

A uniform reducibility in computably presented Polish spaces

Timothy H. McNicholl¹ Jason Rute²

¹Iowa State University

²Pennsylvania State University

AMS Fall Central Sectional Meeting, October 30, 2016

Slides available at
www.personal.psu.edu/jmr71/

(Updated on October 30, 2016.)

Begin at the end: Computable arcs

Computable arcs in \mathbb{R}^2

Definition

- An **arc** in \mathbb{R}^2 is a path which doesn't self intersect.
- A **computable arc** in \mathbb{R}^2 is the image of an injective computable function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$.

Question (McNicholl)

Which pairs are members of some computable arc?

Our approach

To answer this, we will use a **new reducibility**, motivated in **three ways**.

Motivation One

Extending truth table reducibility to Polish spaces

Turing reducibility and truth table reducibility

Turing reduction and Turing reducibility (\leq_T)

- A **Turing reduction** is a partial computable map $\Phi: \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $X \leq_T Y$ ($X, Y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$) if $\Phi(Y) = X$ for some Turing reduction Φ .

Truth table reduction and truth table reducibility (\leq_{tt})

- A **truth-table reduction** is a total computable map $\Phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- $X \leq_{tt} Y$ ($X, Y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$) if $\Phi(Y) = X$ for some truth-table reduction Φ .

- Many books define truth table reducibility via
 - computable bounds on the number of steps in the computation, or
 - truth-tables.
- The equivalence of these definitions requires the compactness of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

What are the “reals”?

The “reals”

- Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ computability theoretic “reals”
- Baire space $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ set theoretic “reals”
- Euclidean space \mathbb{R} real? “reals”
- A Polish space \mathbb{X} descriptive set theoretic “reals”

Computability theory on \mathbb{R} (and Polish spaces)

- Every real $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be encoded by a **Cauchy name**: a sequence of rationals $\{q_n\}$ (coded as a function $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$) such that $|x - q_n| \leq 2^{-n}$.
- $x \in \mathbb{R}$ **computes** $y \in \mathbb{R}$ if there is a Turing reduction $\Phi: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which maps every Cauchy name for x to a Cauchy name for y .
- This can be extended to every **computably presented Polish space**, a.k.a. a **computable Polish space**, a **computable metric space**.

Turing degrees on the “reals”

- $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ under Turing reduction
 - Same Turing degrees as $2^{\mathbb{N}}$
 - Identify each function $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with its graph
- \mathbb{R} under computability
 - Same Turing degrees
 - Identify each real $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with its binary expansion
- Computable Polish space (e.g. $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ or $C(2^{\mathbb{N}})$)
 - **NOT** the same Turing degrees! (J. Miller)
 - One gets a larger degree structure called the **continuous degrees**.

Question

What about truth table reducibility on these spaces?

Extending truth-table reducibility to $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$

Problem

Which definition of truth table reducibility do we use on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$?

Solution

The essence of truth table reduction is its **uniform nature**.

Uniform reducibility on $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$

- $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is **uniformly reducible**¹ to $g \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (written $f \leq_{unif} g$) if there is a total computable map $\Phi: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Phi(g) = f$.
- Denote the corresponding degree structure as $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$.

Truth-table reducibility vs uniform reducibility

- On $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, uniform reducibility is just truth-table reducibility.

¹Tentative terminology. Medvedev reducibility is sometimes called “uniform reducibility.”

The new degrees in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$

Proposition

- $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ (truth-table degrees) is a proper subideal of $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$.
- Every $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ uniformly computes $\text{graph}(f) \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- The following are equivalent:
 - f has truth-table degree ($\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(f) \in \mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$).
 - $f \equiv_{unif} \text{graph}(f)$.
 - f is dominated by a computable function.

Extending truth-table reducibility to \mathbb{R}

Uniform reducibility on \mathbb{R}

- $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is **uniformly reducible** to $y \in \mathbb{R}$ (written $x \leq_{unif} y$) if there is a total computable map $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi(y) = x$.
- Denote the corresponding degree structure as $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{R})$.

Question

How does $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{R})$ compare to $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$

To do

We need a way to compare elements between difference spaces...

Computable analysis for computable Polish spaces

- Σ_1^0 sets (**effectively open sets**) are computable unions of basic open balls.
- Π_1^0 sets (**effectively closed sets**) are complements of Σ_1^0 sets.
- A point is a **weak 1-generic** if it is found in every dense Σ_1^0 set.

Uniform reducibility between Polish spaces

Problem

All total computable maps of type $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ are **constant!**

Solution

Use **partial** computable maps with **with a Π_1^0 domain**.

Uniform reducibility for computable Polish spaces \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y}

- $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is **uniformly reducible** to $y \in \mathbb{Y}$ (written $x \leq_{unif} y$) if there is a partial computable map $\Phi: \subseteq \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ with a Π_1^0 domain such that $\Phi(y) = x$.
- $\mathbf{deg}_{unif} := \bigcup \mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{X})$ for all computable Polish spaces \mathbb{X} .

