Peer Review: Paper #4 (Rhetorical Analysis)

*Use the assignment’s invention questions as a guide to critique the paper. As always, margin comments are expected, as are end comments. In addition, please answer the following:* (Note: “Writer(s)” refers to your classmates; “rhetor” refers to the creator of the text your classmates analyzed.)

1. Have the writers effectively described the rhetorical situation?

2. Have the writers clearly identified the text’s claim?

3. Do the writers support their claims with textual references and quotations?

4. Are the quotations used effectively or do they merely serve a perfunctory purpose?

5. Have the writers examined the rhetor’s ethos? Established ethos for themselves?

6. Have the writers identified the appeals used and the effect to which these appeals are employed?

7. Have the writers adequately examined the text’s arrangement and effectiveness of the structure?

8. Have the writers addressed the text’s style and tone, and explained how they affect the argument?

9. Have the writers made productive connections? Where is more explanation and development needed? Does the paper have focus? Might the paper benefit from a reduction of clutter?

10. Are you wondering why I asked about dead bees in the previous peer review?

11. Comments: