

## 9. MODULAR FORMS

**9.1. Introduction** A modular form is an analytic function which satisfies a certain simple relationship under the action of Möbius transformations together with some other simple properties, to be defined. The importance of modular forms is that they underpin a lot of interesting number theoretic structures.

**9.2. Properties of Möbius transformations.** Let

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, ad \neq bc. \quad (1)$$

The assumption  $ad \neq bc$  is to ensure that  $f$  is not a constant and is well defined ( $c$  and  $d$  cannot both be 0). This defines  $f(z)$  for all  $z$  in the extended complex plane  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  except for  $z = -d/c$  and  $z = \infty$ . We extend the definition to  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$  by taking

$$F(-d/c) = \infty, \quad f(\infty) = a/c$$

with the usual convention that  $w/0 = \infty$  when  $w \neq 0$ , and *vice versa*. Clearly  $f$  is analytic on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$  except for a simple pole at  $-d/c$  and maps  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$  onto  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ . Moreover given  $w \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$  the point

$$z = \frac{dw - b}{-cw + a}$$

has the property that  $f(z) = w$ . Thus

$$g(z) = \frac{dw - b}{-cw + a}$$

is the inverse of  $f$  and  $f$  is a bijection from  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$  to itself. We have

$$\frac{f(w) - f(z)}{w - z} = \frac{ad - bc}{(cw + d)(cz + d)} \quad (2)$$

and letting  $w \rightarrow z$  gives

$$f'(z) = \frac{ad - bc}{(cz + d)^2}.$$

This is non-zero. Thus  $f$  is conformal except possibly at  $z = -d/c$ .

Consider the equation

$$Az\bar{z} + Bz + \bar{B}\bar{z} + C = 0$$

where  $A$  and  $C$  are real. The points on any circle satisfy such an equation with  $A \neq 0$  ( $A|z + B/A|^2 = |B|^2/A - C$ ) and the points on any line satisfy such an equation with  $A = 0$ . Suppose that

$$z = \frac{aw + b}{cw + d}.$$

Then on substituting in the above equations, clearing the denominators  $cw + d$ ,  $c\bar{w} + d$  and collecting together coefficients of  $w\bar{w}$ ,  $w$  and  $\bar{w}$  gives

$$A'w\bar{w} + B'w + \bar{B}'\bar{w} + C' = 0.$$

Hence every Möbius transformation maps circles and lines into circles and lines.

Since for any  $D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  we have

$$\frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{(a/D)z + b/D}{(c/D)z + d/D}$$

and

$$\frac{a}{D} \cdot \frac{d}{D} - \frac{b}{D} \cdot \frac{c}{D} = \frac{ad - bc}{D^2}$$

we can suppose that

$$ad - bc = 1.$$

We can associate with

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

the  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det A = 1$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are Möbius transformations with associated matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , then  $(f \circ g)(z) = f(g(z))$  has associated matrix  $AB$ . The identity matrix  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  corresponds to  $f(z) = z$  and the inverse matrix

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{note } \det A^{-1} = da - bc = 1)$$

is associated with  $f^{-1}(z)$ .

**9.3. The modular group.** The set of all Möbius transforms form a group under composition, and this is associated with  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . We will mostly be concerned with the subgroup  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . When  $a, b, c, d$  are real one has

$$\Im f(z) = \Im \frac{(az + b)(c\bar{z} + d)}{|cz + d|^2} = \Im \frac{z + bc(z + \bar{z})}{|cz + d|^2} = \Im \frac{z + bc(z + \bar{z})}{|cz + d|^2},$$

so

$$\Im f(z) = \frac{\Im z}{|cz + d|^2}. \quad (3)$$

Thus  $f$  maps the upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z : \Im z > 0\}$$

bijectively to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Another important remark is that

$$\frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{(-a)z + (-b)}{(-c)z + (-d)}.$$

In other words,

$$A \quad \text{and} \quad A \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

give identical maps. Thus it is normal to restrict ones attention to

$$\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$$

and

$$\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}.$$

Since  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a handful to write one tends to use a shorthand. Serre uses  $G$  and Apostol and many others use  $\Gamma$ , and we will follow the herd. This group is called the modular group.

**Theorem 9.1.** *The modular group  $\Gamma$  is generated by*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

*i.e. every  $A \in \Gamma$  can be expressed in the form*

$$A = T^{n_1} S T^{n_2} S \dots S T^{n_k}$$

where the  $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark.** The matrices  $S$  and  $T$  correspond to  $z \rightarrow -1/z$  and  $z \rightarrow z + 1$  respectively.

*Proof.* Since we are working modulo  $\pm I$  we need only consider the

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $c \geq 0$ . We argue by induction on  $c$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $ad = 1$  so  $a = d = \pm 1$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = T^{\pm b}.$$

If  $c = 1$ , then  $ad - bc = 1$ , so  $b = ad - 1$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & ad - 1 \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = T^a S T^d.$$

Now suppose that  $c > 1$  and assume the conclusion for all

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $0 \leq c' < c$ . Since  $ad - bc = 1$  we have  $(d, c) = 1$ . Hence  $d = cq + r$  where  $0 < r < c$ . Then

$$AT^{-q} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b - aq \\ c & r \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$AT^{-q}S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b - aq \\ c & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b - aq & -a \\ r & -c \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only other observation we need is that  $S^2 = -I \equiv I$ .

