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I.  INTRODUCTION

Philippine Creole Spanish (PCS), known locally as Ternateño, Caviteño, Zamboangueño, etc., and collectively as Chabacano, is the most extensive Spanish-based creole language now in existence, and the only one found in Asia or Oceania.\(^1\) Although its contemporary manifestations have been well documented, its origins are shrouded in uncertainty. Available information indicates that the first PCS dialects arose in the Manila area in the middle of the 17th century, when a group of Spanish settlers, known as Mardikas, left Ternate in the Moluccas Islands and arrived in Manila, to fortify the Spanish position against the attacks of the Chinese pirate Koxinga (Whinnom 1956, Chapter 1). These newcomers (garrison troops plus civilian personnel) were subsequently resettled along the shores of Manila Bay, around the modern towns of Tana and Ternate, and it is here that the first legitimate PCS dialects are presumed to have taken root. The dialect of San Roque and Cavite City was evidently formed somewhat later, by creole-speaking Ternateños and, presumably, troops speaking some Spanish and a variety of Philippine languages; this dialect produced an offshoot in Manila, in the Ermita and San Nicolás areas. Finally, the Zamboanga Chabacano dialect is presumed to have been formed following the Spanish reoccupation of this city in 1719 and the arrival of mercenary troops from various regions of the Philippines; this dialect later spread to Basilan, Jolo, Cotabato and Davao, and in Zamboanga City itself, it was partially decreolized in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, through contact with metropolitan Spanish (Whinnom 1956: 14-17; Lipski forthcoming b; Molony 1973; Riego de Dios 1978).

Comparative linguistic studies situate Ternateño as the earliest surviving PCS variant (Riego de Dios 1978, Molony 1973, Whinnom 1956: 16-17, Lipski forthcoming b), which is consistent with known data on immigration and settlement of the Mardikas; the Caviteño dialect is a slightly later development. In addition, the dialects of Cavite and Ermita suffered significant decreolization in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, much as the case of Zamboangueño. The latter dialect contains elements from later periods of Hispano-Philippine contact, but it is extremely unlikely that Zamboanga Chabacano is a spontaneous development unrelated to the presence of Manila Bay PCS speakers among the military contingents sent to reoccupy Zamboanga (Lipski, forthcoming b, c).

An area of greater concern and controversy is the relation between the earliest stages of PCS and the creole Portuguese dialects spoken in various parts of Oceania and Asia, and as a maritime lingua franca from the 15th century onward. Following the pioneering work of Whinnom (1956), it has generally been assumed that the Mardikas brought to the Philippines an already formed creole dialect, a mixture of Spanish and

Portuguese, which in turn resulted from a creole Portuguese presumed to have been spoken in the Moluccas in the 16th century. In other words, it is claimed that the original Tematêfo dialect was a Portuguese-based creole, which became relexified with Spanish elements and which was subsequently extended by the introduction of Philippine lexical items and structures, following the Spanish exodus from the Moluccas to Manila. Much of the evidence adduced in favor of this claim is circumstantial, in that it is demonstrated that Portuguese-based creoles were spoken prior to the formation of the PCS dialects, in the region whence came the Mardikas; there is also linguistic evidence stemming from a comparison of the PCS dialects and other Hispanic creoles, but this is often subordinated to the historical arguments.

2. PURPOSE

The present note is devoted to a reassessment of the Portuguese component of Philippine Creole Spanish, from the standpoint of comparative dialectology. It will be assumed from the outset that the historical arguments adequately demonstrate the feasibility for the transfer of a Portuguese creole, or of individual creolized Portuguese elements, to the Spanish colony in Manila in the 17th century. Attention will be concentrated on the nature of the PCS dialects themselves, their similarity with known Portuguese-based creoles of the past and present, and the possibility for ramifications of or alternatives to the Portuguese creole hypothesis for PCS.

For the purposes of comparison, the following recognized and currently spoken Portuguese-based creoles may be identified. In Africa: the kriol of Guiné-Bissau and the Casamance region of Senegal (Scantamburo 1981, Wilson 1962, Chataigner 1963, Schuchardt 1888b); Cape Verdean creole (Da Silva 1957, Coelho 1963, Schuchardt 1888d); the creole dialects of São Tomé and Príncipe (Valkhoff 1966; Ferraz 1975, 1978, 1983; Negreiros 1985, Günther 1973; Schuchardt 1982, 1899b); the fa d'ambô dialect of Annobon Island (Barrera 1957; Granda 1976a, b; Ferraz 1976; Vila 1891; Schuchardt 1888c); In Latin America: Papiamentu of the Netherlands Antilles (Golio 1953, Lenz 1928, Hesseling 1933, Van Wijk 1958, Birmingham 1970); the palenquero dialect of Palenque de San Basilio, Colombia (Friedemann and Patiño Rosselli 1983, Bickerton and Escalante 1970); In Asia: the papiâ kristang dialect of Malacca (Hancock 1973, 1975, 1976; Cesare 1933, Wexler 1983); Macau creole Portuguese (Batalha 1959, 1960, 1974; Ferreira 1978; Thompson 1959; Díez 1981); vestigial creole Portuguese of Sri Lanka and India (Smith 1979, Theban 1974). To these may be added earlioer and more widespread Portuguese creoles in several areas of India and Ceylon, in Indonesia and in Hong Kong (Dalgado 1900, 1900-1, 1902-3, 1906, 1917, 1919-21; Schuchardt 1883a, b, c, 1899a, 1979; Theban 1974). Spanish-based creoles are much fewer in number; the PCS dialects are the primary examples of currently spoken Hispanic creoles, and we may also mention vestigial remnants, such as the speech of the negros congos of Panama (Lipski forthcoming), and the largely decreolized speech of some regions of Ecuador (Lipski forthcoming f), Cuba (Perl 1982, 1985, forthcoming a; Yacou 1977; Granda 1978: 481-91; López Morales 1981) and the Dominican Republic (Gonzalez and Benavides 1982, Megenney forthcoming a). In earlier centuries, a more widely spoken Hispanic creole evidently existed among African speakers in the Spanish Caribbean, and prior to this time, in southern Spain (Alvarez Nazario 1974; Granda 1978; Megenney 1984, 1985; Otheuguy 1975; López Morales 1980, 1983).

