The construction *pa(ra) atrás* among Spanish-English bilinguals: parallel structures and universal patterns

Spanish and English come into contact on a large-scale basis in the United States, among speakers of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central American and other Hispanic origins, and the results are, predictably, an interpenetration of many linguistic characteristics. Given the sociolinguistic embedding of both languages, the influence of English on Spanish is more extensive than in the opposite direction, but evaluation of this influence is affected by many political and educational factors which have no direct correlation with objective linguistic reality. In addition to the borrowing of many English lexical items, both linguistically assimilated and in unmodified fashion, United States Spanish may employ syntactic constructions which directly or indirectly reflect English structures, and which are not normally found in other Spanish dialects removed from active contact with English. In most instances, such syntactic Anglicisms do not radically disrupt established Spanish syntactic patterns, however shocking and disagreeable they may seem to certain Spanish speakers, and at times syntactic Anglicisms merely build on already existent Spanish structures, further extending semantic possibilities or partially reviving archaic combinations. This fact makes the accurate identification of syntactic Anglicisms a subtle and complex process, since it is not sufficient to identify a structural similarity between the two languages, nor even the apparently exclusive existence of such a structural parallel in a Spanish dialect in bilingual contact with English. It also makes the study of syntactic parallels and bilingual interfacing an important component in the search for linguistic universals, those characteristics of natural languages that transcend language- or culture-specific limitations and which more directly impinge on the human communicative capacity.

This note will discuss a well-known construction in United States Spanish, normally acknowledged as a syntactic Anglicism: the combination *para atrás (pa tras)* as in:

1. Te lo doy pa tras „I’ll give it back to you“ *(Te lo devuelvo)*
2. Vinimos pa tras „We came back“ *(Regresamos/olvimos)*

(3) Te pago pa tras „I’ll pay you back“ (Te pagaré)
(4) Nos movimos pa tras el año pasado „We moved back last year“ (Regresamos/ nos mudamos el año pasado).

The combination pa tras evidently reflects the English particle back, as in pay back, come back, give back, move back, put back, etc., and curiously, normally adopts the postposed position in Spanish, even with nominal objects:

(5) Pagué el préstamo pa tras/*Pagué pa tras el préstamo.
(6) Di el libro pa tras/*Di pa tras el libro.

However, despite the apparently clearcut case of syntactic transference from English to Spanish, the expression pa tras is rather unique in (fluent) United States Spanish as a putative calque of an English verbal particle; verbal combinations such as knock over, sit down, figure out, come through, etc. are virtually never calqued into Spanish, despite the fact that their Spanish equivalents are no more common nor morphologically less “difficult” than volver, regresar, devolver and the like. Only non-fluent „semi-speakers“ and Anglophone students of Spanish produce utterances such as

(7) *Tomaron la caja abajo „they took the box down“

and only in early stages of language learning.

The existence of pa tras as an apparent calque of back could be explained as an idiosyncratic and spontaneous creation if it appeared in a single Spanish dialect, since it could be suggested that for whatever reason, this unusual form caught on and spread through the community once it had first appeared. However, pa tras occurs in several Spanish–English bilingual communities, with distribution patterns that defy a simple propagation and diffusion model. A survey of these groups and of the occurrence of pa tras will make the situation clearer.

Among United States Spanish dialects, the construction pa tras in the sense noted above is attested among speakers of Mexican/Chicano and Puerto Rican origin. Although specific structural comparisons between Mexican–American and New York Puerto Rican Spanish are almost nonexistent, there is no indication in the published materials which would suggest any significant difference
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in the usage of \textit{pa tras} between the two Hispanic communities in the United States; my own personal experience with the speech of both groups, acquired through extended periods of residence in New Jersey, Michigan and Texas, has revealed no notable differences in the behavior of this construction between the two groups. \textit{Pa tras} is used in essentially the same fashion in each dialect, in quasi-stereotyped cases such as \textit{dar pa tras}, \textit{ir pa tras}, \textit{venir pa tras}, and in other, spontaneously produced configurations which roughly correspond to English combinations with \textit{back}. However, there is no compelling evidence for supposing that either group transmitted this construction to the other, in the form of a borrowing, or that mutual influence resulted in the creation of this construction in \textquoteleft general\textquoteright\ United States Spanish. In the first place, the principal centers of diffusion and innovation of Chicano Spanish (Los Angeles, southern Texas, Tucson, etc.) are far removed from major centers of mainland Puerto Rican Spanish (New York, Philadelphia, Chicago, etc.). Moreover, each linguistic group is spread over a considerable geographical area of the United States, with continuous intercommunication often only sporadic and irregular, and yet the combinations with \textit{pa tras} ordinarily appear wherever Chicano and NY Puerto Rican speakers are found. Finally, these two groups come into daily contact in only a few areas of the country, such as Detroit and Chicago, and even in these cities the amount of actual linguistic interpenetration of Mexican–American and Puerto Rican Spanish dialects is probably minimal, since the groups usually live, work and study in nonadjacent regions of the city, and do not frequently participate in the same community activities.

