

Math 5051 Final

December 14, 2018

Name: _____

- Use the back of the previous page for scratchwork. By default, I won't grade the scratchwork, so you can write wrong things there without penalty.
- If you run out of space on the printed page and need more space, then use the back of the previous page, but make sure to:
 - Make a note on the printed page that your work continues on the back of the previous page.
 - On the back of the previous page, put a box around the work that you want graded.
- Give and use definitions from the book or from class.
- You may use any results you remember from the book or from class as long as they are more basic than the result you're asked to prove.

1. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space. Let f_n and f be complex-valued measurable functions.

(a) (2 points) Define what it means for f_n to converge to f in L^1 .

Solution: The sequence f_n converges to f in L^1 if $\|f - f_n\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\|g\|_{L^1} = \int_X |g|.$$

(b) (3 points) Define what it means for f_n to converge to f in measure.

Solution: The sequence f_n converges to f in measure if, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\mu(E_{n,\epsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$E_{n,\epsilon} = \{x \mid |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq \epsilon\}.$$

(c) (5 points) Show that if f_n converges to f in L^1 , then f_n converges to f in measure.

Solution: Observe that $|f - f_n| \geq \epsilon \chi_{E_{n,\epsilon}}$. Integrating both sides, we have that $\|f - f_n\|_{L^1} \geq \epsilon \mu(E_{n,\epsilon})$. Thus, because $\|f - f_n\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we know that $\mu(E_{n,\epsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as well.

(d) (5 points) Give and justify an example of functions f_n and f such that f_n converges to f in measure but f_n does not converge to f in L^1 .

Solution: Let $f_n = n\chi_{[-1/n, 1/n]}$, and let $f = 0$.

We first show that f_n converges to 0 in measure. Here, $E_{n,\epsilon} = \{x \mid |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$. For all ϵ , we have that $E_{n,\epsilon} \subseteq [-1/n, 1/n]$, so $\mu(E_{n,\epsilon}) \leq \frac{2}{n}$, and so $\mu(E_{n,\epsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as desired.

We now show that f_n does not converge to 0 in L^1 . Indeed,

$$\|f_n\|_{L^1} = \int f_n = n \cdot \frac{2}{n} = 2 \not\rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space. Let f_n and f be complex-valued measurable functions.

(a) (3 points) Define what it means for f_n to converge to f almost uniformly.

Solution: The sequence f_n converges to f almost uniformly if, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a set E of measure less than ϵ such that f_n converges to f uniformly on E^c .

(b) (2 points) State Egoroff's Theorem.

Solution: Assume $\mu(X) < \infty$, and let f_n and f be measurable functions. If f_n converges to f pointwise almost everywhere, then f_n converges to f almost uniformly.

(c) (5 points) Egoroff's Theorem fails when $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Borel σ -algebra, and $\mu = m$ is Lebesgue measure. Provide a counterexample to Egoroff's Theorem in this context. That is, give and justify an example of functions f_n and f on \mathbb{R} for which Egoroff's Theorem fails to hold.

Solution: Let $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]}$. For every x , we have $f_n(x) = 0$ for all $n > x$, so $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all x . In other words, f_n converges to 0 pointwise.

However, f_n does not converge to zero almost uniformly. Let $\epsilon = 1$, and let E be a set of measure less than ϵ . Because $\mu([n, n+1]) = 1$, the interval $[n, n+1]$ cannot be a subset of E by monotonicity. Thus, for all n , there exists an $x \in [n, n+1] \cap E^c$. In other words, for all n , there exists an $x \in E^c$ such that $f_n(x) = 1$. That means that $\sup_{x \in E^c} |f_n(x)| = 1$, but uniform convergence requires that $\sup_{x \in E^c} |f_n(x)|$ converges to zero. Thus, f_n does not converge to 0 uniformly on E^c for any choice of E with $\mu(E) < 1$.

3. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) be measure spaces.
- (a) (3 points) Define the product σ -algebra $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ on $X \times Y$.

Solution: The product σ -algebra $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is the σ -algebra generated by

$$\{E \times F \mid E \in \mathcal{M}, F \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

- (b) (12 points) State the Fubini and Tonelli Theorems.

Solution: Assume that μ and ν are σ -finite.

The Tonelli theorem considers a function $f \in L^+(X \times Y)$. It tells us that the functions $f_x: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f^y: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f_x(y) = f(x, y) = f^y(x)$ are in $L^+(Y)$ and $L^+(X)$, respectively, and the functions $x \mapsto \int_Y f_x d\nu$ and $y \mapsto \int_X f^y d\mu$ are in $L^+(X)$ and $L^+(Y)$, respectively. Moreover

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y f_x(y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_Y \left(\int_X f^y(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

The Fubini theorem considers a function $f \in L^1(X \times Y)$. It tells us that the function $f_x: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is in $L^1(Y)$ for almost every x and $f^y: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is in $L^1(X)$ for almost every y . Moreover, the functions $x \mapsto \int_Y f_x d\nu$ and $y \mapsto \int_X f^y d\mu$ are in $L^1(X)$ and $L^1(Y)$, respectively, and we once again have

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y f_x(y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_Y \left(\int_X f^y(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

4. Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space.