2 Work in the Philippines was carried out in 1985 thanks to a Fulbright advanced research fellowship, administered by the Philippine-American Educational Foundation. I gratefully express my thanks to the personnel of PAEF, as well as to the many scholars and private citizens of the Philippines who so generously gave of their time to make the investigation a success.


3. FEAT CREO

The featural analysis of P is based on an uninflected verb, which in turn is based on the nature of the verb in the base structure, (7) a substitute the P.

3.1 VERB

The C from the Sp person form, combined with the verb, is the only variant a in Zambanga. The immediate f in the verb occurs in the verb existent. The creole of Creole is claimed as a verb. However, the speech is extinct. The Portuguese, Ceylon, the variation in the Francian palesque, Portuguese creoles, with the royalty in among creoles, e.g. Anobse creoles, in P, the remains has tan. And in view of this system it is a constitutive the remain. However, we Portuguese or apparent harmony is a logical constriant. The diacritics show older variant particle, and The existence of these points.
3. FEATURES OF PHILIPPINE CREOLE SPANISH AND OTHER IBERIAN
   CREOLE DIALECTS

   The features most generally cited in conjunction with hypotheses as to the common
   origins of PCS and other Iberian creole dialects include: (1) the verbal system, consisting
   of an uninflected stem together with temporal/aspectual particles; (2) the nature and
   placement of negative morphemes; (3) the general lack of nominal inflection and the
   nature of the nominal pluralization process; (4) choice of personal pronouns; (5) possessive
   constructions; (6) the existence and nature of copulative and existential verbal elements;
   (7) specific lexical items. These areas will be examined in turn, in an effort to situate
   the PCS dialects in a wider creole perspective.

3.1 VERBAL SYSTEM

   The Chabacano verbal system consists of an uninflected stem, generally derived
   from the Spanish infinitive minus final /t/, but at times derived from a conjugated 3rd
   person form (e.g. quiere ‘want’, puede ‘be able to’, tiene ‘have, exist’). The stems are
   combined with one of three particles: ta (present/imperfective), ya (past/perfective, with
   variant a in Ternate), and di (future/irrealis, with variant ay and older variant el in
   Zamboanga). Use of the particles is generally obligatory, except for some uses of the
   immediate future, and with a small subset of ‘modal’ verbs which include the three
   mentioned above, plus sabe ‘know’, debe ‘ought to’, conoce ‘be acquainted with’; and a few more.
   The combination of an uninflected stem and verbal particles is a nearly universal feature
   of creole languages, and the tripartite division found in the PCS dialects has also been
   claimed as a universal trait, independent of the source language (Muyssen 1981). How-
   ever, the specific particles found in the PCS dialects are similar or identical to those which
   occur in the majority of Portuguese-based creoles throughout the world, both current and
   extinct. The particle ta is found, for example, in the following creoles: Macau creole
   Portuguese; Malaysian papià kristang; the Portuguese creoles of Java, Goa, India and
   Ceylon; the Afro-Portuguese creoles of São Tomé, Principe, Cape Verde, and with some
   variation in Guiné-Bissau and Annobon; in Papiamentu, Saramaccan of Surinam, Colum-
   bian palenquero and the former Caribbean Afro-Hispanic creole. The particle ya (or the
   Portuguese variant jà), derived from the word for ‘already’, occurs in the same set of
   creoles, with the exception of Saramaccan (bi), Papiamentu (taba), palenquero (ba),
   Principe and Annobon (za). In the future/irrealis series, considerable variation exists
   among creole particles. Except in those dialects having no special future/irrealis particle
   (e.g. Annobon, São Tomé, Principe), the most common particle is fò, derived from Portu-
   guese logo ‘later, afterward’, being found in the Malaysian and India/Ceylon Portuguese
   creoles, in Papiamentu and as a variant (together with al) in Cape Verde creole. Among
   the remaining creoles, that of Guiné-Bissau has na or ta, Saramaccan has sa, palenquero
   has tan, and only Bombay/Goa creole Portuguese shows di.