In Puerto Rico itself, the constructions with \textit{pa tras}, unheard of only a few years ago, are becoming increasingly common, presumably as greater social mobility gives more Puerto Ricans a chance to travel to the United States and/or intensively study English in Puerto Rico, and as more \textquoteleft Nuyoricans\textquoteright emigrate to live in Puerto Rico\textsuperscript{4}. Young Cuban–Americans are also making increasing use of \textit{pa tras} constructions, despite the small amount of active linguistic contact between Cubans and either Puerto Rican or Chicano Spanish speakers, except for small groups of Cubans in New York and in a few other cities\textsuperscript{5}. Nonetheless, given the theoretically unlimited possibilities for geographical mobility within the United States and the increasing usage of regional Spanish dialects in radio broadcasting, popular music and even in \textit{public educational programs}, the possibility that \textit{pa tras} originated in one Hispanic group and was propagated to others may not be entirely ruled out, although this form rarely appears either in mass
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communication media or in pedagogical materials, even those which utilize or make reference to "popular" U. S. Spanish.

A much more telling bit of linguistic evidence is the existence of pa tras constructions in the isleño dialect of St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana. This little-known dialect was first studied in the 1940's, and since that time has rarely been the object of serious linguistic observation. Spanish-speaking residents of St. Bernard Parish are descendents of Canary Islanders who emigrated to the then Spanish territory of Louisiana at the end of the 18th century. Their numbers were slightly increased by a small posterior Spanish immigration, especially in the early 20th century, but most isleños are direct descendents of Spanish speakers who arrived in this country nearly 200 years ago. Although few isleños under the age of 50 currently speak Spanish, only a generation ago, isleños learned Spanish as a sole native language, and frequently suffered from their initial contacts with English, upon first attending school. The key fact of importance for isleño Spanish is that this group has been culturally and linguistically isolated not only from English speakers but also from other Spanish speakers, since the St. Bernard region is swampy and inhospitable, and good roads did not exist until after World War II. Following several severe hurricanes in the last decades, isleños emigrated in large numbers, and young members of the group, almost exclusively fishermen and fur trappers, are increasingly moving out of the region and/or maintaining contacts with larger segments of society, with the result that a massive linguistic shift has occurred within a single generation.

Currently, although the number of ethnic isleños has been estimated as high as 50,000, probably fewer than 500 fluent Spanish speakers remain, and it is unlikely that the language will survive another generation under present conditions. The highly archaic nature of isleño Spanish is immediately evident, as are the ample indications that this dialect developed in complete isolation from large Spanish-speaking communities, with the result that phonological and morphological information has been severely distorted in the isleño dialect.

Creole French elements are rather frequent in the dialect, and particularly in the last 50 years, the number of lexical Anglicisms has increased notably, from the handful of technical fishing terms (trolley, night rigging, skiff/esquife) to encompass a much wider range of daily activities. Finally, among the last generation of native Spanish isleño, the large-scale language shift and the lack of daily opportunities for speaking Spanish have produced speakers who obviously think at least partially in English while speaking Spanish, and who transfer syntactic Anglicisms to their Spanish in a way that did not occur among earlier, totally monolingual generations of isleños. This is used in precisely the same way.

The following are some examples of this kind of speech in the isleño dialect.

