

**Term Project Peer Evaluation Form**  
IST 210 Organization of Data - Sections 3 and 4

Team To be Evaluated: <http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media> 232

For each of the following, please circle one of the choices and provide brief explanations. A copy of this side of the form can be provided to the team you are assigned to evaluate.

1. Web Interface: style, intuitiveness, creativeness, attractiveness

Poor                  Fair                  **Good**                  Very Good                  Excellent

Explain:          good start but could use more professionalism

2. Functionality: flawless, professional, comprehensive capabilities

Poor                  Fair                  **Good**                  Very Good                  Excellent

Explain:          need to name main page "index.html"  
make it easier to use, more user friendly  
no password

3. Overall: would you recommend the project be incorporated on the official alipr Website as a representative of Penn State student projects?

**Not Recommended**                  Recommended                  Highly Recommended

Explain:

4. Among the five projects you have evaluated, rank the overall quality of this project as:  
(Note: two different teams should NOT share the same rank)

Worst → **5**                  4                  3                  2                  1 ← Best

5. Improvement suggestions on this project:

**Term Project Peer Evaluation Form**  
IST 210 Organization of Data - Sections 3 and 4

Team To be Evaluated: [http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media\\_232](http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media_232)

For each of the following, please circle one of the choices and provide brief explanations. A copy of this side of the form can be provided to the team you are assigned to evaluate.

1. Web Interface: style, intuitiveness, creativeness, attractiveness

Poor      Fair      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain: They have one, but it isn't their index page so I had to find it in their mess of files/folders

2. Functionality: flawless, professional, comprehensive capabilities

Poor      Fair      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain: Since no interface, it is just a bunch of files and I don't know what is what.

3. Overall: would you recommend the project be incorporated on the official alipr Website as a representative of Penn State student projects?

Not Recommended      Recommended      Highly Recommended

Explain:

It sucks

4. Among the five projects you have evaluated, rank the overall quality of this project as:  
(Note: two different teams should NOT share the same rank)

Worst →  5      4      3      2      1 ← Best

5. Improvement suggestions on this project:

Make a home page and actual interactive site. Tell them their score and points earned each time. Make actual login with password.

**Term Project Peer Evaluation Form**  
IST 210 Organization of Data - Sections 3 and 4

Team To be Evaluated: <http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media> 223 232

For each of the following, please circle one of the choices and provide brief explanations. A copy of this side of the form can be provided to the team you are assigned to evaluate.

1. Web Interface: style, intuitiveness, creativeness, attractiveness

Poor      Fair      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain:

~~rest~~

2. Functionality: flawless, professional, comprehensive capabilities

Poor      Fair      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain:

malformed email address still works - no error about  
it would help if showed when get correct answer

3. Overall: would you recommend the project be incorporated on the official alipr Website as a representative of Penn State student projects?

Not Recommended      Recommended      Highly Recommended

Explain:

4. Among the five projects you have evaluated, rank the overall quality of this project as:  
(Note: two different teams should NOT share the same rank)

Worst → 5

4

3

2

1 ← Best

5. Improvement suggestions on this project:

- you can still get to play VR  
even if not logged in - then returns  
error

- tell user when get tube right

- hint maybe?

**Term Project Peer Evaluation Form**  
IST 210 Organization of Data - Sections 3 and 4

Team To be Evaluated: [http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media\\_232](http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media_232)

For each of the following, please circle one of the choices and provide brief explanations. A copy of this side of the form can be provided to the team you are assigned to evaluate.

1. Web Interface: style, intuitiveness, creativeness, attractiveness

Poor                  Fair                  **Good**                  Very Good                  Excellent

Explain: have URL go to main project page not directory, simple layout

2. Functionality: flawless, professional, comprehensive capabilities

Poor                  Fair                  **Good**                  Very Good                  Excellent

Explain: Everything works great, kind of simple

3. Overall: would you recommend the project be incorporated on the official alipr Website as a representative of Penn State student projects?

**Not Recommended**                  **Recommended**                  **Highly Recommended**

Explain: No functionality for alipr purposes

4. Among the five projects you have evaluated, rank the overall quality of this project as:  
(Note: two different teams should NOT share the same rank)

Worst → 5                  4                  **3**                  2                  1 ← Best

5. Improvement suggestions on this project:

Tell the users what the game is before you start, give further explanation, logout

**Term Project Peer Evaluation Form**  
IST 210 Organization of Data - Sections 3 and 4

Team To be Evaluated: [http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media\\_232](http://ist210.ist.psu.edu/~media_232)

For each of the following, please circle one of the choices and provide brief explanations. A copy of this side of the form can be provided to the team you are assigned to evaluate.

1. Web Interface: style, intuitiveness, creativeness, attractiveness

Poor      **Fair**      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain:

Not very creative or attractive

2. Functionality: flawless, professional, comprehensive capabilities

Poor      **Fair**      Good      Very Good      Excellent

Explain:

High score shows on table, but user does not know what was right/wrong

3. Overall: would you recommend the project be incorporated on the official alipr Website as a representative of Penn State student projects?

**Not Recommended**      Recommended      Highly Recommended

Explain:

I don't see the point in this game

4. Among the five projects you have evaluated, rank the overall quality of this project as: (Note: two different teams should NOT share the same rank)

Worst → 5      **4**      3      2      1 ← Best

5. Improvement suggestions on this project:

- "You have been registered successfully" ← fix  
- Add links within each page to other pages