   In view of the above similarities, it is tempting to simply classify the PCS verbal
   system as a carryover from earlier creolized Portuguese; the Chabacano verbal system
   constitutes the strongest linguistic argument in favor of a creole/pidgin Portuguese origin.
   However, we must contemplate several facts which, while not invalidating the claims of
   Portuguese origins, call into question the necessity of such postulates. Despite the
   apparent homogeneity of aspectual particles among the various creoles, there is consider-
   able variation in their use, in the existence of alternative forms, and in the actual morpho-
   logical constitution of the items in question. First, in the future series, none of the PCS
   dialects shows any reflex of the generalized creole Portuguese particle lo/go): only an
   older variant el in Zamboanga shows even a slight phonetic similarity with the former
   particle, and this el appears to have been derived from the reduction of a relative clause.
   The existence of di in the Manila Bay dialects and in the creole Portuguese of Goa and
   formerly of Bombay may be a fortuitous similarity, given the geographical separation of
   these points, the lack of documented direct influence of the Indian dialects on the puta-
tive Moluccan pidgin which in turn gave rise to PCS, and the fact that no reflex of di appears in Indonesian or Malaysian creole Portuguese. Comparative reconstruction suggests that di may be a later development in the Manila Bay PCS dialects, since this particle is not found in Zamboangueño, which evidently split off from the Manila Bay dialects in the 18th century; on the other hand, the predominant Zamboangueño variant, ay/ey, is attested in Cavite (Miranda 1956), and is still recalled by the oldest Chabacano speakers in that area. Both di and ay/ey appear to derive from the combination ha/he de + infinitive, a periphrastic future construction characteristic of Spanish but scarce in Portuguese. A similar construction is used in Macau Portuguese creole, in the negative future: nadi (perhaps derived from não há de) vai co.wis 'I won't go with you' (cf. Chaves 1933: 175), Batalha (1974: 37). In the Indian creole Portuguese dialects, had (< ha de) was used as a future/irrealis particle (Schuchardt 1883b: 6; Dalgado 1906: 159-60). Some Cape Verdean dialects use a as a future/irrealis particle (Da Silva 1957: 141-2), possibly derived from the Portuguese ha de + INFINITIVE combination, and Sáo Tomé creole occasionally uses -te or -di to express distant future (Valkhoff 1966: 111): n-te fla amând i will talk tomorrow'.

The use of ya or ja as a past/perfective particle in a variety of Iberian-based creoles does not militate in favor of a unique origin, since this adverb is a common denominator in references to past/perfective actions, in non-creole varieties of both Spanish and Portuguese. It is also significant that in the PCS dialects, this particle is frequently eliminated in narrating past-time events, being retained principally when the connotation of 'already' is to be conveyed. Similar behavior has also been noted in Cape Verdean creole (Da Silva 1957: 139), in Macau Portuguese (Ferreira 1978: 29), in Guine-Bissau (Scantamburlo 1981: 45), Annobonese and Sáo Tomé creole (Valkhoff 1966: 109), and in Malaccan papiá kristang (Hancock 1973: 26). In papiamentu and Colombiam palenquero, and variably in the creoles of Sáo Tomé, Cape Verde and Guine-Bissau, the past-time particles are derived from the imperfect from estaba (Ptg. estava), whose present tense form está presumably accounts for the particles ta and sta. The postposition of taba or -ba may also be derived from the imperfect suffix -ba (Sp.| suo (Ptg.), either as a carryover from the formative stages, or as a partially decreolized reintroductory. Among the PCS dialects, Zamboangueño occasionally exhibits Spanish imperfect forms in -ba (e.g. pensaba yo 'I thought' instead of Chabacano ya pensa yo).

The present/perfective particle ta is the common denominator linking most Romance-based creoles, and is found in nearly all Spanish and Portuguese creoles. A common origin is naturally suggested (e.g. Taylor 1971, Whinnom 1965, 1965), but other considerations partially undermine the strength of such arguments. If one assumes that ta is derived from está, two types of source constructions are possible: the progressive está + gerund (esta comiendo, está falando), found in both Spanish and Portuguese, and the Portuguese innovation está + a + INFINITIVE, also a progressive construction (Schuchardt 1979: 69-70 [1888a]). The latter seems more likely to have produced the creole forms, but its existence in peninsular Portuguese is only attested since the second half of the 19th century, although possibly it occurred earlier as a substandard regional variant (Lipski forthcoming d). In the Portuguese creole dialects of Macau, Ceylon, Sáo Tomé, Cape Verde and Guine-Bissau, ta serves more as a progressive marker than a present-tense marker, which to a certain extent also holds for the PCS dialects, thus suggesting that the ta + INFINITIVE construction may ultimately be derived from the normal Spanish/Portuguese progressive forms. In popular/rural Spanish and Portuguese, está is normally reduced to ta, and gerunds may be phonetically reduced to a form resembling or identical to the infinitive. Presumably, the Iberian creoles derive from highly popular and nonstandard received Spanish and Portuguese; this is certainly true in the case of the PCS dialects, where there is a high concentration of elements characteristic of the speech of peasants and sailors, not found in educated urban speech either at present or in past centuries. It is therefore not impossible that phonetically similar combinations involving ta arose spontaneously in both Spanish- and Portuguese-derived creoles in geographically separated areas.
Another possible source for *ta* is the rich aspectual systems of West African languages which interacted with both Spanish and Portuguese from the 15th to the 19th centuries, resulting in both long-lasting creoles and short-term contact vernaculars. Aspectual particles of the form *C + /a/, including *ta* itself, are found in many West African languages, and such fortuitous similarity may have caused this particle to be incorporated into certain Afro-Iberian creoles independent of direct transmission from one dialect to another (Lipski forthcoming). As for the mechanism for the transfer of such an element to the Philippines, the usually postulated route is via Asian/Oceanic creole Portuguese, which in itself may ultimately derive from 15th and 16th century Africanized maritime Portuguese. Another possibility lies in the speech of the Spanish soldiers and sailors, many of whom may have interacted with *pidgin* or *creole-speaking* African slaves and freedmen in southern Spain, in the Caribbean region, or in Mexico, including the port of Acapulco, which during the formative period of the PCS dialects contained a considerable quantity of African slaves (Aguirre Beltrán 1958, 1971; Rout 1976). One of the postulates surrounding the formation of pidgins and creoles is deliberate deformation by strate speakers; if this occurred in the Philippines, the result would be that Spanish sailors and soldiers employed Afro-Iberian verb forms, together with uninflected infinitives, in an attempt to reach a linguistic middle ground with Philippine natives speaking a variety of languages.

Also of interest is the fact that a subset of verbs in both the PCS dialects and the various Portuguese creoles is based on the inflected third person singular form rather than the infinitive minus final *s*. These verbs, statistically among the most common in both Spanish and Portuguese, are usually employed without the aspectual particles (cf. Schuchardt 1979: 69 [1888a]), and their existence in PCS might suggest a common origin with Portuguese creoles. However, gravitation of the third person singular is a common feature of pidgin Spanish since the earliest recorded examples of Arabized and Africanized Spanish, in the 16th century. Currently, this tendency is observable in the (non-creolized) Spanish of Equatorial Guinea (Lipski 1984, 1985), in largely decretalized Spanish of Panama (Lipski forthcoming), the Dominican Republic (González and Benavides 1982, Megenney forthcoming) and Ecuador (Lipski forthcoming) and the vestigial language of semi-speakers in a number of regions where Spanish is dying out as a viable language, including among mestizo Philippine Spanish speakers (Lipski 1984, 1985, forthcoming a, c, f). The fact that just this set of verbs most frequently combines with infinitives instead of other complements in both Spanish and Portuguese has been instrumental in shaping their destiny in creole languages.

3.2. Negative Structures

A common feature of most Afro-Portuguese creoles is the postposition of a negative particle or morpheme, and this feature has been used in monogenetic creole theories. In Asian and Oceanic creole Portuguese, as well as in the PCS dialects, there is no such general postposition, and negation usually follows the same general patterns as in the source languages. A derivative of Portuguese *não* or Spanish *no* is normally used (in Zamboangueño, the Tagalog/Hiligaynon *hende* [kende] is also found, in complementary distribution with *no*), although certain past and future tense verbal constructions employ other elements. For example, in Zamboangueño, *muay* (from Spanish *no* *hay*) is used to negate past-tense verbs: *muay* *yo* *andad* 'I didn't go', while in Macau the use of *nad* for negation in the future has already been mentioned; in the past this dialect uses *nunca* 'never' for negation. In general, the PCS dialects closely follow received Spanish patterns, and such divergence as does exist is not paralleled among creole Portuguese dialects of Asia or Africa.

3.3. Nominal Inflection

The PCS dialects, like nearly all Romance-based creoles, have largely eliminated in-
lection of gender and number in adjectives and nouns; those exceptional, inflected forms are either fossil remnants or recent decolored reintroductions. This in itself does not suggest a common origin, for the attraction of maximally unmarked forms is a universal trait of creole languages, and most nominal inflection is grammatically and semantically redundant in Spanish and Portuguese. Of greater significance is the method of signalling nominal and adjectival plurality, which in all the PCS dialects is effected through use of the Philippine particle *mga* (variably pronounced *mana*, *maga* and *maŋa* in PCS). A determiner ( definite article, demonstrative or possessive) normally accompanies plural nouns: *el mga casa* ‘the houses’, *di mi mga hermano* ‘my brothers’, etc. Occasionally, decolored combinations involving Spanish morphological signals of gender or number are found, but the majority of cases involve the Philippine plural structure, which naturally is not found among any other Spanish- or Portuguese-based creoles. Among the latter dialects, reduplication is the most common form of signalling pluralization, when there is any overt morphological signal; in PCS, nominal reduplication is an occasional process, usually having an intensive function, and nearly always occurs in conjunction with *mga* (e.g. *aquel mga cosa-cosa* ‘all that stuff’). The Manila Bay dialects employ an innovative adjectival reduplication, suffixing the Tagalog connectives *-ng* (after vowels) and *na* (after consonants) to the first adjective: *carong-carong* very expensive; *tambad na tambad* very lazy’. In Zamboangaño, such reduplication does not occur regularly; rather, the Visayan intensifier *gayot* is suffixed to the adjective in question, at times also prefixing *bien*: (bien) grande gayot ‘very large’.

