

MORSE-BOTT HOMOLOGY

AUGUSTIN BANYAGA AND DAVID E. HURTUBISE

ABSTRACT. We give a new proof of the Morse Homology Theorem by constructing a chain complex associated to a Morse-Bott-Smale function that reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex when the function is Morse-Smale and to the chain complex of smooth singular N -cube chains when the function is constant. We show that the homology of the chain complex is independent of the Morse-Bott-Smale function by using compactified moduli spaces of time dependent gradient flow lines to prove a Floer-type continuation theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** Let $Cr(f) = \{p \in M \mid df_p = 0\}$ denote the set of critical points of a smooth function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a smooth m -dimensional manifold M . A critical point $p \in Cr(f)$ is said to be nondegenerate if and only if df is transverse to the zero section of T^*M at p . In local coordinates this is equivalent to the condition that the $m \times m$ Hessian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)$ has rank m at p . If all the critical points of f are non-degenerate, then f is called a Morse function.

A Morse function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a finite dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called Morse-Smale if all its stable and unstable manifolds intersect transversally. Such a function gives rise to a chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial_*)$, called the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. The chains of this complex are generated by the critical points of f , and the boundary operator is defined by counting gradient flow lines between critical points (with sign). The Morse Homology Theorem says that the homology of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex is isomorphic to the singular homology of M with integer coefficients [7], [41].

If $Cr(f)$ is a disjoint union of finitely many connected submanifolds and the Hessian of f is non-degenerate in the direction normal to $Cr(f)$, then f is called a Morse-Bott function [11]. In this paper we construct a new chain complex associated to a Morse-Bott function meeting certain transversality requirements (see Definition 9)

that generalizes the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. This singular Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & & \vdots & & & & \\
 & & \oplus & & & & \\
 \cdots & & C_1(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_0(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 & & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus \\
 \cdots & & C_2(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_1(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_0(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 & & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus \\
 \cdots & & C_3(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_2(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_1(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_0(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 \cdots & & C_3(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $C_p(B_i)$ is the group of “ p -dimensional chains” in the critical submanifolds of Morse-Bott index i , and the boundary operator is defined as a sum of homomorphisms $\partial = \partial_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \partial_m$.

When the function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Morse-Smale the critical set B_i is a discrete set of points for all $i = 0, \dots, m$, and the groups $C_p(B_i)$ are trivial for all $p > 0$. When the function is constant the entire manifold M is a critical submanifold of Morse-Bott index zero. In this case $B_i = \emptyset$ for all $i > 0$, and the groups $C_p(B_i)$ are trivial for all $i > 0$. These two cases appear in the above diagram of a general Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & & & & & & \\
 & & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & & & \\
 & & C_0(B_2) & & & & \\
 & & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & & & \\
 & & C_0(B_1) & & & & \\
 & & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & & & \\
 \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_3(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_2(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_1(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & C_0(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & 0
 \end{array}$$

In the first case the homomorphism ∂_1 is the Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator, and in the second case the homomorphism ∂_0 is comparable to the boundary operator

on singular cubical chains found in [35]. Thus, the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex provides a means of interpolating between the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex and the chain complex of singular cubical chains. Moreover, a Floer-type continuation theorem shows that the homology of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex is independent of the Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and gives a new proof of the Morse Homology Theorem.

Any Morse function can be smoothly deformed to a constant function (which is a Morse-Bott function), and any Morse-Bott function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be smoothly perturbed to a Morse function since the space of smooth Morse functions on M is an open dense subspace of the space $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ of all smooth functions on M . In fact, one can define an explicit perturbation of a Morse-Bott function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to a Morse function using Morse functions defined on the critical submanifolds [4]. These sorts of explicit perturbations can be quite useful for simplifying computations, since the boundary operator of the chain complex associated to the perturbed function is given by $\partial = \partial_1$ with all the other homomorphisms $\partial_j = 0$ for $j \neq 1$. An example of this approach can be found in [6], where the Morse-Bott inequalities are proved without reference to the full Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex discussed in this paper.

Although perturbing a Morse-Bott function to a Morse function greatly simplifies the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex, i.e. $\partial_j = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, there are cases where it is undesirable to perturb the Morse-Bott function. For instance, there are certain Morse-Bott functions that arise naturally in contexts where there is some sort of symmetry or a group action where the perturbation would destroy the symmetry or be incompatible with the group action [1], [2], [3], [5], [12], [16], [17], [23], [31]. In these cases it is important to have the full Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex defined by the given Morse-Bott function, even though ∂_j may not be zero for $j \neq 1$.

Heuristically, the homomorphisms $\partial_j : C_p(B_i) \rightarrow C_{p+j-1}(B_{i-j})$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ can be described as follows. Imagine that every topological space under consideration has a preferred triangulation. Then a map $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ from some triangulated space P of dimension p would determine a chain in the singular chain group $S_p(B_i; \mathbb{Z})$. Using $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ we could form a fibered product with respect to the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow B_i$ from the compactified moduli space of gradient flow lines from B_i to B_{i-j} , and then by projecting onto the second component of the fibered product and composing with the endpoint map $\partial_+ : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow B_{i-j}$ we would have a map

$$\partial_j : P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_{i-j}.$$

The dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ is $b_i + j - 1$, where $b_i = \dim B_i$, and (assuming some transversality conditions) the dimension of the fibered product $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ is $p + j - 1$. Thus, if we were to assume that the fibered product also has a preferred

triangulation, then the above map would determine a singular chain in the singular chain group $S_{p+j-1}(B_{i-j}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Of course, a topological space does not necessarily have a preferred triangulation. One approach to making the above heuristic discussion rigorous would be to show that all the spaces under consideration can be triangulated in some way and then prove that the homology of the resulting complex does not depend on the chosen triangulations. However, this approach would involve picking triangulations on an uncountably infinite number of spaces, namely all the fibered products $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$. This approach seems quite daunting in light of the fact that there are simple diagrams of polyhedra and piecewise linear maps that are not triangulable:

$$Y \xleftarrow{\sigma_1} X \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} Z.$$

That is, there may not exist triangulations of X , Y , and Z with respect to which both σ_1 and σ_2 are simplicial [15]. (See also Example 40 in Subsection 5.5 of this paper.)