**9.3 Fundamental Domains.** We are interested in the behaviour of the modular group acting on points in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Definition 9.1.** Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$  are equivalent under  $G$  when  $z = Aw$  for some  $A$  in  $G$ . This equivalence relation partitions  $\mathbb{H}$  into equivalence classes called orbits (of  $G$ ), i.e for a given  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  an orbit is the set of all  $Az$  with  $A \in G$ .

**Definition 9.2.** Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Any simply connected subset  $\mathbb{D}_G$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  is called a fundamental domain (or region) of  $G$  when it satisfies the following.

- (i) No two distinct points of  $\mathbb{D}_G$  are in the same orbit of  $G$ .
- (ii) Every orbit of  $G$  contains a point of  $\mathbb{D}_G$ .

When  $G = \Gamma$  we simplify the notation by writing  $\mathbb{D}$  for  $\mathbb{D}_\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 9.2.** Let

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z : \text{either } |z| > 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re z < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \Im z > 0, \text{ or } |z| = 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re z \leq 0 \text{ and } \Im z > 0\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{D}$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Let  $N$  denote the number of integers  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $|cz + d| \leq 1$ . Since  $\Im z > 0$  we have  $|c|\Im z = |\Im(cz + d)| \leq |cz + d| \leq 1$ , so that  $|c| \leq 1/\Im z$  and  $|d| = |cz + d - cz| \leq |cz + d| + |cz| \leq 1 + |z|/\Im z$ . Thus  $N \leq (1 + 2/\Im z)(3 + 2|z|/\Im z)$ . Thus for all but  $N$  choices of  $c$  and  $d$  we have  $|cz + d| > 1$  and so

$$\Im(Az) = \frac{\Im z}{|cz + d|^2} < \Im z.$$

Thus there is an  $A \in \Gamma$  for which  $\Im(Az)$  is maximal. Now choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re Az + n < \frac{1}{2}$ . In other words  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re T^n Az < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\Im T^n Az = \Im Az$  is also maximal. If  $|T^n Az| < 1$ , then  $|ST^n Az| = |-1/(T^n Az)| > 1$  so that  $\Im(ST^n Az) = \Im(T^n Az)|T^n Az|^2 > \Im(T^n Az) = \Im(Az)$  which would contradict the maximality of  $\Im(Az)$ . Hence  $|T^n Az| \geq 1$ . If  $|T^n Az| > 1$  or  $|T^n Az| = 1$  and  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re T^n Az \leq 0$ , then  $T^n Az \in \mathbb{D}$ . If  $|T^n Az| = 1$  and  $0 < \Re T^n Az < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $ST^n Az \in \mathbb{D}$ .

We complete the proof by showing that if  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $A \in \Gamma$ ,  $z = Aw$ , then  $z = w$ . As usual we associate  $A$  with the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

of  $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $ad = 1$ ,  $a = d = \pm 1$  and  $w = Az = z \pm b$ . Hence  $b = 0$  and  $w = z$ . Now suppose that  $c \neq 0$ . We have (3). Since  $A^{-1}w = z$  we also have

$$\Im z = \Im(A^{-1}w) = \frac{\Im w}{|-cw + a|^2}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover

$$|cz + d|^2 = c^2|z|^2 + 2cd\Re z + d^2 \geq c^2|z|^2 - |cd| + d^2 \geq c^2 - |cd| + d^2.$$

Since  $c \neq 0$  and  $u^2 - u + 1$  has no real roots we have

$$|cz + d|^2 \geq c^2|z|^2 - |cd| + d^2 \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Likewise

$$|-cw + a|^2 \geq c^2|w|^2 - |ca| + a^2 \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

Note that equality could only occur in these last two inequalities if  $|z| = |w| = 1$ . By (2) and (5),  $\Im w \leq \Im z$  and by (4) and (6),  $\Im z \leq \Im w$ , so  $\Im z = \Im w$ . But then we have equality in (5) and (6), so  $|z| = |w|$ , and hence  $|\Re z| = |\Re w|$ . But on that part of  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $|z| = 1$  we have  $\Re z \leq 0$  hence  $\Re z = \Re w$ .

### Exercises 9.1.

$\Gamma$  denotes the modular group and  $S, T$  are its generators,  $S(z) = -1/z$ ,  $T(z) = z + 1$ . Given a quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  with real coefficients,  $d = d_Q = b^2 - 4ac$  is called the discriminant of  $Q$ .

1. (i) Find all elements  $A$  of  $\Gamma$  which commute with  $S$ .
- (ii) Find all elements  $A$  of  $\Gamma$  which commute with  $T$ .
- (iii) Find the smallest  $n > 0$  such that  $(ST)^n = I$ .
- (iv) Determine all  $A$  in  $\Gamma$  which leave  $i$  fixed.
- (v) Determine all  $A$  in  $\Gamma$  which leave  $\rho = e(1/3)$  fixed.

2. Prove that if  $A \in \Gamma$ , and  $(x, y)^T = A(x', y')^T$ , then the quadratic form  $Q'$  defined by  $Q'(x', y') = Q(x, y)$  satisfies  $d_{Q'} = d_Q$ . Two forms related in this way are called equivalent. This relation separates all forms into equivalence classes. The forms in the same class have the same discriminant and the ranges  $Q(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  coincide.

In the remaining exercises it will be supposed that the quadratic forms have positive coefficients of  $x^2$  and  $y^2$  and negative discriminant. The associated polynomial  $Q(z, 1)$  has two complex roots. The one in  $\mathbb{H}$  is called the representative of  $Q$ .

3. (i) If  $d$  is fixed, prove that there is a bijection between the set of forms with discriminant  $d$  and the members of  $\mathbb{H}$ .
- (ii) Prove that two quadratic forms with discriminant  $d$  are equivalent iff their representatives are equivalent under  $\Gamma$ .

A reduced form is one whose representative lies in the fundamental domain  $\mathbb{D}$ , the set of  $z$  such that either  $|z| > 1$  and  $-1/2 \leq \Re z < 1/2$  or  $|z| = 1$  and  $-1/2 \leq \Re z \leq 0$ . Thus two reduced forms are equivalent iff they are identical, and moreover each equivalence class contains exactly one reduced form.

4. Prove that  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  is reduced iff either  $-a < b \leq a < c$  or  $0 \leq b \leq a = c$ .

In questions 5,6 it is assumed that the quadratic forms have integer coefficients.

5. Prove that the number of reduced forms with a given discriminant  $d < 0$  is finite. The number of such classes is called the class number and is denoted by  $h(d)$ .
6. When  $d = -3, -4, -7, -8, -11, -15, -19, -20, -23$  determine all reduced forms with discriminant  $d$ , and the corresponding class number  $h(d)$ .
7. (i) Prove that if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)_L = 1$ .  
(ii) Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p|n \implies p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\}$ . Prove that if  $n \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $x^2 + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4n}$  is soluble in  $x$ .  
(iii) Let  $n \in \mathcal{M}$ . Prove that there are  $a, B \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a > 0$  such that  $B^2 + 12 = 4an$ . Let  $b = B - 2a$ ,  $c = (b^2 + 12)/4a$ . Prove that  $b^2 - 4ac = -12$  and  $a + b + c = n$ .  
(iv) Let  $h(d)$  be defined as in homework 11. Prove that  $h(-12) = 2$ .  
(v) Prove that if  $n \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $x^2 + 3y^2 = n$  is soluble in integers  $x$  and  $y$ .
8. (i) Prove that if  $p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{7}$ , then  $\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)_L = 1$ .  
(ii) Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : p|n \implies p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{7}\}$ . Prove that if  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $x^2 + 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{4n}$  is soluble in  $x$ .  
(iii) Let  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Prove that there are  $a, B \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a > 0$  such that  $B^2 + 7 = 4an$ . Let  $b = B - 2a$ ,  $c = (b^2 + 7)/4a$ . Prove that  $b^2 - 4ac = -7$  and  $a + b + c = n$ .  
(iv) Recall from homework 11 that  $h(-7) = 1$ . Prove that if  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $x^2 + xy + 2y^2 = n$  is soluble in integers  $x$  and  $y$ .  
(v) Let  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . Prove that  $x^2 + 7y^2 = 4n$  is soluble in integers  $x, y$ . Moreover prove that  $x$  and  $y$  are both even, and thus  $x^2 + 7y^2 = n$  is also soluble in integers  $x, y$ .

#### 9.4. Modular functions.

**Definition 9.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $2k$  when  $f$  is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  and satisfies

$$f(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

**Theorem 9.3..** Let  $f$  be meromorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $2k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+1) &= f(z), \\ f(-1/z) &= z^{2k} f(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* If  $f$  is weakly modular of weight  $2k$ , then at once it must satisfy the above relations. Suppose conversely that it satisfies them. Then we can apply Theorem 9.1 to obtain  $f(Az)$  where  $A$  is any member of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We need to show that the correct factor  $(cz + d)^{-2k}$  arises. It suffices to show that if  $A = S$  or  $T$ , so that  $a = 1, b = 1, c = 0, d = 1$  or  $a = 0, b = 1, c = -1, d = 0$ , and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

then, for example inductively on the number of terms in Theorem 9.1, either

$$((c\alpha + d\gamma)z + c\beta + d\delta)^{-2k} f(ABz) = (\gamma z + \delta)^{-2k} f(Bz) = f(z)$$

or

$$((c\alpha + d\gamma)z + c\beta + d\delta)^{-2k} f(ABz) = (\alpha z + \beta)^{-2k} f\left(\frac{-1}{Bz}\right) = (\alpha z + \beta)^{-2k} f\left(\frac{-\gamma z - \delta}{\alpha z + \beta}\right) = f(z).$$

