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Processing sequencing reads

Sequenced DNA fragments (DNA library)

Unknown Genome
De novo assembly

gene discovery (annotation)

Known Genome
Read mapping (alignments)

chip-Seq, RNA-Seq, SNP calling etc.

Known genome (reference)
Sequence alignments

• Arranging two or more sequences such as to maximize the length of the common regions between the two

• It is a very well developed field – the roots of the bioinformatics started with various alignment software

• We will only cover pair-wise alignments searching a database with a query

• High throughput sequencing poses special constraints: a very large number of very short reads - traditional methods were not feasible → semi-global alignment
Alignment concepts

NOTE: mismatches or indels can be longer than 1 base!

It gets complicated very quickly

Alignment scoring depends on mismatch scoring (different across bases!), gap open, gap extension penalties
Use the terminology correctly

- A mismatch is not a SNP (single nucleotide polymorphisms)
- But SNPs will show up as mismatches
- Indels are not DIPs (insertion/deletion polymorphisms)
- But DIPs will show up as indels
Challenges: repetitive and low complexity regions

GCAAG

GCAAGTATGGGGGCAAGGAAAAAGCAAG

TATA

TATATATATATATATATATATATATATA
Challenge: genomic reorganization can easily lead to mis-mapping

Reference genome (aka the illusion)

Real genome (with small rearrangement)

Mismapping against the reference →

\[
\text{GCAAAGTATGGGGGCAGGC} \\
\text{GCAAAGTATGGGGGCAG} \\
\text{GCAA} \\
\text{GCAA} \\
\text{AAGTATGGGGCAGGGC} \\
\]
Short Read Mappers (aligners)

• Use **heuristics** to quickly identify locations (hits) where the reads match

• Heuristics → not all hits will be found!

• Tradeoff: **resource usage vs speed vs accuracy vs usability**

• Each domain of application may have more appropriate tools
A few popular aligners

- BWA, bowtie, SOAP2, Shrimp, BFAST and many others

There is no single best tool, the issues to consider:

1. documentation → can we figure out how it works
2. input features → what type of input can it handle
3. reporting features → will it produce the type of output that we can use
4. performance → is it feasible to run on my resources
Aligner features

- Some cannot handle indels (insertion/deletions) → these tools are typically extremely fast will run on a laptop for even large genomes (bowtie 1) → application Chip-Seq

- May or may not use the quality score during the alignment (usually not, that would alter the alignment score)

- Differences in the they report alignments:
  - unique alignments only (note that this is an ill defined concept!)
  - best alignments above a cutoff
  - all possible alignments (can slow down the process greatly)
CPU time to align 1 million reads

from: http://www.massgenomics.org/short-read-aligners
Tool comparisons

The vast majority of tools comparisons lead to “red-herring” type fallacies

1. Tool A is faster than Tool B and we tacitly assume that the results are the same

2. Tool A is more ‘accurate’ than Tool B and we assume the resource utilization to be the same
Robust results should be tool independent!

• We need tools that are correct and finish running within our resources

• Results should be hold across methods

• There are exception to this – but those need to be explicitly documented – and you need to know why
BWA (Burrows-Wheeler Aligner) by Heng Li

Introduction

Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) is an efficient program that aligns relatively short nucleotide sequences against a long reference sequence such as the human genome. It implements two algorithms, bwa-short and BWA-SW. The former works for query sequences shorter than 200bp and the latter for longer sequences up to around 100kbp. Both algorithms do gapped alignment. They are usually more accurate and faster on queries with low error rates. Please see the BWA manual page for more information.