In light of these observations, our approach to constructing a singular Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex is not based on picking triangulations, but on defining singular chain complexes where the generators are allowed to have a variety of domains. We generalize the usual singular chain complexes based on maps from the standard p -simplex $\Delta^p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ (or the unit p -cube I^p) to allow maps from the faces of an N -cube (for some large N), the endpoint maps from compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines, and the endpoint maps from their fibered products. We call these generalizations of Δ^p abstract topological chains, and we refer to the corresponding singular chains as singular topological chains. Thus, the maps

$$\partial_j : P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_{i-j}.$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ described above are singular topological chains in our new framework (without any need for a triangulation on the domain). However, the homomorphism ∂_0 is no longer the “usual” boundary operator on singular chains, since the generators have a variety of domains.

Of course, a singular chain complex with maps defined on a variety of domains will contain more maps than a singular chain complex where all the p -chains are defined on the domain Δ^p , and some redundancy may appear in the larger chain complex. Hence, we define degeneracy relations to identify singular chains that are “essentially” the same but defined on different domains. For example, fix a large integer N and let C_p be the p -dimensional faces of the unit N -cube I^N . There are a large number of elements in C_p (which are all “essentially” the same), and different elements may support singular chains that are “essentially” the same. For instance, any element $P \in C_p$ is homeomorphic to the standard unit p -cube I^p via a homeomorphism $\alpha : P \rightarrow I^p$, and the usual singular boundary operator on a singular p -cube $\sigma : I^p \rightarrow B$ in a topological space B induces a singular boundary operator on the singular C_p -space $\sigma \circ \alpha : P \rightarrow B$ such that the boundary operators commute with

the homeomorphism $\alpha : P \rightarrow I^p$. So, $\sigma : I^p \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma \circ \alpha : P \rightarrow B$ are “essentially” the same, even though they are defined on different domains.

More precisely, to construct our singular Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex we begin by defining the set C_p of allowed domains of degree p for all p , and then we define a boundary operator on the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p , i.e. $S_p = \mathbb{Z}[C_p]$. This boundary operator

$$\partial : S_p \rightarrow S_{p-1}$$

induces a boundary operator

$$\partial : S_p(B) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(B)$$

on singular C_p -chains in B as follows. If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B$ is a singular C_p -space in B , then $\partial(\sigma)$ is given by the formula

$$\partial(\sigma) = \sum_k n_k \sigma|_{P_k}$$

where

$$\partial(P) = \sum_k n_k P_k$$

and $n_k = \pm 1$ for all k . The degeneracy relations define a subgroup $D_p(B) \subseteq S_p(B)$ of degenerate singular topological chains in B such that $\partial(D_p(B)) \subseteq D_{p-1}(B)$, and hence the boundary operator on singular C_p -chains in B descends to a boundary operator on the quotient groups:

$$\partial : S_p(B)/D_p(B) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(B)/D_{p-1}(B).$$

This describes our framework for defining the homomorphism ∂_0 in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex. In fact, in the above diagram for a general Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex we have

$$C_p(B_i) = S_p^\infty(B_i)/D_p^\infty(B_i)$$

where $S_p^\infty(B_i) \subset S_p(B_i)$, $D_p^\infty(B_i) \subset D_p(B_i)$, and $\partial_0 : C_p(B_i) \rightarrow C_{p-1}(B_i)$ is $(-1)^{p+i}$ times the boundary operator on singular topological chains developed in Section 4 of this paper (see Definitions 25, 27, and 34). The homomorphisms $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ are then defined as above using fibered products of compactified moduli spaces, and it is shown that they preserve the groups of degenerate singular topological chains (see Lemma 33). Hence there are induced homomorphisms

$$\partial_j : S_p^\infty(B_i)/D_p^\infty(B_i) \rightarrow S_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})/D_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, m$, and these induced homomorphisms fit together to form the boundary operator $\partial = \partial_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \partial_m$ in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex.

1.2. Comparison with other approaches. The Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex constructed in this paper is inspired by several other authors ([4], [22], [23], [32], [33], and [39]) who have studied both the finite dimensional case addressed here and the infinite dimensional version of Floer-Bott homology.

However, the approach taken in this paper is fundamentally different and, in our opinion, more straightforward and more like singular homology than previous approaches. For instance, other authors use the machinery of spectral sequences, cf. [4], [23], and [39]. In contrast, the approach taken here does not require spectral sequences (although several of the diagrams found in Section 5 are inspired by and resemble first quadrant spectral sequences).

Moreover, as a novel feature, the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex defined in Section 5 provides a common framework for encoding both smooth singular cubical chains and Morse-Smale-Witten chains. In particular, it reduces to the chain complex of smooth singular N -cube chains when the function is constant (Example 36), and it reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex when the function is Morse-Smale (Example 37). This is quite different from the approaches that count flow lines with cascades [22], where the chain complex reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex for both Morse-Smale functions and constant functions.

To construct a Morse-Bott-Smale chain (or cochain) complex one must first choose a category for the objects in the chain (or cochain) complex. For instance, Austin and Braam [4] use differential forms on the critical submanifolds to define the groups $C_p(B_i)$ in their Morse-Bott-Smale cochain complex, and they define the homomorphisms $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ in their cochain complex using integration along the fibers. They then use the machinery of spectral sequences to prove that the cochain complex they construct computes the de Rham cohomology of the manifold.

In contrast, Latschev [32] views the stable and unstable manifolds (and their fibered products) as currents. Latschev shows (following [25]) that these currents determine an operator (from differential forms to currents) that is chain homotopic to the inclusion. After showing that this operator can be extended to singular chains that are “sufficiently transverse” to the unstable manifolds, Latschev shows that the image of the extended operator is the set of “stable bundles of smooth chains” in the critical submanifolds. A formula for the differential on the chain complex whose groups consist of stable bundles over chains in the critical submanifolds is given, and it is proved that the homology of this (Morse-Bott-Smale) chain complex is isomorphic to the singular homology of the manifold with integer coefficients.

Another approach to Morse-Bott homology can be found in a paper by Fukaya [23], where the Floer-Bott homology of the Chern-Simons functional is studied. The groups in Fukaya’s version of Morse-Bott homology are generated by piecewise smooth maps from objects he calls “abstract geometric chains” and attributes to Gromov [24]. Similarly, Ruan and Tian’s version of “Bott-type symplectic Floer cohomology” [39]

is based on these same “abstract geometric chains”, which they attribute to Fukaya [23]. According to Fukaya, an “abstract geometric chain” is a finite simplicial complex (see Definition 1.14 of [23]) that satisfies certain conditions, including a codimension 2 boundary condition, reminiscent of the conditions used to define “pseudocycles” (see [30], [36], and [42]). The boundary operator in the chain complex described by Fukaya is defined on the set of “transversal geometric chains” by taking fibered products with moduli spaces of gradient flow lines, and Theorem 1.2 of [23] says that there is a spectral sequence associated to a “generic” Morse-Bott function which converges to the singular homology of the manifold.