The first of the above relationships tells us that  $f$  is periodic with period 1. Thus we can write  $f$  as a function of

$$q = e^{2\pi iz}.$$

More precisely we could put  $|q| = e^{-2\pi\Im z}$ ,  $\arg q = 2\pi(\Re z - \lfloor z \rfloor)$ . Then  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z$  satisfying, say,  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \Im z < \frac{1}{2}$  is equivalent to  $0 < |q| < 1$ . In other words, regardless of the branch of the logarithm,

$$f(z) = f\left(\frac{\log q}{2\pi i}\right) = \tilde{f}(q)$$

where  $\tilde{f}$  is meromorphic on the punctured disc  $\mathcal{A} = \{q : 0 < |q| < 1\}$ . If we can extend  $\tilde{f}$  to being meromorphic (or analytic) at 0, then we can say that  $f$  is meromorphic (or analytic) at  $\infty$ . More precisely this would mean that  $\tilde{f}$  has a Laurent expansion about 0,

$$\tilde{f}(q) = \sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n q^n.$$

**Definition 9.4.** A weakly modular function is called a modular function when it is meromorphic at  $\infty$ , and if it is analytic there we write  $f(\infty) = \tilde{f}(0)$ . A modular function which is analytic on  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$  is called a modular form. If such a function is 0 at  $\infty$ , then it is called a cusp form.

Thus a modular form of weight  $2k$  is given by a series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} \quad (7)$$

which converges for all  $q \in \mathcal{D} = \{q : |q| < 1\}$  and satisfies

$$f(-1/z) = z^{2k} f(z).$$

It is a cusp form when  $a_0 = 0$ . The expansion (7) is called the Fourier expansion of  $f$ .

**9.5. Lattice functions and modular forms.** A lattice  $\Lambda$  can be thought of in various ways. One is that it is a discrete subgroup of a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  and there is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $V$  which is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda$ . Thus when  $V = \mathbb{C}$  we could suppose that there are  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\Im(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$  and

$$\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2,$$

i.e.

$$\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \{m_1\omega_1 + m_2\omega_2 : m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_1 &= a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 \\ \omega'_2 &= c\omega_1 + d\omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

is another basis of  $\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ . Since

$$\frac{\omega'_1}{\omega'_2} = \frac{a\omega_1/\omega_2 + b}{c\omega_1/\omega_2 + d} \quad (8)$$

it follows from (2) that  $\Im(\omega'_1/\omega'_2) > 0$  also. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^2 : \Im(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0\}.$$

**Theorem 9.4.** *Two elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  define the same lattice if and only if they are congruent modulo  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the discussion above it suffices to show that if  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  and  $(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$  define the same lattice, then (8) holds with  $\det A = 1$ . In fact it suffices to show that (8) holds with  $\det A = \pm 1$  for then the positive sign follows from (2) and the facts that  $\Im(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$  and  $\Im(\omega'_1/\omega'_2) > 0$ .

We have  $\omega' = A\omega$  and  $\omega = A'\omega'$  where  $\omega$  denotes the column vector  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)^T$  and  $A, A' \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\omega = A'\omega' = A'A\omega$  and since  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $A'A = I$ . Thus  $\det A' \det A = 1$ . But  $\det A', \det A \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\det A = \pm 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the set of lattices  $\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  with  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{M}$  and suppose that  $F$  satisfies

$$F : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $F$  is of weight  $2k$  when

$$F(\lambda\Lambda) = \lambda^{-2k} F(\Lambda)$$

for every  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{R}$  and every  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ . Now  $\Lambda$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover

$$\lambda\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \Lambda(\lambda\omega_1, \lambda\omega_2).$$

Thus

$$\omega_2^{2k} F(\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = F(\omega_2^{-1}\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = F(\Lambda(\omega_1/\omega_2, 1)).$$

Thus there is a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that

$$F(\Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \omega_2^{-2k} f(\omega_1/\omega_2).$$

Since  $F$  is invariant under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$f(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f(Az) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), z \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}.$$

On the other hand given such a function  $f$  we can reverse the process and obtain a lattice function of weight  $2k$ . Thus lattice functions are a fruitful way of creating and identifying modular forms. Perhaps the easiest way is by considering Eisenstein series

$$G_k(\Lambda) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda(\omega_1, \omega_2) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\omega^{2k}} = \sum_{m, n \neq 0, 0} \frac{1}{(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)^{2k}}.$$

The corresponding function on  $\mathbb{H}$  is

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{m, n \neq 0, 0} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^{2k}}. \quad (9)$$

By the way the above construction would fail if the exponent  $2k$  were to be replaced by an odd exponent, for then the function would be identically 0.

Before proceeding further we need to discuss convergence. The following Lemma provides a basis for sufficiency.

**Lemma.** *Suppose that  $\sigma > 2$ ,  $0 < v_1 < v_2$  and  $0 < u$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the closed rectangle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -u \leq \Re z \leq u, v_1 \leq \Im z \leq v_2\}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{|mz + n|^\sigma}$$

converges.