3.4. PERSONAL PRONOUNS

Given the considerable similarity among the personal pronouns of Spanish and Portuguese, it is difficult to offer definitive conclusions as to the source of the PCS pronouns. The existence of the second person singular *bós/bo* and third person singular *ele* in PCS has been claimed as a carryover from creole Portuguese (Whinnom 1956: 30; Mugler 1983). The former pronoun is of particular interest, since it appears in nearly all Portuguese-based creoles, including Papiamento and *pálenquero*, while it has completely disappeared from metropolitan Portuguese. *Vos* continues to be used in many dialects of Latin American Spanish, including all of Central America and Colombia and Venezuela, the Andean region, and the Southern Cone nations; *vos* was also used in some Caribbean dialects until the end of the 19th century. The PCS dialects were formed during the same time period as those Latin American dialects which conserve *vos*, since the latter pronoun was still common in popular Spanish, often with a derogatory connotation (which it continues to have in contemporary PCS).

PCS *ele* is identical to the Portuguese 3rd person singular masculine pronoun, which many Portuguese creoles have reduced to *i* or *ê*. Use of *ele* in PCS could also stem from a paragogic *ê* added to Spanish *él*, a process attested elsewhere in Spanish, or from the old Spanish variants *ele/ele* (modern â/ê/ê).

In the plural series, there is more variation in PCS; Zamboangaño has replaced the entire series with Visayan pronouns, Ternateño has *miho*tro, *buho*tro and *loho*tro; Caviteño shows *niho*, *buho* and *iho*. The Ternateño forms are clearly derived from the vulgar pronunciation, heard even today, of Spanish *nosotros*, *vosotros* and *los otros*, while in Cavite the occasional alternation between *niho/vosos* and *niho*tro*/los otros* suggests Spanish rather than Portuguese (which has *nos/vos*) as the source. *Ihos* may be a reduction of *ellos/ellas* (as supposed by Whinnom 1956: 36), or a derivative of the clitic pronoun *los*. A superficially similar form, *elot/ëlot* was found in Indian creole Portuguese (Dalgado 1906; 151), but this was probably derived from *el otro/ëlós otros*, since *elotros* is found in Ceylon creole Portuguese (Dalgado 1900: 43).

3.5. POSSESSIVE CONSTRUCTIONS

A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subject pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. The possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects. A typical creole configuration is the use of (usually postposed) subject pronouns as possessive pronouns in the subjects.
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possessive adjectives. This practice is followed in one form or another in the Gulf of Guinea creoles, in Papiamentu and palenquero, and in the oldest versions of Asian and Oceanic Portuguese creoles. In Macau creole Portuguese, sua derived from the possessive adjective is placed after the corresponding subject pronoun (iou-sua, ele-sua, etc.), while in Malacca papuí kristang, the corresponding particle is sa, alternating with postposition of the subject pronoun (e.g., yo-sa chapeu/chapeu yo 'my hat'). In the PCS dialects, on the other hand, the possessive is normally formed via the preposition di + Spanish object/possessive pronoun: di mi/di min, di tu/di tuyo, di su/di suyo, di nriso, etc. In the plural series, Zamboangueño has created analogous di- forms based on the Visayan pronouns: diamon, diaton, dilto, dilia. The short Spanish possessive forms (mi, su, etc.) may be due to recent decreolization, but the PCS dialects do not ordinarily use unmodified subject pronouns as possessives (and when this occurs, it is probably the result of deletion of di, rather than an originally creolized use of the subject pronoun), and subject pronouns are never posted to serve as possessives. In this dimension, PCS does not participate in either Portuguese or general creole possessive formation.

3.6. copulative and existential verbs

The PCS dialects are nearly unique among Spanish/Portuguese creoles in having no copulative verb, preferring simple juxtaposition to achieve comparable structures: Zamboangueño yo 'I am a Zamboangueño'. In this respect, the Chabacano dialects follow the syntactic patterns of the surrounding Philippine languages, which similarly lack a copula. Most Afro-Portuguese creoles have a variant of sa (derived from sar, a 15th century blend of ser and estar), which is also found in Macau and Malacca creole Portuguese. Other creole variants include i, sentir (also from a blend of ser and estar, mixed with sentir) and ta. Whereas other creoles also make occasional use of juxtaposition instead of copulative verbs, the total lack of a copula in PCS has no parallel among creole Portuguese dialects.