In this paper, we construct our Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex over the category of compact oriented smooth manifolds with corners. This is a natural choice of category for a Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex because the compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of a Morse-Bott-Smale function are compact oriented smooth manifolds with corners (see Theorem 20). Moreover, we prove in Subsection 5.5 that all the relevant fibered products are compact smooth manifolds with corners. Hence, the fibered product constructions used in this paper stay within the chosen category for the objects in the chain complex.

1.3. Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts about the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex, and in Section 3 we recall some basic facts about Morse-Bott functions and state the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition.

Section 4 establishes the framework we use to define the homomorphism ∂_0 in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex constructed in Section 5.

In Subsection 4.1 we introduce the notion of abstract topological chains and singular topological chains, which generalize the usual singular chain complexes based on maps from the standard p -simplex $\Delta^p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ or the unit p -cube I^p . Abstract topological chains are introduced in order to provide the flexibility of constructing singular chain complexes with maps from a variety of domains. To construct the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex in Section 5 we need to allow maps from the faces of an N -cube (for some large N), the compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines, and their fibered products.

The formalism of abstract topological chains and singular topological chains is very general and provides a natural framework for some of the formulas found in [23].

In Subsection 4.2 we show how the faces of an N -cube can be viewed as abstract topological chains. Using the degeneracy relations in Definition 14, this produces the chain complex of singular N -cube chains, which is comparable to the singular cubical chain complex of [35] (see Theorem 15). The reader should note that in Subsection

4.2 the singular topological chain group $S_p(B)$ is generated by maps from the p -dimensional faces of the unit N -cube. So, the maps in $S_p(B)$ are defined on several different domains. However, these domains are identified via the subgroup $D_p(B)$ of degenerate singular topological chains. Hence, every equivalence class in $S_p(B)/D_p(B)$ contains a representative defined on the standard p -cube $I^p \times (0, \dots, 0) \subseteq I^N$.

In Subsection 4.3 we define the fibered product of singular topological chains and show that the fibered product of singular topological chains is an abstract topological chain. The formal constructions in Subsection 4.3 are used in Subsection 4.4 where the abstract topological chain structure on compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines is defined. This abstract topological chain structure is defined in terms of fibered products (see Definition 22), and the general results proved in Subsection 4.3 are used to prove that the degree and boundary operator for compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines satisfy the axioms for abstract topological chains (see Lemma 23).

In Section 5 we construct our Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$. The construction begins by defining the set C_p of allowed domains for the singular topological chains. The set of allowed domains C_p includes the p -dimensional faces of the unit N -cube I^N and the p -dimensional connected components of fibered products with compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines. Subsection 5.5 is devoted to the proof of Lemma 24, which says that the elements of C_p are compact oriented smooth manifolds with corners.

In Subsection 5.1 we define the chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$. The groups in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$ are defined in Definition 34 as the quotient of the groups in $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ by the degenerate singular topological chains. These degenerate singular topological chains are defined in Subsection 5.3 using the orientation conventions given in Subsection 5.2. The degenerate singular topological chains defined in Subsection 5.3 include the degenerate singular N -cube chains from Subsection 4.2 together with additional chains having domains that are components of fibered products. The degenerate singular topological chains are used to identify chains that are “essentially” the same, but defined on different domains.

The chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ defined in Subsection 5.1 has a natural filtration determined by the Morse-Bott index, and the boundary operator decomposes into a sum of differentials $\partial = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \partial_j$, where ∂_j is a homomorphism that decreases the Morse-Bott index by j . In fact, in Subsection 5.1 the homomorphisms ∂_j are defined first, and then the full boundary operator is defined to be $\partial = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \partial_j$. Thus, the chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ looks like a first quadrant spectral sequence where the homomorphisms ∂_j are all defined on the E^0 term (see Definition 34). More precisely, the chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ is multicomplex [29] [37].

The homomorphism ∂_0 in Subsection 5.1 is defined in terms of the singular topological chain structure discussed in Section 4, and the homomorphisms $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ are defined using fibered products of compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines. It

is shown in Lemma 33 that these homomorphisms preserve the groups of degenerate singular topological chains, and hence they induce homomorphisms (still denoted by $\partial_0, \dots, \partial_m$) on the groups in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$. Thus, Corollary 29 implies that $\partial^2 = 0$ for the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$. In Subsection 5.4 we give several examples of computing Morse-Bott homology, including the cases where the function is constant (Example 36) and where the function is Morse-Smale (Example 37).

Section 6 is devoted to proving a Floer-type continuation theorem (Theorem 61) that shows that the homology of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex defined in Section 5 is independent of the Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ used to define the complex. The proof follows standard arguments involving compactified moduli spaces of time-dependent gradient flow lines that can be found in [4], [9], [18], [19], [41], and [44]. (An outline of the proof of the continuation theorem can be found at the beginning of Section 6.) When the Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant the entire manifold M is a critical submanifold of Morse-Bott index zero, and the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex defined in Section 5 reduces to the chain complex of smooth singular N -cube chains (Example 36). Hence, Theorem 61 implies that, for any Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the homology of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex associated to f is isomorphic to the singular homology of the manifold with integer coefficients. Moreover, when the function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Morse-Smale the complex reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex (Example 37). Thus, the Morse Homology Theorem follows as a corollary to the results in this paper.

Other authors have shown that the theory of Morse-Bott homology can be applied to a variety of problems in algebraic topology, Floer homology, gauge theory, and quantum cohomology. For instance, applications to equivariant homology/cohomology and cup products are discussed in [4] and [32], applications to the Floer homology of the Chern-Simons functional and Donaldson polynomials are discussed in [5] and [23], and applications to symplectic Floer homology and quantum cohomology are discussed in [33] and [39]. Although we do not discuss applications of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex constructed in Sections 4 and 5, the techniques developed in this paper were designed with the above applications in mind. Thus, we expect that our approach to Morse-Bott homology can be applied to problems such as the ones listed above and can be readily extended to the infinite dimensional case of Floer-Bott homology.

