Mass problems associated with effectively closed sets, part 2
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Abstract:

We begin with a brief introduction to mass problems in general. After that, the purpose of the talk is to introduce $\mathcal{P}_w$, the lattice of Muchnik degrees of mass problems associated with nonempty effectively closed sets in the Cantor space. We show that $\mathcal{P}_w$ is a countable distributive lattice with 0 and 1. We show that the top element of $\mathcal{P}_w$ is the Muchnik degree of the problem of finding a complete consistent theory which extends Peano Arithmetic. The Gödel Incompleteness Theorem tells us that this problem is unsolvable. Instead of Peano Arithmetic we could take any theory which, like Peano Arithmetic, is recursively axiomatizable and effectively essentially undecidable. It turns out that the effectively closed sets associated with all such theories are not only Muchnik equivalent but also recursively homeomorphic to each other. As time permits we shall exhibit some other interesting examples of specific, natural Muchnik degrees in $\mathcal{P}_w$. 
Let $P$ and $Q$ be subsets of $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$.

$P$ is **strongly reducible** to $Q$, $P \leq_s Q$, if there exists a partial recursive functional $\Psi : \subseteq \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ such that $Q \subseteq \text{dom}(\Psi)$ and $\Psi(g) \in P$ for all $g \in Q$.

(Medvedev 1955)

$P$ is **weakly reducible** to $Q$, $P \leq_w Q$, if for all $g \in Q$ there exists $f \in P$ such that $f \leq_T g$.

(Muchnik 1963)

Obviously $P \leq_s Q$ implies $P \leq_w Q$. The converse does not hold in general.

Note that $\leq_s$, strong reducibility, is the uniform version of $\leq_w$, weak reducibility.

We are interested in the lattices $\mathcal{P}_s$ and $\mathcal{P}_w$ of strong and weak degrees of nonempty $\Pi_1^0$ subsets of $2^\mathbb{N}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_s$ and $\mathcal{P}_w$ are countable distributive lattices with 0 and 1.
We write 1 for the top degree in $\mathcal{P}_s$ or $\mathcal{P}_w$.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be nonempty $\Pi^0_1$ subsets of $2^\mathbb{N}$.

**Theorem 1** (Simpson 2000).
If $\deg_s(P) = \deg_s(Q) = 1$ then $P$ and $Q$ are *recursively homeomorphic*, i.e., there exists a partial recursive functional $\Psi$ which maps $P$ one-to-one onto $Q$. It follows that the inverse functional $\Psi^{-1}$ is also partial recursive.

**Theorem 2** (Simpson 2002).
If $\deg_w(P) = \deg_w(Q) = 1$ then $P$ and $Q$ are *Turing equivalent*, i.e.,

$$\{ \deg_T(f) \mid f \in P \} = \{ \deg_T(g) \mid g \in Q \}.$$ 

It follows that these sets of Turing degrees are upward closed.
Corollary. If \( \deg_w(P) = 1 \) then 
\( \{\deg_T(f) \mid f \in P\} \) is upward closed.

Proof. Let \( Q = P \times 2^\mathbb{N} \). Clearly \( Q \) is a \( \Pi^0_1 \) subset of \( 2^\mathbb{N} \) and \( \deg_w(Q) = 1 \) and 
\( \{\deg_T(g) \mid g \in Q\} \) is upward closed. It follows by Theorem 2 that this holds for \( P \) as well. □

Corollary (Solovay).
The set of Turing degrees of completions of PA is upward closed.

Proof. We have seen that \( C(PA) \) is a \( \Pi^0_1 \) subset of \( 2^\mathbb{N} \) and \( \deg_w(C(PA)) = 1 \). Hence, 
by the previous corollary, 
\( \{\deg_T(S) \mid S \in C(PA)\} \) is upward closed. □
Let $P_e, e = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ be our standard recursive enumeration of the $\Pi^0_1$ subsets of $2^\mathbb{N}$. Let $D_e, e = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ be our standard recursive enumeration of the clopen subsets of $2^\mathbb{N}$. Note that each clopen set in $2^\mathbb{N}$ looks like $\bigcup_{\sigma \in S} N_\sigma$ where $S$ is a finite subset of $2^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

**Definition.** Let $P$ be a nonempty $\Pi^0_1$ subset of $2^\mathbb{N}$. A *splitting function* for $P$ is a recursive function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $e$, if $P_e \subseteq P$ is nonempty, then $P_e \cap D_{g(e)}$ and $P_e \setminus D_{g(e)}$ are nonempty. $P$ is **productive** if there exists a splitting function for $P$.

For a recursively axiomatizable theory $S$, the corresponding property is **effective essential undecidability**:

Given a recursively axiomatizable extension $S'$ of $S$, we can effectively find a sentence $F$ such that neither $S' \vdash F$ nor $S' \vdash \neg F$. 
Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be \( \Pi^0_1 \) subsets of \( 2^\mathbb{N} \).

**Theorem.**

1. If \( P \) is productive, we can find a recursive functional which maps \( P \) onto \( Q \).
2. If \( P \) and \( Q \) are productive, then \( P \) and \( Q \) are recursively homeomorphic.

The proof uses the Recursion Theorem and is reminiscent of Myhill’s proof that creative r.e. sets are recursively isomorphic.

**Lemma.**

1. There exists a productive \( P \).
2. If \( P \) is productive and \( P \leq_s Q \), then \( Q \) is productive.

**Theorem.**

\( P \) is productive if and only if \( \deg_s(P) = 1 \).
The “only if” statement follows from part 1 of the previous theorem. The “if” statement follows from the previous lemma.