX

MR

Re Charlotte airtel to Director, 6/10/66; San Francisco airtel 6/22/66.

Enclosed for Bureau are four copies of FD-302 (IRF) reflecting investigation in captioned matter at Baltimore.

Enclosed for New York and Chicago are twenty-five copies, and for other offices designated below two copies each of same FD-302.

- ④ - Bureau (Encl.4)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 4 - New York (Encl.25)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Charlotte (Encl.2)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Chicago (Encl.25)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Washington Field (Encl.2)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Los Angeles (Encl.2)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - San Francisco (Encl.2)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Newark (Encl.2)
 - (1 - HOMEX)
- 2 - Baltimore
 - (1 - 166-472)
 - (1 - 166-431 (HOMEX))

166-1778

CARBON COPY
9-45299-5

NOT RECORDED
100 JUN 30 1966

JSR:cm
(22)

AIRTEL / ENCLOSURE

69 JUL 7 1966

F-2511

ORIGINAL FILED IN

BA 166-472

Efforts were made on June 14 and 15, 1966 by SA JAMES F. KENNEDY at Friendship Airport to locate rentals of automobiles to subject under any of his known aliases during the month of May 1965. No such record was found at this location.

On June 15 and 27, 1966, at the request of SA J. STANLEY ROTZ, additional searches were made of the records stored at the main office of Hertz Rent-A-Car, 27 South Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland. In this connection, it was noted that over 2600 rentals had been made for cars during the month of May 1965 at the airport alone. When no records were found for May 1965 which could be identified with subject the files were checked for April 1965, and the record as set out in enclosed FD-302 was located and furnished on June 28, 1966.

b6
b7c

No further action will be taken by Baltimore, UAC.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

June 28, 1966

Date _____

Miss ALMA KAYE, Manager, Main Office, Hertz Rent-A-Car, 27 South Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland, made available the following information from Car Rental Agreement #8240517. This form reflected that on April 19, 1965, a Chevrolet automobile had been rented at Friendship Airport, Baltimore, Maryland, to [redacted] Chicago, Illinois. [redacted] had displayed an Associated Press Identification Card and Driver's License V 620-6812-6101, state not given. He did not give a local address but a notation was made that he was enroute to Lord Baltimore, which is the name of a hotel in Baltimore.

b6
b7c

These records did not reflect when and where the car had actually been returned, but [redacted] had agreed to return the car on the same date, April 19, 1965, to Hertz Rent-A-Car at Washington, D. C.

No further rentals of cars were recorded for [redacted] either at Friendship Airport or at the main office during the remainder of April 1965, or the entire month of May 1965. No record had been found of rentals of cars to anyone using the names [redacted] or Sergeant [redacted]

b6
b7c

On 6/28/66 at Baltimore, Maryland File # BA 166-472
by SA J. STANLEY ROTZ :cm Date dictated 6/28/66

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

166-1778
ENCLOSURE

FBI

Date: 6/27/66

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-1778)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (166-1195)

SUBJECT: HOMEX
(OO:NY)

1-24-66

ReNYairtels to Bureau 3/24/66 and 4/5/66, entitled
"COMPROMISE AND EXTORTION OF HOMOSEXUALS BY INDIVIDUALS IMPERSONATING
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, ITAR-EXTORTION".

- 3-Bureau
- 2-Albany
- 2-Albuquerque
- 2-Anchorage
- 2-Atlanta
- 2-Baltimore
- 2-Birmingham
- 2-Boston
- 2-Butte
- 2-Charlotte
- 2-Chicago
- 2-Cincinnati
- 2-Cleveland
- 2-Columbia
- 2-Dallas
- 2-Denver
- 2-Detroit
- 2-El Paso
- 2-Honolulu
- 2-Houston
- 2-Indianapolis

pe

REC-59

166-1778-161

EX-108

16 JUN 28 1966

(copies cont'd on page 2)
2-New York (166-1198)
CLL:mtk
(174)