2. THE MORSE-SMALE-WITTEN CHAIN COMPLEX

In this section we briefly recall the construction of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex and the Morse Homology Theorem. For more details we refer the reader to [7].

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function on a finite dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The **stable manifold** of a critical point $p \in Cr(f)$ is defined to be

$$W^s(p) = \{x \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_t(x) = p\}$$

where φ_t is the 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms generated by minus the gradient vector field, i.e. $-\nabla f$. Similarly, the **unstable manifold** of p is defined to be

$$W^u(p) = \{x \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_t(x) = p\}.$$

The Stable/Unstable Manifold Theorem for a Morse Function says that the tangent space at p splits as

$$T_p M = T_p^s M \oplus T_p^u M$$

where the Hessian is positive definite on $T_p^s M$ and negative definite on $T_p^u M$. Moreover, the stable and unstable manifolds of p are the surjective images of smooth embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} E^s : T_p^s M &\rightarrow W^s(p) \subseteq M \\ E^u : T_p^u M &\rightarrow W^u(p) \subseteq M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $W^s(p)$ is a smoothly embedded open disk of dimension $m - \lambda_p$, and $W^u(p)$ is a smoothly embedded open disk of dimension λ_p where m is the dimension of M and λ_p is the index of the critical point p , i.e. the dimension of $T_p^u M$.

If the stable and unstable manifolds of a Morse function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ all intersect transversally, then the function is called **Morse-Smale**. The next result describes the abundance of Morse-Smale functions.

Theorem 1 (Kupka-Smale Theorem). *If (M, g) is a finite dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold, then the space of all C^r Morse-Smale functions on M is a dense subspace of $C^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ for any $2 \leq r \leq \infty$.*

If $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse-Smale function, then using Palis' λ -Lemma one can show that there is a partial ordering on the critical points of f defined as follows.

Definition 2. *Let p and q be critical points of $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that q is **succeeded** by p , $q \succeq p$, if and only if $W(q, p) = W^u(q) \cap W^s(p) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. there exists a gradient flow line from q to p .*

Note that $W(q, p)$ is an embedded submanifold of M of dimension $\lambda_q - \lambda_p$. Hence, $q \succeq p$ implies that $\lambda_q \geq \lambda_p$. As other consequences of Palis' λ -Lemma we have the following.

Theorem 3. *If p and q are critical points of $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $q \succeq p$, then*

$$\overline{W(q, p)} = \overline{W^u(q)} \cap \overline{W^s(p)} = \bigcup_{q \succeq \tilde{q} \succeq \tilde{p} \succeq p} W(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p})$$

where the union is over all critical points between q and p .

Corollary 4. *If p and q are critical points of relative index one, i.e. if $\lambda_q - \lambda_p = 1$, and $q \succeq p$, then*

$$\overline{W(q, p)} = W(q, p) \cup \{p, q\}.$$

Moreover, $W(q, p)$ has finitely many components, i.e. the number of gradient flow lines from q to p is finite.

If we assume that M is oriented and we choose an orientation for each of the unstable manifolds of f , then there is an induced orientation on the stable manifolds. The preceding corollary shows that we can then define an integer $n(q, p)$ associated to any two critical points p and q of relative index one by counting the number of gradient flow lines from q to p with signs determined by the orientations. The **Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex** is defined to be the chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial_*)$ where $C_k(f)$ is the free abelian group generated by the critical points q of index k and the boundary operator $\partial_k : C_k(f) \rightarrow C_{k-1}(f)$ is given by

$$\partial_k(q) = \sum_{p \in Cr_{k-1}(f)} n(q, p)p.$$

Theorem 5 (Morse Homology Theorem). *The pair $(C_*(f), \partial_*)$ is a chain complex, and its homology is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Note that the Morse Homology Theorem implies that the homology of $(C_*(f), \partial_*)$ is independent of the Morse-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Riemannian metric g , and the orientations.

3. MORSE-BOTT FUNCTIONS AND TRANSVERSALITY

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a finite dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Assume that the critical point set

$$Cr(f) = \{p \in M \mid df_p = 0\} = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n B_\alpha$$

is a finite disjoint union of connected submanifolds B_α in M , called **critical submanifolds**. For any $p \in B$, a critical submanifold, the tangent space of M splits as

$$T_p M \approx T_p B \oplus \nu_p(B)$$

where $\nu_p(B)$ denotes the normal bundle of B in M . For $V, W \in T_p M$, the Hessian is a symmetric bilinear form defined by $Hess_p(f)(V, W) = V_p(\tilde{W}(f))$ where \tilde{W} is any extension of W to a neighborhood of p . If $V \in T_p B$ then $Hess_p(f)(V, W) = 0$ because $\tilde{W}_x(f) = 0$ for all $x \in B$. Thus the Hessian determines a symmetric bilinear form on the normal space

$$Hess_p^\nu(f) : \nu_p(B) \times \nu_p(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 6. A function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **Morse-Bott** if and only if the critical point set $Cr(f)$ is a finite disjoint union of connected submanifolds of M and for each connected submanifold $B \subseteq Cr(f)$ the normal Hessian $Hess_p^\nu(f)$ is non-degenerate for all $p \in B$. The **index** λ_p of a critical point $p \in B \subseteq Cr(f)$ is defined to be the maximal dimension of a subspace of $\nu_p(B)$ on which $Hess_p^\nu(f)$ is negative definite.

For a proof of the following lemma see Section 3.5 of [7] or [8].

Lemma 7 (Morse-Bott Lemma). *Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse-Bott function, and let B be a connected component of the critical set $Cr(f)$. For any $p \in B$ there is a local chart of M around p and a local splitting of the normal bundle of B*

$$\nu_*(B) = \nu_*^+(B) \oplus \nu_*^-(B)$$

identifying a point $x \in M$ in its domain to (u, v, w) where $u \in B$, $v \in \nu_*^+(B)$, $w \in \nu_*^-(B)$ such that within this chart f assumes the form

$$f(x) = f(u, v, w) = f(B) + |v|^2 - |w|^2.$$

Note that the Morse-Bott Lemma shows that if B is connected, then λ_p is independent of $p \in B$. Hence, we may also refer to λ_p as the index λ_B of the critical submanifold B . Moreover, the lemma shows that at a critical point $p \in Cr(f)$ the tangent space splits as

$$T_p M = T_p B \oplus \nu_p^+(B) \oplus \nu_p^-(B)$$

where $\lambda_p = \dim \nu_p^-(B)$. If we let $b = \dim B$ and $\lambda_p^* = \dim \nu_p^+(B)$, then we have the fundamental relation

$$m = b + \lambda_p^* + \lambda_p$$

where $m = \dim M$.