*Proof.* For each pair  $(m, n)$  which we sum over,

$$|mz + n|^2 = (m\Re z + n)^2 + (m\Im z)^2 \geq v_1^2 m^2$$

and

$$|mz + n|^2 = |z|^2 |m + nz^{-1}|^2 \geq |z|^2 (n\Im(z^{-1}))^2 = n^2 |z|^{-2} (\Im \bar{z})^2 \geq \frac{v_1^2 n^2}{u^2 + v_2^2}.$$

Thus  $|mz + n|^{-1} \ll (\max(m, n))^{-1}$  uniformly for  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so for any real  $R > 1$

$$\sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \\ |mz + n| \leq R}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{|mz + n|^\sigma} \ll \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ n \ll R}} |n|^{-\sigma} + \sum_{\substack{m, n \\ 0 < |m| \leq |n| \ll R}} |n|^{-\sigma} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma-1}}$$

**Theorem 9.5.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k > 1$ . Then the Eisenstein series  $G_k(z)$  given by (9) is a modular form of weight  $2k$  and  $G_k$  has the Fourier expansion*

$$G_k(z) = 2\zeta(2k) + \frac{2^{2k+1}\pi^{2k}(-1)^k}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

*Proof.* By the Lemma  $G_k$  is uniformly and absolutely convergent on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and each term of the series is analytic on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Hence, by a theorem of Weierstrasse  $G_k$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and hence at every point of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We have  $m(z+1) + n = mz + m + n = 0 \cdot z + 0$  if and only if  $m = n = 0$ . Thus

$$G_k(z+1) = G_k(z).$$

Obviously  $m(-1/z) + n = (-1/z)((-n)z + m)$ , so

$$G_k(-1/z) = z^{2k} G_k(z).$$

Thus, by Theorem 9.3,  $G_k$  is weakly modular. We have to show that  $G_k$  is analytic at  $\infty$ . We establish this by exhibiting a Fourier series for  $G_k$  that is analytic at  $q = 0$ . We start from the partial fraction decomposition

$$\pi \cot \pi z = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \quad (10)$$

which is valid for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  and converges locally uniformly and absolutely in that domain. For  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  we have

$$\pi \cot \pi z = \pi i \frac{e^{2\pi i z} + 1}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} = \pi i \frac{q+1}{q-1}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = -\pi i \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} q^r \right).$$

Differentiating both sides  $l$  times gives

$$\frac{(-1)^l l!}{z^{l+1}} + \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l l!}{(z+n)^{l+1}} = -(2\pi i)^{l+1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^l e^{2\pi i r z}.$$

Now for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $mz \in \mathbb{H}$ . Thus

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l l!}{(mz+n)^{l+1}} = -(2\pi i)^{l+1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^l e^{2\pi i r m z} = -(2\pi i)^{l+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_l(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

where

$$\sigma_l(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^l.$$

When  $l$  is odd, say  $l = 2k - 1 \geq 3$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(2k-1)!}{(mz+n)^{2k}} = (2\pi i)^{2k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{2k}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{2k}}.$$



Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^{2k}} = \frac{2^{2k+1}\pi^{2k}(-1)^k}{(2k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)e^{2\pi inz}.$$

Adding in the terms with  $m = 0$  (and  $n \neq 0$ ) gives an extra  $2\zeta(2k)$ .

Recall that

$$\zeta(2k) = (-1)^{k-1}2^{2k-1}\pi^{2k}B_{2k}/(2k)! \tag{11}$$

where  $B_l$  is the  $l$ -th Bernoulli number, and

TABLE 1

$k$	$B_k$
0	1/1 = 1.00000 00000
1	-1/2 = -0.50000 00000
2	1/6 = 0.16666 66667
4	-1/30 = -0.03333 33333
6	1/42 = 0.02380 95238
8	-1/30 = -0.03333 33333
10	5/66 = 0.07575 75758
12	-691/2730 = -0.25311 35531
14	7/6 = 1.16666 66667
16	-3617/510 = -7.09215 68627
18	43867/798 = 54.97117 79449
20	-174611/330 = -529.12424 24242

Thus  $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$ ,  $\zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$ . There are various standard notations. For example

$$g_2(z) = 60G_2(z), g_3(z) = 140G_3(z)$$

and then it follows that the Fourier expansion of

$$\Delta(z) = g_2(z)^3 - 27g_3(z)^2 \tag{12}$$

has no constant term. Thus  $\Delta$  is a cusp form of weight 12. By multiplying out the series and collecting together like powers of  $q$  it follows that

$$\Delta(z) = (2\pi)^{12} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)e^{2\pi inz}$$

where the  $\tau(n)$  are integers with  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(2) = -24$ . This function was first studied by Ramanujan, and we will come back to it in Chapter 10.

Other standard notation is

$$E_k(z) = G_k(z)/(2\zeta(2k))$$

and then the Fourier expansion has constant term 1. Moreover, by (11),

$$\frac{2^{2k+1}\pi^{2k}(-1)^k}{(2k-1)!2\zeta(2k)} = \frac{2^{2k+1}\pi^{2k}(-1)^k(2k)!}{(2k-1)!(-1)^{k-1}2^{2k}\pi^{2k}B_{2k}} = -\frac{4k}{B_{2k}}.$$

Thus

$$E_k(z) = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n)e^{2\pi inz}.$$

It should be born in mind that some authors write  $G_{2k}$  and  $E_{2k}$  for  $G_k$  and  $E_k$  respectively.