(8) Ven pa tras, pero yo no puedo
(9) Dio quiere a mi hijo
(10) Te poniendo
(11) Túne que de
(12) Cuando se

It may be seen that the isleño dialect has been attested as a distinct language variety syntactically and lexically. Spanish Creole dialects on the Atlantic coast of the United States, and any other Hispanic Creoles, confirm this assessment as the linguistic result that the isleño Spanish represents.

Another little known fact of the isleño is that a brief period in the history of the language, at the end of the 19th century, is considered a period of generally living Spanish in the island. These pockets of speakers, called "pockets of Spanish," were an important part of the language, with the demography of the island. People living in small communities.
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monolingual generations of Spanish speakers. Significantly, among the last generations of *isleño* Spanish speakers, the construction *pa tras* is frequent, and is used in precisely the same fashion as among Chicano and Puerto Rican speakers. The following typical examples come from my corpus of recorded materials of the St. Bernard dialect:

8. *(8) Ven pa trah mañana.*
(9) Dió quiera que eso tiempoh nunca vengan pa tra.
(10) Te ponían el pie pa tra.
(11) Tuve que dárselo pa tra.
(12) Cuando se acaba la pecha, se va pa trah pal trabajo.

It may be seen that these constructions correspond exactly to those which have been attested among other United States Hispanic speakers, as do many other syntactic Anglicisms in the *isleño* dialect. Once again, direct influence of the latter dialects on *isleño* speech is completely out of the question, given the total lack of documented contact between the Spanish speakers of St. Bernard Parish and any other Hispanic community in the United States. The *isleños* themselves confirm this assertion, and historical and demographic data corroborate it, with the result that the appearance of *pa tras* in St. Bernard Parish must be considered independently of its occurrence in other United States Spanish dialects.

Another little-known Spanish dialect is that spoken on the Caribbean island of Trinidad, a nominally English-speaking area. Trinidad belonged to Spain for a brief period in the 18th century, and when the island passed into British hands at the end of the 18th century, small pockets of Spanish speakers remained, generally living together with creole French *patois* speakers. Immigration of Venezuelan peasants in the 19th and early 20th centuries further reinforced these pockets of Trinidadian Spanish, although currently only the oldest residents of the respective areas (less than 1% of the island's total population) speak the language, which is rapidly disappearing. Trinidad Spanish is historically and demographically much like *isleño* Spanish of Louisiana, since most of the oldest speakers learned English as a second language, and until a generation ago, the small communities were virtually monolingual Spanish-speaking, or
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bilingual Spanish–patois. Current Trinidad Spanish speakers are strongly English dominant and introduce numerous Anglicisms into their Spanish, similar to bilingual groups in the United States. Naturally, these rural Trinidadians speak a partially creolized English far different from the dialects spoken by biculturals in the United States, which makes direct comparison with U.S. bilingual communities difficult; the Trinidad linguistic situation is more similar to the Caribbean coast of Central America, from Guatemala through central Panama, where creole English-speaking descendants of West Indian laborers speak Spanish in like fashion. Constructions with _pa tras_ do sporadically occur in Trinidad Spanish, and I have also observed them in Central American Spanish as spoken by the West Indian population. Such constructions are quite rare, which may be due in part to the very low frequency of the verbal particle _back_ in the creole English of these regions; verbs like _return, come, put_ and the like are normally used. Nonetheless, the existence of even a few cases of _pa tras_ in these dialects is significant, since there is no possibility for postulating the direct or indirect influence of other Spanish dialects which exist in bilingual contact situations with English; in particular, contact with bilingual Hispanic speakers from the United States is completely ruled out.

The final case to be mentioned is another little-known bilingual region, Gibraltar. Although Gibraltar is a nominally English-speaking crown colony of Great Britain, the vast majority of its native-born residents speak Spanish as a first language, since they are descended from mixed marriages between Spaniards and Britons. Spanish has no place in official life of Gibraltar but is the predominant language for informal communication, except for those residents born in the United Kingdom. Given the non-standardized low prestige status of Gibraltar Spanish (which in essence is a variant of popular Andalusian Spanish as spoken in nearby Algeciras), the influence of English has been enormous, even considering the relatively deficient English spoken by a large number of Gibraltarians. The English used in Gibraltar is based on educated British, but syntactically it is very similar to United States English. The Spanish–
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English interface of Gibraltar bears an uncanny resemblance to the bilingual Hispanic communities of the United States, in terms of specific lexical Anglicisms, and especially in the area of calques and syntactic interference. Spanish-speaking Gibraltarians make frequent use of constructions with *pa tras*, in ways that exactly parallel Chicano and Puerto Rican usage, as indicated by the following examples, which come from data I collected in Gibraltar in 1983:

(13) *Vengo pa *tra sh mañana.*
(14) *Por favor, póngalo pa *tra sh.*
(15) *Cuando quiera, te lo doy pa *tra sh.*

Once again, direct influence of United States Spanish dialect is out of the question in Gibraltar, and yet *pa tras* is nearly as frequent as in the United States, and is used in precisely the same environments.

The apparently spontaneous appearance of *pa tras* in geographically and culturally isolated bilingual areas requires the postulate of quasi-universal patterns of interaction between English and Spanish. Bilingual interpenetration is a necessary component of any proposed explanation, since *pa tras* in the sense described in this note is not attested for any Spanish dialect not in active contact with English, and under conditions of bilingualism, *pa tras* combinations are in a one-to-one correlation with English verb constructions using *back*. Given the ready availability of common Spanish verbs as translation equivalents of the major English constructions using *back* (*volver*, *devolver*, *regresar*, *reponer*, etc.), mere lexical convenience or unavailability may not be invoked to account for *pa tras* in so many widely scattered areas. Further study of the syntactic possibilities of *pa tras* and of constructions with *back* in English are called for.

Transitive verbs like *give back* and *put back* are really combinations of verb + particle, since postposition of *back* is optional with nominal objects and obligatory with pronominal objects:

(16) *I gave the book back*/*gave back* the book.
(17) *I gave it back*/*gave back* it.

In intransitive combinations like *come back* and *move back*, *back* is really an adverb, but in essence a two-word verb has been formed since *back* may not normally be separated from the preceding verb, except by an intensifier such as *right*, *on*, etc. In the Spanish dialects which have evolved constructions with *pa tras*, this element apparently acts as an adverb, which suggests that the equivalent English sentences have also been interpreted as *verb + adverb*, as evidenced by the relative scarcity of cases of non-postposed *pa tras* with transitive verbs, as illustrated by (5) and (6). Thus two essentially different types of English verbal constructions have been identified in the bilingual analysis, and have been rendered into Spanish according to a common pattern, which includes such adverbs as *arriba*, *abajo*, *dentro*, and, significantly, *para atrás*. The latter adverb exists in all Spanish dialects, denoting physical movement, as in
(18) Nos echamos para atrás.
(19) Ella miró para atrás.

Thus, no new lexical item has had to be created, nor has the syntactic value of *para atrás* as an adverb been altered; rather, the combinatorial possibilities of *para atrás* have been extended across a wider range of Spanish verbs.

Of equal or greater importance to the analysis of *pa tras* constructions is the high frequency of use of *pa(ra) + adverb* in colloquial Spanish worldwide. It is frequently commented, for example, that Mexican/Chicano Spanish uses *para* in combinations where *a* would be preferred in other areas, as in

(20) Fuimos para Los Ángeles.

Although sentences like (20) are rejected by educated speakers from Spain and other Latin American countries, this rejection obscures the fact that they are by no means nonexistent in the popular speech of these same areas. One study found that sentences like (20) were accepted by 95% of the Texas Chicano speakers interviewed, by 68% of the Mexicans resident in Mexico, and by fewer than 30% of a group of South American speakers. Even constructions with *pa tras*, which were accepted by 96% of Chicano speakers, were also accepted by 31% of Mexicans resident in Mexico, although only 5% of the South American speakers would accept such constructions. Moreover, *para acá (paca)* often replaces *acá aqui* in colloquial Spanish (nos vinimos *pacá*), *para adelante (palante)* replaces *adelante* (seguimos *palante*), *para abajo (papajo)* and *para arriba (parriba)* replace *abajo* and *arriba*, *para adentro (padentro)* and *para afuera (pafuera)* replace *adentro* and *afuera*, etc. A sampling of popular Spanish in virtually any area of Latin America or Spain will reveal a host of similar uses of pleonastic or redundant *para*, all in apparent violation of "standard" Spanish norms. Thus, the combination *para + adverb* has a much higher frequency of usage in popular Spanish of many areas than is indicated by grammatical manuals, written literature, or the reactions of educated native speakers from urban areas. The results of the survey of South American speakers cited above were obtained from educated university-level speakers, and offer no information on the linguistic reactions of less educated individuals from isolated rural areas of the countries in question, which would undoubtedly indicate a higher rate of acceptance of at least some combinations with *para*. The existence of pleonastic *para* as a popular variant across a wide variety of dialects creates a quasi-canonical pattern with *para + adverb* of location or movement, which with little effort could be used to render English *back*.