Let $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow M$ denote the flow associated to $-\nabla f$, i.e. $\varphi_t(x) = \gamma(t)$ where $\gamma'(t) = -(\nabla f)(\gamma(t))$ and $\gamma(0) = x$. For $p \in Cr(f)$ the *stable manifold* $W^s(p)$ and the *unstable manifold* $W^u(p)$ are defined the same as they were for a Morse function:

$$W^s(p) = \{x \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_t(x) = p\}$$

$$W^u(p) = \{x \in M \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_t(x) = p\}.$$

However, for a Morse-Bott function we can also consider the stable and unstable manifolds of a critical submanifold $B \subseteq Cr(f)$. These are defined to be

$$W^s(B) = \bigcup_{p \in B} W^s(p)$$

$$W^u(B) = \bigcup_{p \in B} W^u(p).$$

For the following see Proposition 3.2 of [4].

Theorem 8 (Stable/Unstable Manifold Theorem for a Morse-Bott Function). *The stable and unstable manifolds $W^s(B)$ and $W^u(B)$ are the surjective images of smooth injective immersions $E^+ : \nu_*^+(B) \rightarrow M$ and $E^- : \nu_*^-(B) \rightarrow M$. There are smooth endpoint maps $\partial_+ : W^s(B) \rightarrow B$ and $\partial_- : W^u(B) \rightarrow B$ given by $\partial_+(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_t(x)$ and $\partial_-(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_t(x)$ which when restricted to a neighborhood of B have the structure of locally trivial fiber bundles.*

Definition 9 (Morse-Bott-Smale Transversality). *A Morse-Bott function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the **Morse-Bott-Smale transversality** condition with respect to a given metric on M if and only if for any two connected critical submanifolds B and B' , $W^u(p)$ intersects $W^s(B')$ transversely, i.e. $W^u(p) \pitchfork W^s(B')$, for all $p \in B$.*

Note: By the Kupka-Smale Theorem (Theorem 1) we can always find a Morse-Smale function as close as we like to a given Morse-Bott function. However, there are situations where a certain Morse-Bott function has some desired properties that will be lost if it is perturbed. In those cases it might be preferable to look for a Riemannian metric such that the function is Morse-Bott-Smale with respect to the chosen metric. Unfortunately, it is not always possible to perturb the Riemannian metric to make a given Morse-Bott function satisfy the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition. See Section 2 of [32] for some interesting counterexamples.

If the gradient flow of $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does satisfy the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition, then the space

$$W(B, B') = W^u(B) \cap W^s(B')$$

of points $x \in M$ such that $\partial_-(x) \in B$ and $\partial_+(x) \in B'$ is a submanifold of M . The following lemma is an immediate consequence of transversality.

Lemma 10. *Suppose that B is of dimension b and index λ_B and that B' is of dimension b' and index $\lambda_{B'}$. Then we have the following where $m = \dim M$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W^u(B) &= b + \lambda_B \\ \dim W^s(B') &= b' + \lambda_{B'}^* = m - \lambda_{B'} \\ \dim W(B, B') &= \lambda_B - \lambda_{B'} + b \quad (\text{if } W(B, B') \neq \emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the dimension of $W(B, B')$ does not depend on the dimension of the critical submanifold B' . This fact will be used when we define the boundary operator in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex.

We end this section with the following lemma which says that a Morse-Bott-Smale function is **weakly self-indexing** [4], i.e. the Morse-Bott index is strictly decreasing along gradient flow lines.

Lemma 11. *If $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition, then $W(B, B') = \emptyset$ whenever $\lambda_B \leq \lambda_{B'}$ and $B \neq B'$.*

Proof: If $B \neq B'$ and there exists an $x \in W(B, B')$, then x lies on a one dimensional gradient flow line that begins at $\partial_-(x) \in B$ and ends at $\partial_+(x) \in B'$. Hence, $\dim W(\partial_-(x), B') \geq 1$, and the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition implies that $\dim W(\partial_-(x), B') = \lambda_B - \lambda_{B'} + 0 \geq 1$. Therefore, $\lambda_B > \lambda_{B'}$. □

4. TOPOLOGICAL CHAINS AND FIBERED PRODUCTS

In this section we introduce the concept of abstract topological chains and singular topological chains. Abstract topological chains are generalizations of the standard p -simplex $\Delta^p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, and singular topological chains are generalizations of singular chains where we consider continuous maps from abstract topological chains. Applying these definitions to the faces of an N -cube we construct a chain complex that is comparable to the singular cubical chain complex of [35]. We also define the fibered product of singular topological chains and show that the fibered product of singular topological chains is an abstract topological chain. The homomorphism ∂_0 in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex constructed in Section 5 is defined in terms of abstract topological chains that are constructed from fibered products of compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines and the faces of an N -cube. In this section we define the degrees and boundary operators for these spaces and show that they satisfy the axioms for abstract topological chains.

4.1. Some general abstract definitions. For each integer $p \geq 0$ fix a set C_p of topological spaces, and let S_p be the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p , i.e. $S_p = \mathbb{Z}[C_p]$. Set $S_p = \{0\}$ if $p < 0$ or $C_p = \emptyset$.

Definition 12. A **boundary operator** on the collection S_* of groups $\{S_p\}$ is a homomorphism $\partial_p : S_p \rightarrow S_{p-1}$ such that

- (1) For $p \geq 1$ and $P \in C_p \subseteq S_p$, $\partial_p(P) = \sum_k n_k P_k$ where $n_k = \pm 1$ and $P_k \in C_{p-1}$ is a subspace of P for all k .
- (2) $\partial_{p-1} \circ \partial_p : S_p \rightarrow S_{p-2}$ is zero.

We will call (S_*, ∂_*) a **chain complex of abstract topological chains**. Elements of S_p are called **abstract topological chains of degree p** .

Definition 13. Let B be a topological space and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A **singular C_p -space** in B is a continuous map $\sigma : P \rightarrow B$ where $P \in C_p$, and the **singular C_p -chain group** $S_p(B)$ is the free abelian group generated by the singular C_p -spaces. Define $S_p(B) = \{0\}$ if $S_p = \{0\}$ or $B = \emptyset$. Elements of $S_p(B)$ are called **singular topological chains of degree p** .