For existential expressions, the PCS dialects employ ñene 'have', while in the negative muay (< SP. no hay 'there is not') is used. The use of some form of tener Ptg. ter) instead of the Spanish/Portuguese existential verb haber/ter is common in Iberian-based creoles, and has been claimed as an argument in favor of monogeness. Such arguments are weakened by noting the considerable overlap between the two verbs in old Spanish and Portuguese, during the time periods in which the various creole dialects were formed. Not only does modern Brazilian Portuguese use ter with existential force, but many marginal and vestigial Spanish dialects do so as well, under circumstances in which mutual influence is impossible to postulate (Lipski forthcoming a). In the PCS dialects, particularly in Zamboangueño, an interesting alternation occurs with respect to the existential verbs, which shows no parallel among creole Portuguese dialects. In addition to the use of muay to negate past tense verbs, this form is used to negate the locative verbs taqil 'be here' and talíd 'be there', used primarily with human subjects. Thus muay si Ramón means 'Ramon is not here/there', corresponding to the statements taqil/talíd si Ramón. This may result from Philippine syntactic patterns, e.g. wala si Ramón 'Ramon is not here', where wala roughly corresponds to Chabacano muay in other functions.

3.7. lexical similarities

Given the considerable lexical similarity among Spanish and Portuguese dialects, which increases significantly among dialects of past centuries, tracing Portuguese elements in PCS is a difficult task which often yields inconclusive results. Moreover, partial or total relexification is an ongoing process among creole languages, and the PCS dialects have absorbed a large quantity of more recent Spanish elements, in addition to hundreds of Philippine lexical items. Many putative Portuguese words found in one or more PCS dialects also occur in Spanish of earlier centuries, spanning the formative periods of both the Manila Bay and Zamboanga PCS dialects. These include agora (Sp. agora) 'now', canto (Sp. esquina) 'street corner', onde (Sp. donde) 'where'. Other words represent
nonstandard/ dialectal variants often found even in contemporary Spanish; examples include empelmo (Sp. enfermo) 'sick', endenantes (Sp. antes) 'before', boneca (Sp. muñeca) 'doll', rempuí (Sp. empujar) 'to push', etc.

Turning to less easily classifiable examples, we consider the preposition na, used in the PCS dialects in the sense of 'in, on'. This form is identical to the Portuguese na, a contraction of the preposition em and the feminine definite article a, which is also found in the majority of Portuguese-based creoles. Na and similar prepositions are common among non-Iberian contact vernaculars, which may point to the use of maritime Portuguese as a lingua franca in earlier centuries (Whinnom 1956: 28; Naro 1978). It is also possible that like the verbal particle ta, na has multiple loci of evolution, via analogy with similar particles in local languages. In the case of the Philippine languages, we may cite the multifunctional Tagalog preposition sa, which covers all the uses of PCS na plus many other cases (Whinnom 1956: 36), and also the preposition nasá, with locative function; in the Manila Bay PCS dialects, sa is at times used in alternation with na. While the formal similarity with standard and creole Portuguese may be fortuitous, na has no ready parallels in other creole or non-creole Spanish dialects.

Another unusual PCS element is maskin 'although, even if', which currently is more frequent in Zamboanguino than in the Manila Bay dialects. In the former dialect, maskin combines with cosa, donde, etc. with the meaning of 'any whatsoever', in maskin cosa hora 'any time at all', maskin donde 'wherever', etc. Variants of maskin occasionally appear in Tagalog and Visayan, and Whinnom (1956: 38) speculated that the word may have been Hispanized to masque. The latter configuration, with the meaning of 'even if, although', is not attested for any Spanish dialect, although similar combinations do occur. Variants of maski(n) are common in Asian and Oceanic creole Portuguese dialects, including Ceylon (Dalgado 1900: 162), Macau (Ferreira 1978: 31), and Malacca (Hancock 1973: 30), but are not attested for African or Latin American Portuguese creoles. The form maske was used in 19th century Chinese Pidgin English (Leland 1876: 12), which leads to the conclusion that it formed part of the Portuguese-based lingua franca circulation in Asia during earlier centuries, regardless of whether similar or identical forms were used in Spanish at the same period.

PCS dialects use cosa as the interrogative word corresponding to 'what, which', although que may be used in conjunction with nouns or when asking for repetition of something which has not been understood or accepted; use of que may be the result of more recent contacts with peninsular Spanish. Among the Romance languages, only Italian makes frequent use of cosa as an interrogative pronoun. Many dialects of Spanish, however, employ qué cosa (as a variant of standard qué), while derivatives of Portuguese que cosa are found in Cape Verdean creole (Da Silveira 1957: 165-6), in Malacca papiá kristang (Hancock (1973: 29), in Indian Portuguese creole (Dalgado 1906: 155), and in Macau creole Portuguese (Ferreira 1978: 26). Cosa was also prominent in the (Italian-derived) lingua franca or Sabir of earlier centuries (Whinnom 1977, Hadel 1969, Schuchardt 1909, Collier 1977), which may have influenced 16th and 17th century maritime Portuguese in the Pacific. Thus while the use of cosa in PCS is not an unequivocal sign of Portuguese provenance, it must be considered as a potential candidate for such a classification.