Proposition

Every partial computable map $\Phi: \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with a Π_1^0 domain can be extended to a total computable map $\overline{\Phi}: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Same for $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and \mathbb{R} .

Computability vs. uniform computability

Equivalent definitions of computable

- y **computes** x
- Exists **partial** comp. Φ which maps every name for y to a name for x .
- Exists partial comp. $\Phi: \subseteq Y \rightarrow X$ with Π_2^0 **domain** such that $\Phi(y) = x$.
- Every name for y **computes** a name for x .
- Exists algorithm which takes in a (name for) an input and either
 - enumerates (a name for) the output, or
 - **does not halt**

Equivalent definitions of uniformly computable

- y **uniformly computes** x ($x \leq_{unif} y$)
- Exists **total** comp. Φ which maps every name for y to a name for x .
- Exists partial comp. $\Phi: \subseteq Y \rightarrow X$ with Π_1^0 **domain** such that $\Phi(y) = x$.
- Every name for y **uniformly computes** a name for x .
- Exists algorithm which takes in a (name for) an input and either
 - enumerates (a name for) the output, or
 - **halts with an error**

Comparing $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ and $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{R})$

Proposition

- $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \subseteq \mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{R})$.
- Every $X \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ uniformly computes $0.X \in \mathbb{R}$.
- The following are equivalent for $x \in \mathbb{R}$
 - x has truth-table degree
 - $x \equiv_{unif} \text{binary-expansion}(x)$
 - x is not weak-1-generic in \mathbb{R}

Many, many questions

- What is the structure of $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\mathbb{X})$ for various spaces \mathbb{X} ?
- What is the \mathbf{deg}_{unif} -structure of various classes of points?
 - completions of PA and diagonally noncomputable functions
 - left c.e. (lower semicomputable) reals, limit computable points
 - randoms and generics
 - K-trivials and other lowness classes
 - etc.

Motivation Two

Schnorr randomness and weak 1-genericity

Randomness and Genericity

	Relativization	Uniform Relativization
Martin-Löf random	MLR^A	
1-generic	$1G^A$	
Schnorr random	SR^A	uniform- SR^A
Weak 1-generic	W1G^A	uniform- W1G^A

Theorem (Miyabe, Miyabe-R.)

The following are equivalent for $A, B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

- $A \oplus B$ is Schnorr random
- A is Schnorr random and B is Schnorr random uniformly relative to A

Uniform relativization and oracles

Oracles as information

For oracles $a \in \mathbb{X}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Y}$,

- If a **computes** b and X is \mathbf{MLR}^a , then X is \mathbf{MLR}^b .
- If a **computes** b and X is $\mathbf{1G}^a$, then X is $\mathbf{1G}^b$.
- If a **unif. comp.** b and X is $\mathbf{uniform-SR}^a$, then X is $\mathbf{uniform-SR}^b$.
- If a **unif. comp.** b and X is $\mathbf{uniform-W1G}^a$, then X is $\mathbf{uniform-W1G}^b$.

Schnorr randomness in the uniform degrees

Definition

$$\text{Schnorr} := \left\{ (x, \mathbb{X}) \mid x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ is } \mu\text{-Schnorr random, } \mu \text{ computable measure} \right\}$$

Theorem (McNicholl-R. building on Bienvenu-Porter)

- Schnorr is a closed \leq_{unif} -downward.
- $\mathbf{deg}_{unif}(\text{Schnorr}) \subseteq \mathbf{deg}_{unif}(2^{\mathbb{N}})$

Motivation Three

Points in computable arcs

Computable arcs in \mathbb{R}^2

Definition

- An **arc** in \mathbb{R}^2 is a path which doesn't self intersect
- A **computable arc** in \mathbb{R}^2 is the image of an injective computable function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$.

Question (McNicholl)

Which pairs are members of some computable arc?

Members of computable arcs have \mathbb{R} uniform degree

Theorem (McNicholl-R.)

The following are equivalent for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$:

- x a member of a computable arc
- x has the same uniform degree as some $r \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof.

Proof by picture. See board. □

Which pairs are found in some computable arc?

Theorem (McNicholl-R.)

- No weak 1-generic in \mathbb{R}^2 is in a computable arc.
 - It is well known that arcs do not have dense complements.
- A pair is in a computable arc iff it has \mathbb{R} uniform degree.
- Every pair of truth-table degree is in a computable arc.
- Every μ -Schnorr random (μ computable measure on \mathbb{R}^2) is in a computable arc.

Conclusion

In summary

This new reducibility ...

- ... is a natural extension of truth-table reducibility which parallels Turing reducibility and its generization to computable Polish spaces
- ... is a useful tool for working with concepts like Schnorr randomness and weak 1-genericity
- ... allows us to connect and characterize new sets of points such as members of computable arcs

Thank You!

These slides will be available on my webpage:

<http://www.personal.psu.edu/jmr71/>

Or just Google™ me, “Jason Rute”.

P.S. I am on the job market.