For $p \geq 1$ there is a boundary operator $\partial_p : S_p(B) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(B)$ induced from the boundary operator $\partial_p : S_p \rightarrow S_{p-1}$. If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B$ is a singular C_p -space in B , then

$\partial_p(\sigma)$ is given by the formula

$$\partial_p(\sigma) = \sum_k n_k \sigma|_{P_k}$$

where

$$\partial_p(P) = \sum_k n_k P_k.$$

The pair $(S_*(B), \partial_*)$ is called a **chain complex of singular topological chains** in B .

Note: The preceding definitions are quite general. To construct the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex in Section 5 we only need C_p to include the p -dimensional faces of an N -cube, the compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of dimension p , and the components of their fibered products of dimension p . In this section we show that these spaces carry the structure of abstract topological chains.

4.2. Singular N -cube chains. In this subsection we show how the above abstract definitions apply to the faces of an N -cube to produce a chain complex comparable to the singular cubical chain complex of [35].

Pick some large positive integer N and let $I^N = \{(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid 0 \leq x_j \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, N\}$ denote the unit N -cube. For every $0 \leq p \leq N$ let C_p be the set consisting of the faces of I^N of dimension p , i.e. subsets of I^N where p of the coordinates are free and the rest of the coordinates are fixed to be either 0 or 1. For every $0 \leq p \leq N$ let S_p be the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p .

For $P \in C_p$ we define

$$\partial_p(P) = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j [P|_{x_j=1} - P|_{x_j=0}] \in S_{p-1}$$

where x_j denotes the j^{th} free coordinate of P . The boundary operator ∂_p extends linearly to a homomorphism $\partial_p : S_p \rightarrow S_{p-1}$. To see that $\partial_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = 0$ note that in the sum for $\partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(P))$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \left[\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j [P|_{x_j=1} - P|_{x_j=0}] \Big|_{\tilde{x}_i=1} - \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j [P|_{x_j=1} - P|_{x_j=0}] \Big|_{\tilde{x}_i=0} \right]$$

the terms cancel in pairs and hence $\partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(P)) = 0 \in S_{p-2}$. A continuous map $\sigma_P : P \rightarrow B$ into a topological space B is a singular C_p -space in B . The boundary operator applied to σ_P is

$$\partial_p(\sigma_P) = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j [\sigma_P|_{x_j=1} - \sigma_P|_{x_j=0}] \in S_{p-1}(B)$$

where $\sigma_P|_{x_j=0}$ denotes the restriction $\sigma_P : P|_{x_j=0} \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma_P|_{x_j=1}$ denotes the restriction $\sigma_P : P|_{x_j=1} \rightarrow B$. We will show that the p^{th} singular homology group of B is isomorphic to the homology of a quotient of the chain complex $(S_*(B), \partial_*)$ by the degenerate singular chains for $p < N$.

Definition 14 (Degeneracy Relations for Singular N -Cube Chains). *Let σ_P and σ_Q be singular C_p -spaces in B and let $\partial_p(Q) = \sum_j n_j Q_j \in S_{p-1}$. For any map $\alpha : P \rightarrow Q$, let $\partial_p(\sigma_Q) \circ \alpha$ denote the formal sum $\sum_j n_j (\sigma_Q \circ \alpha)|_{\alpha^{-1}(Q_j)}$. Define the subgroup $D_p(B) \subseteq S_p(B)$ of **degenerate singular N -cube chains** to be the subgroup generated by the following elements.*

- (1) *If α is an orientation preserving homeomorphism such that $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_p(\sigma_Q) \circ \alpha = \partial_p(\sigma_P)$, then $\sigma_P - \sigma_Q \in D_p(B)$.*
- (2) *If σ_P does not depend on some free coordinate of P , then $\sigma_P \in D_p(B)$.*

Note: We orient the faces of I^N of dimension p by requiring that the map which identifies the free coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_p) of a face with the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^p be orientation preserving. Also, a map from a vertex to a vertex is orientation preserving.

Theorem 15 (Singular N -Cube Chain Theorem). *The boundary operator for singular N -cube chains $\partial_p : S_p(B) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(B)$ descends to a homomorphism*

$$\partial_p : S_p(B)/D_p(B) \rightarrow S_{p-1}(B)/D_{p-1}(B),$$

and

$$H_p(S_*(B)/D_*(B), \partial_*) \approx H_p(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $p < N$.

Proof: Let $\sigma_P \in S_p(B)$ be a singular C_p -space and assume that there exists a singular C_p -space $\sigma_Q \in S_p(B)$ and an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\alpha : P \rightarrow Q$ such that $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_p(\sigma_Q) \circ \alpha = \partial_p(\sigma_P)$. Since $\partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(\sigma_Q)) = \partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(\sigma_P)) = 0$ we have $\partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(\sigma_Q)) \circ \alpha = \partial_{p-1}(\partial_p(\sigma_P))$. Thus, $\partial_p(\sigma_P - \sigma_Q) = \partial_p(\sigma_P) - \partial_p(\sigma_Q) \in D_{p-1}(B)$.

Now assume that $\sigma_P \in S_p(B)$ is a singular C_p -space that does not depend on some free coordinate x_j . Then in the sum for $\partial_p(\sigma_P)$ the term $\sigma_P|_{x_j=1} - \sigma_P|_{x_j=0} \in D_{p-1}(B)$ because $\sigma_P|_{x_j=0}$ and $\sigma_P|_{x_j=1}$ satisfy the first condition for degeneracy, and the rest of the terms are all independent of the coordinate corresponding to x_j and thus satisfy the second condition for degeneracy. Therefore $\partial_p(D_p(B)) \subseteq D_{p-1}(B)$, and the boundary operator ∂_p descends to a homomorphism of the quotient groups.

We now recall the definition of singular homology using singular cubes in [35]. Let I^p be the standard unit p -cube for $p > 0$ and let I^0 be the origin in \mathbb{R}^N . We will view $I^p \in C_p$ by identifying I^p with $I^p \times (0, \dots, 0) \subseteq I^N$. A **singular p -cube** in B is a continuous map $T : I^p \rightarrow B$, i.e. a singular C_p -space in B with domain I^p . Let $Q_p(B) \subseteq S_p(B)$ denote the free abelian group generated by the set of all singular

p -cubes in B . A singular p -cube is called degenerate if it satisfies the second condition listed in Definition 14. Note that the first condition is not needed to define singular homology using singular p -cubes because all singular p -cubes are defined on the same domain. Let $DQ_p(B)$ denote the subgroup of $Q_p(B)$ generated by the degenerate singular p -cubes.