(a) (3 points) State the Hahn Decomposition Theorem

Solution: Let ν be a signed measure. There exists a decomposition $X = P \sqcup N$, where P is positive for ν , and N is negative for ν . Moreover, if $X = P' \sqcup N'$ is another such decomposition, then $P \Delta P' = N \Delta N'$ is null for ν .

(b) (2 points) State the Jordan Decomposition Theorem

Solution: Let ν be a signed measure. Then $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$, where ν^+ and ν^- are positive measures that are mutually singular. This decomposition is unique.

5. Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space. Let μ be a positive measure, and let ν be a signed measure.
- (a) (3 points) Define mutual singularity.

Solution: The measures μ and ν are mutually singular, denoted $\mu \perp \nu$, if there exists a decomposition $X = E \sqcup F$ such that E is null for ν and F is null for μ .

- (b) (2 points) Define absolute continuity.

Solution: The measure ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , denoted $\nu \ll \mu$, if $\mu(E) = 0$ implies $\nu(E) = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

- (c) (5 points) State the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem.

Solution: If μ and ν are σ -finite, then there exists a Lebesgue decomposition $\nu = \lambda + \rho$, where λ and ρ are σ -finite signed measures, $\lambda \perp \nu$, and $\rho \ll \mu$. Moreover, there exists a extended μ -integrable density function f such that $\rho(E) = \int_E f d\mu$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$. The signed measures λ and ρ are unique, and f is μ -a.e.-unique. That is, if we also have $\rho(E) = \int_E f' d\mu$, then $f = f'$ μ -a.e.

6. Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space.

- (a) (10 points) Let μ be a positive measure, and let E_j be a sequence of measurable sets. Assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) < \infty$. Show that $\mu(\limsup E_j) = 0$.

Recall that $\limsup E_j = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j$.

Solution: Let $F_n = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j$, so $\limsup E_j = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Then, by countable subadditivity,

$$\mu(F_n) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu(E_j).$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) < \infty$, then the tails $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$ converge to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mu(E_j)$, which converges to zero by the definition of infinite series. We conclude that $\mu(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We now apply continuity from above. We indeed have $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots$, and we note that the finiteness hypothesis $\mu(F_1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) < \infty$ is satisfied. Hence $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n) = 0$, as desired.

- (b) (15 points) Let μ and ν be positive measures. Show that $\nu \perp \mu$ if and only if there exists a sequence E_j of measurable sets such that $\mu(E_j) \rightarrow 0$ and $\nu(E_j^c) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Hint: Construct a subsequence of the E_j so that you can apply part (a).

Solution: For the easy direction, assume that $\nu \perp \mu$. Then $X = E \sqcup F$, where $\mu(E) = 0$ and $\nu(F) = 0$. We simply set $E_j = E$. Then $\mu(E_j) = 0 \rightarrow 0$, and $\nu(E_j^c) = \nu(F) = 0 \rightarrow 0$.

Using the definition of $\mu(E_j) \rightarrow 0$ and $\nu(E_j^c) \rightarrow 0$, for each natural number k , we can choose a j_k sufficiently large so that $\mu(E_{j_k})$ and $\nu(E_{j_k}^c)$ are both smaller than 2^{-k} . Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{j_k}) < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_{j_k}^c) < \infty$, so by part (a) we conclude that $\mu(\limsup E_{j_k}) = 0$ and $\nu(\limsup E_{j_k}^c) = 0$.

Thus, we let $E = \limsup E_{j_k}$, so $\mu(E) = 0$. To show $\nu(E^c) = 0$, we can use

$$E^c = \liminf E_{j_k}^c \subseteq \limsup E_{j_k}^c.$$

Since $\limsup E_{j_k}^c$ is null for ν , so is E^c . Thus, $\nu \perp \mu$, as desired.

Alternatively, we can show that $\nu(E^c) = 0$ by computing that

$$E^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_{j_k}^c.$$

To show that $\nu(E^c) = 0$, it suffices to show that $\nu\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_{j_k}^c\right) = 0$ for all n . By monotonicity,

$$\nu\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_{j_k}^c\right) \leq \nu(E_{j_k}^c)$$

for all $k \geq n$. We know that $\nu(E_{j_k}^c) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $\nu\left(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_{j_k}^c\right) = 0$, as desired. Note that in this argument, we did not actually need the subsequence to satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_{j_k}^c) < \infty$.

7. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a finite measure space. Let \mathcal{N} be a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{M} , and let ν be the restriction of μ to \mathcal{N} .

- (a) (10 points) Given a \mathcal{M} -measurable function $f \in L^1(\mu)$, show that there exists an \mathcal{N} -measurable function $g \in L^1(\nu)$ such that $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\nu$ for all $E \in \mathcal{N}$. This function is called the *conditional expectation* of f on \mathcal{N} .