**9.6. Zeros and poles of modular functions.** For a function  $f$ , meromorphic on  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$  and not identically 0 we define, for each  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $v = v_w(f)$  so that  $f(z)(z-w)^{-v}$  is analytic and non-zero at  $w$ .  $v_w(f)$  is called the order of  $f$  at  $w$ . If  $v_w(f)$  is positive, then it is the order of the zero of  $f$  at  $w$ . Likewise if  $v_w(f)$  is negative, then  $-v_w(f)$  is the order of the pole at  $w$ . When  $f$  is a modular function of weight  $2k$  and  $w$  and  $Aw$  are both finite, then the relationship

$$f(z) = (cz+d)^{-2k}f(Az)$$

shows that  $v_w(f) = v_{Aw}(f)$ . For points at  $\infty$  we define  $v_\infty$  to be the order (in  $q$ ) of  $\tilde{f}(q)$ .

**Theorem 9.6.** *Let  $f$  be a modular function of weight  $2k$ , not identically 0. Then*

$$v_\infty + \frac{1}{2}v_i(f) + \frac{1}{3}v_\rho(f) + \sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}^*} v_w(f) = \frac{k}{6}$$

where  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $\mathbb{D}^* = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{i, \rho\}$ .

*Proof.* We consider

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is, with some provisos, the contour consisting of the horizontal line  $L$  from  $\frac{1}{2} + iY$  to  $-\frac{1}{2} + iY$  (where  $Y > 1$ ), the vertical line segment  $L_-$  from  $-\frac{1}{2} + iY$  to  $\rho$ , the circular arc  $C$  of radius 1, centred at 0 from  $\rho$  to  $-\bar{\rho}$  through  $i$  and the vertical line segment  $L_+$  from  $-\bar{\rho}$  to  $\frac{1}{2} + iY$ . The provisos are (i) that  $Y$  is chosen so that  $L$  avoids any singularity of the integrand, and (ii) if the integrand has a singularity on the remaining path, then the contour traverses a small detour consisting of a circular arc of small radius centred at the singularity and oriented so that singularities in  $\mathbb{D}^*$  are included in the interior and those not in  $\mathbb{D}^*$  are excluded from the interior. The integrand has singularities precisely at the zeros and poles of  $f$  and the residue at such points is the order of  $f$  at that point. Thus, by Cauchy's integral formula,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}^*(Y)} v_w(f)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}^*(Y) = \{z \in \mathbb{D}^* : \Im z \leq Y\}$ .

Since  $f(z) = f(z+1)$  we have

$$\frac{f'}{f}(z) = \frac{f'}{f}(z+1) \quad (13)$$

and thus

$$\int_{L_-} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = - \int_{L_+} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$$

where any possible detours, except any which might occur at  $\rho$  and  $-\bar{\rho}$ , are included in the paths. In view of the relationship (13) such detours will match exactly. We also have

$$f(z) = z^{-2k} f(-1/z) \quad (14)$$

so

$$f'(z) = -2kz^{-2k-1} f(-1/z) - z^{-2k-2} f'(-1/z).$$

Thus

$$\frac{f'}{f}(z) = -\frac{2k}{z} - \frac{f'}{z^2 f}(-1/z).$$

Let  $C_-$  be the subpath of  $C$  from  $\rho$  to  $i$  and  $C_+$  the subpath from  $i$  to  $-\bar{\rho}$ , with the proviso that we exclude any possible detours around  $\rho$ ,  $i$  and  $-\bar{\rho}$ . Then

$$\int_{C_-} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz = \int_{C_+} -\frac{2k}{z} - \frac{f'}{z^2 f}(-1/z) dz$$

and by the change of variable  $w = -1/z$  this is

$$-2k \left( -\frac{2\pi i}{12} \right) - \int_{C_+} \frac{f'}{f}(w) dw.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz = \frac{2\pi i k}{6}.$$

There may be detours around  $\rho$ ,  $i$  and  $-\bar{\rho}$ . If  $f$  has a zero or pole at  $\rho$ , then by there will be one at  $-\bar{\rho}$  of the same order. Letting the radius of the detour at  $\rho$  tend to 0 we pick up the  $i$  times the residue times minus the angle subtended by the paths  $L_-$  and  $C$  at  $\rho$ , which is  $-2\pi/6$ . Hence the contribution from  $\rho$  and  $-\bar{\rho}$  to the integral along the path is

$$-2\pi i v_\rho(f)/3.$$

A detour around  $i$  likewise will pick up  $i$  times the residue times minus the angle subtended by the path  $C$  at  $i$ , which is  $-\pi$ . Thus the contribution from  $i$  to the integral along the path is

$$-\pi i v_i(f).$$

It remains to deal with the contribution from  $L$ . To summarise so far

$$\int_L \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz + \frac{2\pi i k}{6} - 2\pi i v_\rho(f)/3 - \pi i v_i(f) = 2\pi i \sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}^*(Y)} v_w(f).$$

In the integral along  $L$  we make the substitution  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ . Then  $L$  is transformed into the circle  $C_0$  centred at 0 of radius  $e^{-2\pi Y}$  and traversed in the clockwise direction. Moreover as  $\tilde{f}(q) = f(z)$ , we have  $\frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}(q) \frac{dq}{dz} = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ . Hence

$$\int_L \frac{f'}{f}(z) dz = \int_{C_0} \frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}(q) dq.$$