If $T : I^p \rightarrow B$ is a singular p -cube, then for $i = 1, \dots, p$ there are singular $p - 1$ cubes defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} A_i T(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) &= T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_i, \dots, x_{p-1}) \\ B_i T(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) &= T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and a boundary operator defined by

$$\tilde{\partial}_p(T) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i [A_i T - B_i T].$$

The boundary operator satisfies $\tilde{\partial}_p(DQ_p(B)) \subseteq DQ_{p-1}(B)$, and the singular homology of B is the homology of the chain complex $(Q_*(B)/DQ_*(B), \tilde{\partial}_*)$. For more details see Chapter VII of [35].

Now assume that $p < N$. Since $Q_p(B) \subseteq S_p(B)$ and $DQ_p(B) \subseteq D_p(B)$ there are induced maps such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_p(B)/DQ_p(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_p} & Q_{p-1}(B)/DQ_{p-1}(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_p(B)/(D_p(B) \cap Q_p(B)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_p} & Q_{p-1}(B)/(D_{p-1}(B) \cap Q_p(B)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_p(B)/D_p(B) & \xrightarrow{\partial_p} & S_{p-1}(B)/D_{p-1}(B) \end{array}$$

commutes for all p . We will show that the induced maps in homology are isomorphisms. To see that this is true for the bottom square, note that for any $P \in C_p$ there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\alpha^{-1} : I^p \rightarrow P$ defined by sending the fixed coordinates of $I^p \times (0, \dots, 0) \subseteq I^N$ to the fixed coordinates of P and the j^{th} free coordinate of I^p to the j^{th} free coordinate of P for all $j = 1, \dots, p$. For any singular C_p -space $\sigma_P \in S_p(B)$, $\sigma_Q = \sigma_P \circ \alpha^{-1} : I^p \rightarrow B$ is a singular C_p -space that satisfies $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_p(\sigma_Q) \circ \alpha = \partial_p(\sigma_P)$. Therefore, $[\sigma_P] = [\sigma_Q] \in S_p(B)/D_p(B)$ and we see that every equivalence class in $S_p(B)/D_p(B)$ has a representative that is defined on the standard unit p -cube I^p . Therefore, $S_p(B)/D_p(B) \approx Q_p(B)/(D_p(B) \cap Q_p(B))$ for all p , and the homology groups of $(Q_*(B)/(D_*(B) \cap Q_*(B)), \tilde{\partial}_*)$ are isomorphic to the homology groups of $(S_*(B)/D_*(B), \partial_*)$.

To show that the top square in the above diagram induces isomorphisms of the homology groups we will show that the homology groups of the chain complex

$$((D_*(B) \cap Q_*(B))/DQ_*(B), \tilde{\partial}_*)$$

are zero for all p . Thus, the long exact sequence induced from

$$0 \rightarrow (D_*(B) \cap Q_*(B))/DQ_*(B) \rightarrow Q_*(B)/DQ_*(B) \rightarrow Q_*(B)/(D_*(B) \cap Q_*(B)) \rightarrow 0$$

shows that the homology groups of $(Q_*(B)/(D_*(B) \cap Q_*(B)), \tilde{\partial}_*)$ are isomorphic to the homology groups of $(Q_*(B)/DQ_*(B), \tilde{\partial}_*)$.

Let $G_p = (D_p(B) \cap Q_p(B))/DQ_p(B)$. Note that $G_0 = \{0\}$ since $D_0(B) \cap Q_0(B) = \{0\}$. Thus, $\tilde{\partial}_1$ is surjective and $H_0(G_*, \tilde{\partial}_*) = \{0\}$. Now assume that $p > 0$ and let

$$\sum_k n_k(\sigma_k \circ \alpha_k - \sigma_k) \in D_p(B) \cap Q_p(B)$$

be a representative for an element of $\ker(\tilde{\partial}_p) \subseteq G_p$, i.e.

$$\tilde{\partial}_p \left(\sum_k n_k(\sigma_k \circ \alpha_k - \sigma_k) \right) = 0$$

and for every k we have $\partial_p(\sigma_k) \circ \alpha_k = \partial_p(\sigma_k \circ \alpha_k)$ where $\alpha_k : I^p \rightarrow I^p$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism. Extend each α_k to an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}_k : I^{p+1} \rightarrow I^{p+1}$ by the formula $\tilde{\alpha}_k(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}) = (\alpha_k(x_1, \dots, x_p), x_{p+1})$, and extend each $\sigma_k : I^p \rightarrow B$ to a map $\tilde{\sigma}_k : I^{p+1} \rightarrow B$ such that for every $0 \leq x_{p+1} \leq 1$ we have

$$\partial_p \left(\sum_k n_k(\tilde{\sigma}_k(-, x_{p+1})) \circ \tilde{\alpha}_k - \tilde{\sigma}_k(-, x_{p+1}) \right) = 0,$$

$\partial_p(\tilde{\sigma}_k(-, x_{p+1})) \circ \tilde{\alpha}_k = \partial_p(\tilde{\sigma}_k \circ \tilde{\alpha}_k(-, x_{p+1}))$, and each $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ is constant when $x_{p+1} = 1$. Then

$$\sum_k n_k(\tilde{\sigma}_k \circ \tilde{\alpha}_k - \tilde{\sigma}_k) \in D_{p+1}(B) \cap Q_{p+1}(B)$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{\partial}_{p+1} \left[\sum_k n_k(\tilde{\sigma}_k \circ \tilde{\alpha}_k - \tilde{\sigma}_k) \right] = (-1)^{p+1} \left[\sum_k n_k(\sigma_k \circ \alpha_k - \sigma_k) \right]$$

in $(D_p(B) \cap Q_p(B))/DQ_p(B)$. Therefore, $\tilde{\partial}_{p+1}$ maps onto $\ker(\tilde{\partial}_p)$ and $(G_*, \tilde{\partial}_*)$ is acyclic. □

4.3. The fibered product of singular topological chains. In this subsection we resume the discussion of our abstract definitions. We define the fibered product of singular topological chains and show that the fibered product of singular topological chains is an abstract topological chain.

