Peer Review: Paper #2 (Definition)

Please use the following criteria to critique each other's work:

1. **Purpose**: What was the purpose of this essay? What details did the writer include to make the purpose clear?

2. **Audience**: Who is the audience for this argument? What has the writer done to accommodate this audience? If this is an open letter, which audience is the primary audience? Does the writer show awareness of secondary audiences? Does the writer seek to differentiate between primary and secondary audiences?

3. **Thesis**: What is the writer’s thesis? How does the essay support this thesis?

4. **Definition**: Is the definition clear? Does it follow the class-differentiation model? Does the definition serve as the essay’s thesis?

5. **Fullness**: Has the writer covered all available arguments? If not, what’s missing? Is it necessary to cover all available arguments?

6. **Fairness**: Was the presentation balanced? Does the writer present more than one perspective?

7. **Arrangement**: Was the paper arranged effectively manner? If not, what might you suggest?

8. **Introduction and conclusion**: Does the introduction effectively draw readers into the essay. What work is being done by the conclusion? Does the conclusion answer any questions raised in the introduction?

9. **Use of sources**: Did the writer effectively use sources? Were the sources credible? Were there enough quotes? Too many? Might the writer have paraphrased more? Were sources cited correctly?

10. **Intellectual Curiosity**: Was you ever bit by a dead bee?

11. **Comments**: Feel free to offer the writer any additional comments.