Since  $\tilde{f}(q)$  is meromorphic at 0 there will be a punctured disc  $\mathcal{A}$  centred at 0 on which  $\tilde{f}$  is analytic. Thus if  $Y$  is large enough  $C_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$ . Hence by Cauchy's integral formula

$$\int_{C_0} \frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}(q) dq = -2\pi i v_\infty(f).$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}^*(Y)} v_w(f) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{D}^*} v_w(f).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

When  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $M_k$  denote the vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  of modular forms of weight  $2k$ , and let  $M_k^0$  denote the subspace of cusp forms of weight  $2k$ . Let  $f$  be a non-cusp member of  $M_k$ . If  $g$  is another, then for some scalar  $c$ ,  $f - cg$  will be a cusp form. Thus every non-cusp member of  $M_k$  is a linear combination of  $f$  and a cusp form. Thus

$$\dim(M_k \setminus M_k^0) \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

Indeed a concomitant argument shows that if  $M_k^j$  denotes the subspace of  $f \in M_k$  in which  $v_\infty(f) \geq j + 1$  in  $q$ , then

$$\dim(M_k^{j-1} \setminus M_k^j) \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

When  $k \geq 2$ ,  $G_k \in M_k$  but  $G_k \notin M_k^0$ . Thus

$$M_k = \mathbb{G}_k \oplus M_k^0 \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (17)$$

Let  $f \in M_k$ , so that  $f$  is analytic on  $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$ . In Theorem 9.6 each  $v_z(f)$  is non-negative. Hence  $k \geq 0$ . Thus  $M_k$  is empty when  $k < 0$ . When  $k = 1$  there is no solution to  $l + \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{3}n = \frac{k}{6}$  with  $l, m, n$  non-negative. Hence

$$M_1 = \emptyset.$$

When  $k = 6$ , we have seen that  $\Delta$  is a cusp form of weight 12. Thus  $v_\infty(\Delta) \geq 1$ . Hence all other  $v_z(\Delta)$  are 0. Thus  $\Delta$  does not vanish on  $\mathbb{H}$  and has a simple zero at  $\infty$ . Let  $k$  be arbitrary and  $f \in M_k^0$ . Then  $g = f/\Delta$  has weight  $2k - 12$  and

$$v_z(g) = v_z(f) - v_z(\Delta) = \begin{cases} v_z(f) - 1 & (z = \infty), \\ v_z(f) & (z \neq \infty). \end{cases}$$

Thus  $v_z(g) \geq 0$  and is analytic on  $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}$  and thus belongs to  $M_{k-6}$ . In fact the relationship  $f \rightarrow f/\Delta$  give an isomorphism between the vector spaces  $M_k^0$  and  $M_{k-6}$ . More generally this relationship gives an isomorphism between  $M_k^{j+1}$  and  $M_{k-6}^j$ . We have seen that  $M_k^0$  is empty when  $k < 6$  or  $k = 1$ . Thus  $\dim M_k \leq 1$  when  $1 \leq k \leq 5$  and  $k = 7$ . We have  $1 \in M_0$ . Hence

$$\dim M_0 = 1.$$

Also, by (17), when  $2 \leq k \leq 5$  or  $k = 7$ ,

$$\dim M_k = 1.$$

**Theorem 9.7.** *For convenience define  $G_0(z) = 1$ . Then*

(i)  $M_k$  is empty when  $k < 0$  or  $k = 1$ .

(ii) when  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$\dim M_k = \begin{cases} \lfloor k/6 \rfloor & k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ \lfloor k/6 \rfloor + 1 & k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) when  $k \geq 0$  and  $k \neq 1$ ,

$$M_k = \mathbb{C}G_k \oplus \mathbb{C}\Delta G_{k-6} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\Delta^j G_{k-6j}$$

where

$$j = \begin{cases} \lfloor k/6 \rfloor - 1 & k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ \lfloor k/6 \rfloor & k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $\Delta$  is a linear combination of  $G_2^3$  and  $G_3^2$ . In fact it can be shown that every  $G_k$  is polynomial in  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ , and indeed that every  $M_k$  is spanned by the monomials  $G_2^u G_3^v$  where  $u$  and  $v$  run over the solutions to  $2u + 3v = k$  with  $u \geq 0$ ,  $v \geq 0$ .

It can also be shown that

$$G_2(\rho) = 0, \quad G_3(i) = 0,$$

either directly or by utilising Theorem 9.6.

The cusp form  $\Delta$  has several remarkable properties. One of them is the product formula below.

**Theorem 9.8.** *Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then*

$$\Delta(z) = (2\pi)^{12} q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} \quad (q = e^{2\pi iz}).$$

*Proof.* There is no very simple proof. We know that  $\Delta \in M_6^0$ ,  $\dim M_6^0 = 1$ , and the coefficient of  $q$  in  $\Delta$  is  $(2\pi)^{12}$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$F(z) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$$

is of weight 12. Since it is immediate that it is periodic with period 1, it suffices to show that

$$F(-1/z) = z^{12} F(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{H}).$$

Consider the function

$$G_1(z) = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 8\pi^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) q^n. \quad (18)$$

We will show that

$$G_1(z) = \frac{2\pi i}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} G_1(-1/z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{H}). \quad (19)$$

Then, by logarithmic differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{F'}{F}(z) &= 2\pi i \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{24q^n}{1-q^n} \right) \\
 &= 2\pi i \left( 1 - 24 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma(m)q^m \right) \\
 &= \frac{2\pi i \cdot 3}{\pi^2} G_1(z) \\
 &= \frac{6i}{\pi} G_1(z) \\
 &= \frac{6i}{\pi} \left( \frac{2\pi i}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} G_1(-1/z) \right) \\
 &= -\frac{12}{z} + \frac{d}{dz} \log F(-1/z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F$  satisfies

$$z^{12}F(z) = Cf(-1/z)$$

for some  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $F(-1/i) = F(i)$  and  $i^{12} = 1$  we have  $C = 1$ .

