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We construct a finitely presented semigroup with unsolvable word problem. We follow the exposition of the first few sections of Chapter 12 of Joseph J. Rotman, *The Theory of Groups*, 2nd edition, Allyn-Bacon, 1973, with the difference that Rotman uses Turing machines while we use register machines.

Our construction is based on the following fact. There is a register machine program $P$ which computes the partial recursive function $2^x \mapsto 0 \cdot \varphi_x^{(1)}(x)$, and such that $P$ uses only two registers, $R_1$ and $R_2$. This follows easily from Exercise 5.9 in my Math 558 lecture notes, *Foundations of Mathematics*.

Note that $\{x : P(x) \text{ halts}\}$ is nonrecursive. In other words, given $x$, the problem of deciding whether $P$ halts if started with $x$ in $R_1$ and with $R_2$ empty, is unsolvable. Furthermore, we may safely assume that if $P(x)$ halts then it halts with both registers empty.

The idea of our construction is to encode the action of $P$ into the word problem of a semigroup $S$.

Let $I_1, \ldots, I_l$ be the instructions of $P$. As usual, $I_1$ is the first instruction executed, and $I_0$ is the halt instruction. Our semigroup $S$ will have $l + 3$ generators $a, b, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_l$. If $R_1$ and $R_2$ contain $x$ and $y$ respectively, and if $I_m$ is about to be executed, then we represent this state as a word $ba^x q_m a^y b$. Thus $a$ serves as a counting token, and $b$ serves as an end-of-count marker. For each $m = 1, \ldots, l$, if $I_m$ says “increment $R_1$ and go to $I_n_0$”, we represent this as a production $q_m \rightarrow a q_m$ or as a relation $q_m = a q_m$. If $I_m$ says “increment $R_2$ and go to $I_n_0$”, we represent this as a production $q_m \rightarrow q_m a$ or as a relation $q_m = q_m a$. If $I_m$ says “if $R_1$ is empty go to $I_n_0$ otherwise decrement $R_1$ and go to $I_n_1$”, we represent this as a pair of productions $b q_m \rightarrow b q_m$, $aq_m \rightarrow q_n$, or as a pair of relations $b q_m = b q_m$, $aq_m = q_n$. If $I_m$ says “if $R_2$ is empty go to $I_n_0$ otherwise decrement $R_2$ and go to $I_n_1$”, we represent this as a pair of productions $q_m b \rightarrow q_m b$, $q_m a \rightarrow q_n$, or as a pair of relations $q_m b = q_m b$, $q_m a = q_n$. Thus the total number of productions or relations is $l^+ + 2l^-$, where $l = l^+ + l^-$ and $l^+$ is the number of increment instructions and $l^-$ is the number of decrement instructions. Let $S$ be the semigroup described by these generators and relations.

We claim that for all $x$, $ba^x q_1 b = bq_0 b$ in $S$ if and only if $P(x)$ halts. The “if” part is clear. For the “only if” part, assume that $ba^x q_1 b = bq_0 b$ in $S$. This implies that there is a sequence of words $ba^x q_1 b = w_0 = \cdots = w_n = bq_0 b$ where
each $w_{i+1}$ is obtained from $w_i$ by a forward or backward production. We claim that the backward productions can be eliminated. In other words, if there are any backward productions, we can replace the sequence $w_0, \ldots, w_n$ by a shorter sequence. This is actually obvious, because if there is a backward production then there must be one which is immediately followed by a forward production, and these two must be inverses of each other, because $\mathcal{P}$ is deterministic. Thus we see that $ba^xq_1b = bq_0b$ via a sequence of forward productions. This implies that $\mathcal{P}(x)$ halts. Our claim is proved.