BWA:

- SF project page
- SF download page
- Mailing list
- BWA manual page
- Repository

Links:

http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/

Download, unpack, compile with make and link to bin

Read also the bwa-mem controversy – the bwa-mem paper rejection
Download – unpack and make

```bash
ialbert@porthos ~/$src
$ tar jxf bwa-0.7.5a.tar.bz2

ialbert@porthos ~/$src
$ cd bwa-0.7.5a

ialbert@porthos ~/$src/bwa-0.7.5a
$ make
```

Uses **bz2** compression hence the **j** flag (the **z** command would expand a gzip file)
Link it the tool into your bin folder

```bash
ialbert@porthos ~/src/bwa-0.7.5a
$ ln -s ~/src/bwa-0.7.5a/bwa ~/bin/bwa

ialbert@porthos ~/src/bwa-0.7.5a
$ ~/bin/bwa
```
Aligning reads with BWA (old-style)

For BWA is three step process (this is different for each aligner):

1. Index the genome – this only needs to be done once for each genome → bwa index ...
2. Create the alignment → bwa aln ...
3. Format the alignment → bwa samse ...
Aligning reads with BWA (new-style)

For BWA is three step process (this is different for each aligner):

1. **Index the genome** – this only needs to be done once for each genome \(\rightarrow \text{bwa index} \ldots\)

2. **Create the alignment** \(\rightarrow \text{bwa mem} \ldots\)
There is built-in help

```
ialbert@porthos ~/work/lec10
$ ~/bin/bwa index

Usage:   bwa index [-a bwtsw|is] [-c] <in.fasta>

Options: -a STR      BWT construction algorithm: bwtsw or is [auto]
    -p STR      prefix of the index [same as fasta name]
    -6          index files named as <in.fasta>.64.* instead of <in.fasta>.*

Warning: `-a bwtsw' does not work for short genomes, while `-a is' and
         `-a div' do not work not for long genomes. Please choose `-a'
         according to the length of the genome.
```

ialbert@porthos ~/work/lec10
$
Index the genome

For long genomes (over 2GB) you need to index with the \texttt{--a bwtsw} option.

Periodically re-read the manual to remind yourself of what the tool can do.
Create a FASTQ query sequence

- Select some bases from the beginning of chromosome 1

```
ialbert@porthos ~ 
$ head -2 ~/refs/yeast/sc.fa
>chrI
CCACACCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC
ACACTACCTAACA
ialbert@porthos ~
```

This works because quality strings could be letters up to I anyhow
BWA concepts

- the **index** or **prefix** (*.*fasta): the full path to the fasta reference sequence that has been indexed

- the **query** (*.*fq): the file containing the sequences that we want to look up in the reference

- the **alignment** (*.*sai): a binary intermediate file that contains the alignment information for each read

- the **sequence alignment map** (*.*sam): the result file that contains the alignment cross referenced with the query

- **bwa** can operate with different alignment methods! These may generate very different outputs!
Run and format with BWA aln

A multistep process that is best used via a shell script.

The file `query.sam` is the final output of the alignment.

```
# create an alignment with the aln method
~/bin/bwa aln ~/refs/yeast/sc.fa query.fq > query.sai

# format the sequence alignment into a SAM file (sequence alignment map)
~/bin/bwa samse ~/refs/yeast/sc.fa query.sai query.fq > query.sam

# view the resulting alignment
more query.sam
```
Use the bwa mem method

- This is a different alignment strategy that operates via a radically different algorithm.

Get detailed help
The result of the alignments

```
1 @SQ SN:chrI LN:230218
2 @SQ SN:chrII LN:813184
3 @SQ SN:chrIII LN:316620
4 @SQ SN:chrIV LN:1531933
5 @SQ SN:chrV LN:576874
6 @SQ SN:chrVI LN:270161
7 @SQ SN:chrVII LN:1090940
8 @SQ SN:chrVIII LN:562643
9 @SQ SN:chrIX LN:439888
10 @SQ SN:chrX LN:745751
11 @SQ SN:chrXI LN:666816
12 @SQ SN:chrXII LN:1078177
13 @SQ SN:chrXIII LN:924431
14 @SQ SN:chrXIV LN:784333
15 @SQ SN:chrXV LN:1091291
16 @SQ SN:chrXVI LN:948066
17 @SQ SN:chrmt LN:85779
18 perfect-match 0 chrI 1 37 80M * 0 0 CCACACCACACCCACACACACAC
```

- Read name
- Chromosome
- Position
Homework 14

Create a FASTQ file using the first 60 or so bases from chromosome 1 of the yeast genome.

Align your query file with both BWA and BLAST and look at the output of both processes.

Both are sequence aligners, what is the main difference that you observe?

(remember to turn your fastq file into fasta when blasting)