Use of the preposition con to signal direct objects (instead of Spanish a for animate objects and a for inanimate objects) has been claimed as a result of Portuguese influence (Whinnom 1965: 512), given the existence of similar constructions in some Portuguese creoles (e.g. Theban 1974: 108). Use of con instead of a does occur in popular Spanish throughout the world (e.g. fut con el/al doctor 'I went to the doctor') while in some PCS examples, con straddles the boundary between an accusative marker and a preposition indicating accompaniment (Whinnom 1956: 54). In the case of Zamboanguino, and possibly also the Manila Bay PCS dialects, I have suggested (Lipski forthcoming b) that the use of con + SUBJECT PRONOUN may have been caused or reinforced by fortuitous similarity of Philippine accusative pronouns such as kanaton, kanamon, which in popular Chabacano are often pronounced as konaton, konamon, etc., and are apparently analyzed morphologically.

Batalha describes this combination from creole Portuguese as a vernacular way that the PCS dialect people have formed the real-world expression deixar 'to leave', deixar 'to let', deixar 'to go', 'ok you go', 'let's go', 'ok you go' and non-creole and non-PCS-creole people assume that this is the verb of departure and extension...

The final fashion’, which Whinnom (1956: 41) referred to as 'popular' Portuguese, Indian Portuguese, papiá kristang, etc. Since no similar innovation has previously been reported.

4. CONCLUSION

The rise of PCS was also due to the widespread use of Portuguese in the region since the 16th century. It was the lingua franca of the region, which had a small number of Portuguese speakers. The Portuguese language was used in official and military contexts, and the language was widely spoken by the people of the region.

The general pattern of the creole languages in the region is that they have similarities to the Portuguese language. The creole languages have borrowed vocabulary and structural elements from the Portuguese language, which has a significant influence on the creole languages. The creole languages have also developed their own characteristics, such as the use of the preposition con as a marker of direct objects.

The creole languages in the region have a significant influence on the development of the local languages. The creole languages have borrowed vocabulary and structural elements from the Portuguese language, which has a significant influence on the creole languages. The creole languages have also developed their own characteristics, such as the use of the preposition con as a marker of direct objects.
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morphologically as /kan+aton/, /kan+amon/, and so forth.

Batalla (1960b: 303) has suggested that the use of dale 'to give' instead of da stems from creole Portuguese, although she offers no evidence in support of this claim. Use of this combination of Spanish verb stem + accusative or dative pronoun is unique among the PCS dialects, and the existence of dale in all PCS dialects is one of the arguments in favor of a common origin (Lipski forthcoming b). In popular Mexican Spanish, which formed the received input for most of the formative period of the PCS dialects, the expression dale is used with extraordinary frequency, as an interjection roughly meaning 'let's go', 'okay', 'do it now', and so forth, and Mexican Spanish is noted for its exceptional and non-etymological use of the clitic le in a variety of other circumstances. Given the widespread lack of forms similar to dale in Portuguese-based creoles, it is safer to assume that this element arose spontaneously in the first PCS dialect, through imitation and extension of received popular Mexican Spanish.

The final lexical item to be considered is quilaya, roughly meaning 'how, in what fashion', which alternates with the clearly Spanish quimodo and occasional como. Whinnom (1956: 46) appears mystified by this item, but it evidently derives from an older popular Portuguese form (Batalla 1960b: 302), and is attested for Macau creole Portuguese, Indian Portuguese (Schuchardt 1889a: 516; Schuchardt 1883c: 801), Malaccan papia kristang (Hancock 1973: 29) and Ceylon creole Portuguese (Dalgo 1900: 53). Since no similar form has been attested for any Spanish dialect, this item must be tentatively regarded as a creole Portuguese incursion in the PCS lexicon.

4. CONCLUSION

The results of the preceding survey yield a very mixed verdict on the putative creole Portuguese origins of Philippine Creole Spanish. The lexical arguments are the weakest, since with the possible exception of quilaya, all the other claimed Portuguese elements in PCS exhibit alternative etymological potentials, and many are popular/archaic/dialectal forms occurring in other Spanish-speaking areas. Even the existence of the totality of these lexical items in PCS does not unduly stretch the boundaries of coincidence, given their small number and the considerable overlap between popular Spanish and Portuguese variants. It is more probable, however, that some maritime Portuguese elements would have filtered into any variety of Spanish spoken in the Philippines in the vicinity of military garrisons, naval yards, trading centers and commercial areas. Given available information on the widespread use of pidgin Portuguese lexical elements throughout Asia, the Pacific and Africa from the 15th to the 19th centuries, even the presence of verifiable Asian creole Portuguese items in PCS does not in itself militate in favor of a creole Portuguese origin for PCS.