E. G. Wick

69 JUL 5 1966

NINE

NY 166-1195

2-Jackson
2-Jacksonville
2-Kansas City
2-Knoxville
2-Las Vegas
2-Little Rock
2-Los Angeles
2-Louisville
2-Memphis
2-Miami
2-Milwaukee
2-Minneapolis
2-Mobile
2-Newark
2-New Haven
2-New Orleans
2-Norfolk
2-Oklahoma City
2-Omaha
2-Philadelphia
2-Phoenix
2-Pittsburgh
2-Portland
2-Richmond
2-St. Louis
2-Salt Lake City
2-San Antonio
2-San Diego
2-San Francisco
2-San Juan
2-Savannah
2-Seattle
2-Springfield
2-Tampa
2-Washington Field
1-New York (166-1146)
1-New York (166-1257)
1-New York (166-1252)
1-New York (166-1251)

NY 166-1195

1-New York (166-1250)
1-New York (166-1255)
1-New York (166-1249)
1-New York (166-1233)
1-New York (166-1259)
1-New York (166-1258)
1-New York (166-1261)
1-New York (166-1260)
1-New York (47-9819)
1-New York (166-1178)
1-New York (166-1264)
1-New York (166-1265)
1-New York (166-1266)
1-New York (166-1268)
1-New York (166-1269)
1-New York (166-1270)
1-New York (166-1271)
1-New York (166-1272)
1-New York (166-1273)
1-New York (166-1274)
1-New York (166-1275)
1-New York (166-1276)
1-New York (166-1277)
1-New York (166-1278)
1-New York (166-1279)
1-New York (166-1280)
1-New York (166-1281)
1-New York (166-1282)
1-New York (166-1284)
1-New York (166-1285)
1-New York (166-1286)
1-New York (166-1287)
1-New York (166-1288)
1-New York (166-1289)
1-New York (166-1290)
1-New York (166-1291)
1-New York (166-1292)
1-New York (166-1293)
1-New York (166-1294)
1-New York (166-1295)

NY 166-1195

1-New York (166-1310)
1-New York (166-1311)
1-New York (166-1312)
1-New York (166-1313)
1-New York (166-1314)
1-New York (166-1315)
1-New York (166-1316)
1-New York (166-1318)
1-New York (166-1322)
1-New York (166-1321)
1-New York (166-1323)
1-New York (166-1319)

Copies of this airtel are being designated for all offices since a great number of offices have active investigations in connection with this special, have leads growing out of active investigations, or in all probability will be called upon to conduct investigation in the future.

Purpose of this communication is to bring all offices up to date by setting forth a brief outline of some of the major developments to date in order that offices presently conducting investigations can be guided accordingly.

On 4/21/66, a 2 count indictment was filed in USDC, Chicago, against [redacted] and WILLIAM JOSEPH BURKE in connection with extortions in New Haven, Connecticut and Indianapolis, Indiana. A detainer was placed on BURKE at NYC Prison and [redacted] was arrested by Bureau agents at NYC 4/21/66 when he was released on bail from NYC Prison.

b6
b7c

On 4/26/66, the Newark Office obtained process against [redacted] ELWOOD LEE HAMMOCK, and [redacted] in connection with an extortion in Trenton, NJ. Chicago on the same date arrested [redacted] HAMMOCK and [redacted] on that charge. Newark subsequently obtained a superseding indictment including [redacted] [redacted] in the Trenton extortion.

b6
b7c

On 5/7/66, New York obtained process against [redacted] HAMMOCK and [redacted] in connection with an extortion in Maine.

NY 166-1195

Chicago arrested [redacted] 5/7/66 and [redacted] and HAMMOCK were arrested in New Jersey. On 6/15/66, trial began in USDC, SDNY

[redacted] subsequently pleaded guilty on 6/16/66. Date set for sentencing is August 16, 1966. HAMMOCK was released in same bail and returned to his home [redacted] [redacted] made bail a few days later and on 6/24/66, returned to his home [redacted] to date is in Federal Detention Headquarters, NYC in lieu of bail.

b6
b7C
b7D

On 6/24/66, an indictment was returned in SDNY against JOHN JOSEPH PYNE, Chicago, Illinois charging him with conspiracy in the Maine extortion. PYNE was arrested 6/24/66 by Chicago agent on the NY indictment. PYNE, who is a former Chicago policeman and alleged head of extortion schemes in that he furnished forged papers and police identifications in return for a percentage of money extorted, has indicated through his attorney that he may make full disclosure of all he knows.

[redacted] has been furnishing voluminous information to Charlotte, New York, Newark and Chicago. [redacted]

b7D

[redacted] furnished voluminous information to NYO, some of which resulted in PYNE's arrest, and is now [redacted] where arrangements have been made to continue interviews with him.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] who is now in the Federal Detention Headquarters, has furnished voluminous information concerning [redacted] extortion.