render English back analyzed as an adverb. Such possibilities are not immediately apparent when considering quasi-universal patterns of Spanish syntax, but constitute a partially hidden basis of largely unstudied and officially unrecognized popular variants which, however, may have considerable impact in the absence of counterbalancing normative forces. In the Spanish dialects under consideration, precisely such freedom from normative pressures has characterized the evolution of the linguistic communities, which also share the common denominator of speaking some dialect of English as a first, second or official language. Chicano, NY Puerto Rican, isleño, Gibraltarian and Trinidad Spanish speakers traditionally learned English only out of necessity, their home language was belittled and many of its users eventually rejected it, and there was no ready access to models of educated Spanish usage from the respective countries of origin. English was frequently learned under disadvantageous circumstances, with the result that both the English and the Spanish spoken by such groups may only be studied by reference to popular sociolinguistic strata, and bilingual interpenetrations must take into account the configurations present in these popular styles, their frequencies of occurrence, and the principal differences between these configurations and those found in "educated" English and Spanish of each region. Moreover, the Spanish dialects which constitute the original basis for the bilingual behavior of these communities represents the speech of rural, marginalized segments of the countries of origin, whose linguistic characteristics, often little studied, frequently bear only a slight resemblance to forms which would be accepted by urban professionals from these same nations. Chicano Spanish is largely derived from the speech of semiliterate peasants from central and northern Mexico, often from areas so marginalized that there were no schools or even roads which would put these individuals in contact with urban regional Mexican Spanish prior to arriving in the United States. NY Puerto Rican Spanish is similarly derived from the waves of immigrants from the most destitute classes of Puerto Rico, ultimately proceeding from rural regions. Isleño speech is a partially fossilized derivative of the speech of Canary Island peasants, with small additions from the speech of Spanish sailors who wandered into the community in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Trinidad Spanish is a transplanted version of the speech of peasants of eastern Venezuela, one of that country's most culturally isolated regions, whose speech patterns are largely unknown even in other parts of Venezuela. Finally, although Gibraltarians have at times enjoyed the possibility of travel to Spain, their dialect of Spanish is derived from the speech of contract laborers and domestic servants from one of the poorest regions of Andalusia, and offers striking contrasts with urban professional linguistic norms in Spain, even in Andalusia. The popular speech of each of the above-mentioned nations makes frequent use of para + adverb of motion or location, often with frequencies which far exceed those reported for even popular urban speech of the respective countries. Each of the bilingual groups under study has acquired English as an official language and the only one enjoying a prestige status and the availability of educational programs and contact with the norms of urban professional usage. Spanish has been
free to drift, at the same time that learning of English has often been left to
chance, given the low socioeconomic condition of most of the individuals con-
cerned, and the considerable societal prejudice, or at best neglect, of their edu-
cational needs. Under these conditions, it is not surprising that English con-
structions with verb + particle would be grouped with verb + adverb combina-
tions, and that this group of forms would in turn exercise an influence on local
Spanish syntactic patterns. The apparently spontaneous formation of pa tras
constructions in each of these areas is not mysterious, nor does it inexorably
point to profound linguistic universals which, among all the possible permuta-
tions and combinations of English–Spanish bilingual interaction, would pro-
duce precisely the same result in each area. The use of para + adverb in the offi-
cially ignored popular Spanish substratum, coupled to the inadequate opportu-
nities for fully mastering English grammatical analysis, provide a sufficient
mechanism for the creation and extension of pa tras configurations.