Solution: Define a signed measure ρ on \mathcal{N} by $\rho(E) = \int_E f d\mu$. We can check that ρ is indeed a signed measure. We see that $\rho(E)$ is finite because $f \in L^1(\mu)$. Moreover, we can check countable additivity because if $E = E_1 \sqcup E_2 \sqcup \dots$, we let $f_j = f\chi_{E_j}$, so, by definition, $\rho(E_j) = \int f_j d\mu$. Then $\sum f_j = f\chi_E$ and $\sum |f_j| = |f\chi_E|$. For positive functions, we know that $\sum \int |f_j| d\mu = \int |f\chi_E| d\mu < \infty$. One of the consequences of the dominated convergence theorem that we proved in class then tells us that $\sum \int f_j d\mu = \int f\chi_E d\mu$. In other words, $\sum \rho(E_j) = \rho(E)$.

Moreover, $\rho \ll \nu$. Indeed, if $E \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\nu(E) = 0$, then $\mu(E) = 0$, so $\int_E f d\mu = 0$. We also have that ρ and ν are finite, and hence σ -finite. Thus, there exists a Radon-Nikodym derivative, that is, a \mathcal{N} -measurable function g such that $\rho(E) = \int_E g d\nu$ for all $E \in \mathcal{N}$. In other words, $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\nu$.

To check that $g \in L^1(\nu)$, we must check that the integrals $\int g^+ d\nu$ and $\int g^- d\nu$ are finite. Let $E = \{x \mid g(x) \geq 0\}$. We know that $E \in \mathcal{N}$ by definition of \mathcal{N} -measurability. Using the fact that $f \in L^1(\mu)$, we then have that $\int g^+ d\nu = \int_E g d\nu = \int_E f d\mu < \infty$. Similarly, $\int g^- d\nu = -\int_{E^c} g d\nu = -\int_{E^c} f d\mu < \infty$.

Let X be the finite set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set of X , and let μ be counting measure. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(n) = n$.

- (b) (5 points) Explicitly write down the function g constructed above if \mathcal{N} is the σ -algebra $\{\emptyset, X\}$. Make sure that g is \mathcal{N} -measurable.

Solution: The condition we seek to satisfy is $\int_X g d\nu = \int_X f d\mu$. (The condition when $E = \emptyset$ is trivial.) Because we're working with a finite set and counting measure, this really means $\sum_{n=1}^6 g(n) = \sum_{n=1}^6 f(n) = 21$.

In this case, g being \mathcal{N} -measurable implies that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, either $g^{-1}(\{z\}) = X$ or $g^{-1}(\{z\}) = \emptyset$. This implies that g is a constant function. Thus, we seek a constant function such that $\sum_{n=1}^6 g(n) = 21$. We conclude that $g(n) = 3.5$ for $n = 1, \dots, 6$.

Note that if f represents the value of a six-sided die roll, then g tells us the expected value of the number we will see on the die.

- (c) (5 points) Explicitly write down the function g constructed above if \mathcal{N} is the σ -algebra $\{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, X\}$. Make sure that g is \mathcal{N} -measurable.

Solution: Following the above reasoning, we see that, in this case, g being \mathcal{N} -measurable means that $g(1) = g(3) = g(5)$ and $g(2) = g(4) = g(6)$. Indeed, we can check, for example, that measurability implies $g^{-1}(\{g(1)\}) \in \mathcal{N}$, and we have $1 \in g^{-1}(\{g(1)\})$, which implies that $\{1, 3, 5\} \subseteq g^{-1}(\{g(1)\})$.

The requirement that $\int_E g d\nu = \int_E f d\mu$ then tells us that $g(1) + g(3) + g(5) = f(1) + f(3) + f(5) = 9$ and $g(2) + g(4) + g(6) = f(2) + f(4) + f(6) = 12$. The requirement

that g be constant on these sets then implies that $g(1) = g(3) = g(5) = 3$ and $g(2) = g(4) = g(6) = 4$.

The function g is \mathcal{N} -measurable because it is a simple function with respect to \mathcal{N} , namely $3\chi_{\{1,3,5\}} + 4\chi_{\{2,4,6\}}$.

If we want to, we can check explicitly that g is \mathcal{N} -measurable. Let Z be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R} . If Z contains 3 but not 4, then $g^{-1}(Z) = \{1, 3, 5\} \in \mathcal{N}$, and similarly if Z contains 4 but not 3. If Z contains neither, then $g^{-1}(Z) = \emptyset$, and if Z contains both, then $g^{-1}(Z) = X$.

Note that, here, the value of $g(1) = g(3) = g(5)$ tells us the expected value of the die roll if we already know that the die roll is odd. Likewise, the value of $g(2) = g(4) = g(6)$ tells us the expected value of the die roll conditioned on the knowledge that it is even.

Question	Points	Score
1	15	
2	10	
3	15	
4	5	
5	10	
6	25	
7	20	
Total:	100	