[redacted] has furnished voluminous information to Chicago agents.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] on a local extortion case in NYC, has furnished considerable information to NY agents.

NY 166-1195

[redacted] in local custody in NYC has furnished considerable information to NYO Agents.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] BURKE, [redacted] and HAMMOCK are "Shake-men" or extortionists. HAMMOCK also controls the "Chickens" and acts as a go-between between the "shake men" and "Chickens". [redacted] are "Chickens", individuals who compromise victims and turn them over to the "shake-men" for extortion.

b6
b7C

New York and Chicago are in possession of voluminous information furnished [redacted] and this information is presently being correlated. It will be disseminated to appropriate offices when completed together with any new developments of interest to particular offices as they occur.

b7D

FBI

Date: 6/27/66

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-1778)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (166-1195)

SUBJECT: HOMEX
(OO:NY)

ReBuairtel to NY, 6/24/66.

[redacted] in interview by NYO agents stated emphatically he does not know identity of Associated Press reporter utilized by gang in extortion schemes, but stated such reporter exists.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] to have reporter come in on [redacted]

reporter was utilized in a case involving [redacted] who had been arrested in a town about 30 miles outside of Atlanta,

b6
b7C
b7D

- 3-Bureau
- 1-Charlotte (166-182) (INFO)
- 2-Chicago (166-585)
- 1-Newark (166-545)
- 1-Philadelphia (166-432)
- 1-Portland (166-67)
- 2-New York

EC 22

166-1778-162

RTT:mtk
(12)

16 JUN 28 1966

[Handwritten signature]

C. C. Wick

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

NY 166-1195

Georgia. PYNE stated that the reporter went to Atlanta at the request of PYNE and talked with victim whose identity unknown to [redacted] Victim, as a result of the reporter's continual pressure for "extortion story" refused to testify against [redacted] and he was released.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] stated that he does not know of any specific case in which reporter was utilized.

The name, [redacted] was not proposed to [redacted] since NYO was not sure of totality of [redacted] and [redacted] believed to be withholding information about specific cases in an effort to determine scope Bureau investigation.

b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted] interviewed by NYO agents at Federal House of Detention and advised that although he has heard HAMMOCK, [redacted] and BURKE mention alleged AP reported, he, [redacted] has no knowledge of identity of reporter.

The name, [redacted] was not proposed to [redacted] [redacted] during his appearance in NY 6/15, 16 and 17/66, since NYO of opinion that [redacted] has made additional efforts to ingratiate himself with NYDA office. It was opinion of NYO, [redacted] would contact NYDA with [redacted] name in order to [redacted] by New York authorities.

b6
b7C
b7D

In view of [redacted] it would appear question of reporter's identity will be resolved [redacted]

b7D

FBI

Date: 6/24/66

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (166-1778)
FROM: SAC, CHARLOTTE (166-182)
SUBJECT: HOMEX
(OO: NEW YORK)

D. B. [Signature]

For information of Bureau and Chicago. New York advised on 6/21/66 that [redacted] New York. New York. contacted NYO on 6/20/66 and stated that [redacted] had advised her that he received an offer [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

New York requested Charlotte to interview [redacted] and discretely ascertain if such offer made and obtain details.

On 6/23/66, [redacted] during telephonic interview with SA ARTHUR B. LEA stated that he had received offer indirectly from what he assumed was [redacted] while he was in New York City. He advised he has no plans to [redacted] or attempt to do same. He advised that if he had any such thing in mind, he certainly would not mention names or identities of persons. [redacted] would not furnish details of who he contacted or had been in touch with him, but stated no one had contacted him in above regard since he left New York.

b7D

It is believed that if such a plan is finalized, [redacted] will bring up same and interested offices advised.

b7D

- ③ - Bureau (166-1778)
- 2 - New York (166-1195)
- 2 - Chicago (166-585)
- 2 - Charlotte (166-182)

REC 12/66-1778-163
11 JUN 27 1966
E. J. [Signature]

ABL:gc
(9)

Approved: *F. J. R. [Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge
55 JUL 8 1966

Sent _____ Per _____

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Priority)

CE 166-182

[redacted] stated he is planning to leave [redacted]
[redacted] and proceed to [redacted]
where he will be [redacted]
[redacted] telephone [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