To complete the proof of the theorem it suffices to show that  $G_1$ , given by (18), satisfies (19). Following the proof of Theorem 9.5, with some care as the double series is no longer absolutely convergent, we have

$$G_1(z) = 2\zeta(2) + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_1(-1/z) &= 2\zeta(2) + z^2 \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2} \\
 &= 2\zeta(2) + z^2 2\zeta(2) + z^2 \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2} \\
 &= z^2 2\zeta(2) + z^2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that  $L(z)$  and  $R(z)$  converge and

$$L(z) = -\frac{2\pi i}{z} + R(z) \tag{20}$$

where

$$L(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2}$$

and

$$R(z) = \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+nz)^2}.$$

Note that the sums are different even though they are only interchanged. Let

$$S(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)}$$

and

$$T(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)}.$$

We will show below that these series converge. Then the convergence of  $L$  follows from the relationship

$$S(z) - L(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)^2} + \sum_{m \neq 0,1} \frac{1}{m(m-1)}.$$

In the last sum the terms with  $m > 1$  sum to 1 and those with  $m < 0$  sum to  $-1$ . Hence the above becomes

$$S(z) - L(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)^2}.$$

Similarly the convergence of  $R$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) - R(z) &= \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)^2} + \sum_{m \neq 0,1} \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1+nz)(m+nz)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

These series are absolutely convergent and hence can be interchanged. Thus they are identical. Therefore not only will the convergence of  $L(z)$  and  $R(z)$  follow from that of  $S(z)$  and  $T(z)$  but we will have

$$L(z) - R(z) = S(z) - T(z).$$

Thus to prove (20) it suffices to show that  $S(z)$  and  $T(z)$  converge and

$$S(z) - T(z) = -\frac{2\pi i}{z} \tag{21}$$

The sum over  $m$  in  $T$  when  $n \neq 0$  is

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m-1+nz} - \frac{1}{m+nz} \right).$$

The part with  $m \geq 0$  sums to  $\frac{1}{-1+nz}$  and the part with  $m \leq -1$  sums to  $-\frac{1}{-1+nz}$ . Hence when  $n \neq 0$  the sum over  $m$  in  $T$  is 0. When  $n = 0$  the sum over  $m$  is

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

Hence  $T(z)$  converges to 2.

The series  $S(z)$  is more complicated. We will complete the proof of the theorem by showing that it converges to  $2 - \frac{2\pi i}{z}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m-1+nz} - \frac{1}{m+nz} \right) + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0,1}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (U((m-1)/z) - U(m/z)) + 2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U(w) = \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{w+n} - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

For  $m \neq 0$ , by (10),

$$U(w) = \pi \cot \pi w - \frac{1}{w}.$$

Clearly

$$S(z) = 2 + \frac{1}{z} \left( \lim_{M' \rightarrow -\infty} U(M'/z) - \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} U(M/z) \right)$$

and the convergence of  $S(z)$  stands or falls on the existence of the limits above. Obviously

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \pm\infty} U(M/z) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \pm\infty} \pi \cot \pi(M/z).$$

Now  $\Re 2\pi i M/z = \Re 2\pi i M(x-iy)/|z|^2 = 2\pi My/|z|^2$  and as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $e^{-2\pi i M/z} \rightarrow 0$ . Thus

$$\pi \cot \pi M/z = \pi i \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi i M/z}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i M/z}} \rightarrow \pi i.$$

On the other hand, as  $M \rightarrow -\infty$

$$\pi \cot \pi M/z \rightarrow -\pi i.$$

This establishes the convergence of  $S(z)$  and its evaluation, and completes the proof of the theorem.

### Exercises 9.2.

1. Let  $E_k(z) = G_k(z)/(2\zeta(2k))$ ,  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ . Show that

$$E_2(z) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n)q^n,$$

$$E_3(z) = 1 - 540 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(n)q^n,$$

$$E_4(z) = 1 + 480 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_7(n)q^n,$$

$$E_5(z) = 1 - 264 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_9(n)q^n,$$

$$E_6(z) = 1 + \frac{65520}{691} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{11}(n)q^n,$$

2. Prove that  $\sigma_7(n) = \sigma_3(n) + 120 \sum_{m=1}^n \sigma_3(m)\sigma_3(n-m)$ .

3. Prove that  $11\sigma_9(n) = 21\sigma_5(n) - 10\sigma_3(n) + 5040 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_3(m)\sigma_5(n-m)$ .

4. Prove that  $756\tau(n) = 65\sigma_{11}(n) + 691\sigma_5(n) - 691.252 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_5(m)\sigma_5(n-m)$ . Deduce Ramanujan's congruence  $\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}$ .