The general syntactic structures of PCS share very little similarity with Asian or African creole Portuguese, except inasmuch as they manifest such quasi-universal tendencies as elimination of gender and number inflection, general lack of verb conjugation, frequent elimination of prepositions, categorical use of subject pronouns, etc. Such key structures as possessives, copulative equations, negative and interrogative structures show more differences than parallels between the PCS dialects on the one hand and creole Portuguese variants on the other. At the same time, the PCS dialects fit in neatly among indigenous Philippine languages in terms of basic syntactic patterns (C. Lopez 1965b, Constantino 1965), and it is possible that even some putative Portuguese elements (such as the use of a single undifferentiated subject pronoun ele) reflect the behavior of corresponding elements (in this case, styv) among the Philippine languages. The lack of parallels between PCS dialects and Afro-Portuguese creoles is even more striking, both in the lexical and in the syntactic dimension, thus suggesting that any influence the latter creoles may have had on the formation of an Asian Portuguese lingua franca had little or no repercussion in the Philippines, or was neutralized by the heavy grammatical and lexical nativization of PCS.
By far the strongest argument in favor of the relexification hypothesis for PCS is the verbal/aspectual system, particularly the use of the particles ta, ya and dij ay. Although use of a three-particle system has been claimed as a universal creole structure, the existence of similar or identical particles in PCS and creole Portuguese is more difficult to explain by recourse to universal traits, as demonstrated above. The possibility that a completely developed creole tense/aspect system may have been transferred from the Moluccas to Manila by the original Mardikas is the prevailing hypothesis, which, however, does admit of ramifications and even opposing postulates. Since the existence of ya can be attributed to normal Spanish adverbial usage, and ay/di may also be derived from normal Spanish patterns, only ta needs to be accounted for in PCS. This particle also appears in Caribe Spanish creoles, beginning around the turn of the 19th century, where it may have been transferred by Pap aimentu-speaking slaves brought from Curacao to Cuba and Puerto Rico just before the end of the Caribbean slave trade (Lipski forthcoming d). Its use in Colombian palenquero is a component of the Afro-Portuguese creole theory, and similar arguments apply to Papiamentu (Megenney 1984, 1985). This type of creole verb structure was evidently known to non-creole Spanish speakers of the time periods in question, as evidenced by literary imitations of Afro-Hispanic bozales (slaves born in Africa and speaking pidgin/creole Spanish; cf. Lipski forthcoming d), and the testimony of historians and religious figures (e.g. Estrada 1797). If one is to believe the theories of the spread of pidgin Portuguese as a maritime and commercial lingua franca, then such constructions must also have been known to and used by sailors and merchants of many nations, beginning in the early 16th century. Such general knowledge may have enabled sailors and soldiers frequenting the Spanish garrisons and naval yards at Cavite and Corregidor, as well as the residential and commercial areas of Intramuros and Ermita and later the fortifications of Zamboanga, to reinforce incipient pidgin Spanish or ‘kitchen Spanish’ (as PCS was known in Manila) tendencies among the local populace, resulting in the eventual fixation of a creolized verbal system with aspectual particles. Evidence exists that as late as the mid 18th century, Asian Portuguese creole did not use the particle ta or used it only sporadically (Lopes 1969: 76-7), with ja and lo being used for past and future, respectively, at times in conjunction with partially conjugated verbs. In 19th century Asian Portuguese, use of a third-person singular verb form instead of the infinitive, with or without an aspectual particle, is a common recourse, and while this has often been attributed to decreralization, it may just as well reflect another quasi-universal tendency among Iberian-based creoles, the use of the 3rd singular verb as the basic form (Lipski forthcoming a; Perl 1982, 1985, forthcoming a; Schuchardt 1979: 79). Several literary attestations of what appears to be PCS (e.g. V. Lopez 1893, Montero y Vidal 1876, Retana 1931) also contain partially conjugated verbs, rather than a fully developed system of aspectual particles, and current speakers of Caviteño and particularly Zamboangueño mix non-conjugated and partially conjugated verbs with creole combinations of particle + stem, in fashions more suggestive of traditional usage than of recent decreralization.

While it is probable that some form of pidgin Portuguese was spoken in the Moluccas at the time of the Spanish takeover of that territory, it is not equally certain that the Mardikas evacuated from Ternate to Manila spoke a fully-developed Portuguese or Spanish creole, nor that their relative small number (some 200 families) immersed in the Spanish colony of Manila was sufficient to transmit intact whatever creolized language they may have brought with them. Those ‘Mardika’ words surviving until the 20th century have no recognizable Spanish or Portuguese roots (Tirona 1923) and recent contacts between residents of Ternate, Cavite and Ternate, Moluccas have produced great consternation on both sides, as absolutely no recognizable lexical or grammatical items are mutually shared (Tirona 1923, Nigoza 1985, personal communication). It is conceivable that a small group of Mardikas transferred from Manila to Tanza and Ternate and who later migrated to Cavite, brought with them some creolized Spanish or Portuguese constructions, which when added to the multilingual flux characterizing Cavite and Intramuros/Ermita catalyzed and channeled pidgin Spanish usage in those regions. This, how-
ever, is significantly different from the hypothesis of complete relexification of Moluccan creole Portuguese as the source for the original Manila Bay PCS dialects.

In summary, the chapter on the origins of the PCS dialects is far from closed, given major gaps in historical documentation. Most of the dialects which could have shed light on the issues have disappeared or are rapidly disappearing, and only collective efforts at comparative reconstruction and additional searches of historical records may permit refining currently available theories. The present remarks suggest caution in attributing Philippine Creole Spanish to a relexification of a completely established creole Portuguese, without adequate exploration of alternative routes of evolution.
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