Let $\sigma_i : P_i \rightarrow B$ for $i = 1, 2$ be two continuous maps into a topological space B . Recall that the fibered product of σ_1 and σ_2 is defined to be

$$P_1 \times_B P_2 = (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)^{-1}(\Delta)$$

where Δ is the diagonal in $B \times B$, i.e.

$$P_1 \times_B P_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in P_1 \times P_2 \mid \sigma_1(x_1) = \sigma_2(x_2)\}.$$

Lemma 16. *Suppose that $\sigma_1 : P_1 \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma_2 : P_2 \rightarrow B$ are smooth maps where P_1, P_2 , and B are smooth manifolds of dimension p_1, p_2 , and b respectively. If σ_1 is transverse to σ_2 , then the fibered product $P_1 \times_B P_2$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $p_1 + p_2 - b$.*

Proof: This follows from the fact that $\sigma_1 \pitchfork \sigma_2$ if and only if $(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \pitchfork \Delta$. □

Given a collection of topological spaces $\{C_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ we will say that an element $P \in C_p$ has **degree** p . If B is a topological manifold of dimension b , $P_1 \in C_{p_1}$, $P_2 \in C_{p_2}$, and $\sigma_i : P_i \rightarrow B$ for $i = 1, 2$ are continuous maps, then we can associate the **degree** $p_1 + p_2 - b$ to the fibered product $P_1 \times_B P_2$ using the same formula as above. If we assume that the collection of spaces $\{C_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is closed under the fibered product construction with respect to some collection of maps, i.e. if

$$P_1 \times_B P_2 \in C_{p_1+p_2-b},$$

then the fibered product construction extends linearly to the collection of free abelian groups $\{S_p\}$.

Definition 17. *Suppose that $\{C_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is a collection of topological spaces that is closed under the fibered product construction with respect to some collection of maps, and assume that (S_*, ∂_*) is a chain complex of abstract topological chains based on the collection $\{C_p\}_{p \geq 0}$. If $\sigma_i = \sum_k n_{i,k} \sigma_{i,k} \in S_{p_i}(B)$ for $i = 1, 2$ where $\sigma_{i,k} : P_{i,k} \rightarrow B$ is a singular C_{p_i} -space for all k , then the **fibered product** of σ_1 and σ_2 over B is defined to be*

$$P_1 \times_B P_2 = \sum_{k,j} n_{1,k} n_{2,j} P_{1,k} \times_B P_{2,j}$$

where $P_1 = \sum_k n_{1,k} P_{1,k} \in S_{p_1}$ and $P_2 = \sum_j n_{2,j} P_{2,j} \in S_{p_2}$. The **boundary operator** applied to the fibered product is defined to be

$$\partial(P_1 \times_B P_2) = \partial P_1 \times_B P_2 + (-1)^{p_1+b} P_1 \times_B \partial P_2.$$

If $\sigma_i = 0$ for either $i = 1$ or 2 , then we define $P_1 \times_B P_2 = 0$.

Note: We omit the subscript p on the boundary operator ∂_p in order to simplify the notation. Also, given the data of a triple

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{11}} B_1 \xleftarrow{\sigma_{12}} P_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{22}} B_2 \xleftarrow{\sigma_{23}} P_3$$

we can form the iterated fibered product $(P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3$ using σ_{23} and the map $\sigma_{22} \circ \pi_2 : P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \rightarrow B_2$, where $\pi_2 : P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \rightarrow P_2$ denotes projection to the second component. That is, we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3 & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_3} & & & P_3 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & & & \downarrow \sigma_{23} \\ P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_2} & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{22}} & B_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_{12} & & \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{11}} & B_1 & & \end{array}$$

Similarly, we can form the iterated fibered product $P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3)$ using σ_{11} and the map $\sigma_{12} \circ \pi_1 : P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3) \rightarrow B_1$, where $\pi_1 : P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 \rightarrow P_2$ denotes projection to the first component. This corresponds to the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3) & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_2} & P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 & \dashrightarrow^{\pi_2} & P_3 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_{23} \\ & & P_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{22}} & B_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \sigma_{12} & & \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{11}} & B_1 & & \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that $(P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3$ and $P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3)$ are the same as topological spaces. The following lemma shows that they are also the same as abstract topological chains.

Lemma 18. *The fibered product of two singular topological chains is an abstract topological chain, i.e. the boundary operator on fibered products is of degree -1 and satisfies $\partial \circ \partial = 0$. Moreover, the boundary operator on fibered products is associative, i.e.*

$$\partial((P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3) = \partial(P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3)).$$

Proof: Since ∂ is a boundary operator on P_1 and P_2 , the degree of ∂P_1 is $p_1 - 1$ and the degree of ∂P_2 is $p_2 - 1$. Hence both $\partial P_1 \times_B P_2$ and $P_1 \times_B \partial P_2$ have degree $p_1 + p_2 - b - 1$.

To see that $\partial^2(P_1 \times_B P_2) = 0$ we compute as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(\partial(P_1 \times_B P_2)) &= \partial(\partial P_1 \times_B P_2 + (-1)^{p_1+b} P_1 \times_B \partial P_2) \\
&= \partial^2 P_1 \times_B P_2 + (-1)^{p_1-1+b} \partial P_1 \times_B \partial P_2 + \\
&\quad (-1)^{p_1+b} (\partial P_1 \times_B \partial P_2 + (-1)^{p_1+b} P_1 \times_B \partial^2 P_2) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove associativity we compute as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3)) &= \partial P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3) + (-1)^{p_1+b_1} P_1 \times_{B_1} \partial(P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3) \\
&= \partial P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3) + \\
&\quad (-1)^{p_1+b_1} (P_1 \times_{B_1} (\partial P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 + (-1)^{p_2+b_2} P_2 \times_{B_2} \partial P_3)) \\
&= \partial P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 + (-1)^{p_1+b_1} P_1 \times_{B_1} \partial P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 + \\
&\quad (-1)^{p_1+p_2+b_1+b_2} P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \times_{B_2} \times \partial P_3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial((P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3) &= \partial(P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3 + (-1)^{\deg(P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2)+b_2} (P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} \partial P_3 \\
&= (\partial P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 + (-1)^{p_1+b_1} P_1 \times_{B_1} \partial P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3 + \\
&\quad (-1)^{p_1+p_2-b_1+b_2} P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \times_{B_2} \partial P_3 \\
&= \partial P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 + (-1)^{p_1+b_1} P_1 \times_{B_1} \partial P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3 + \\
&\quad (-1)^{p_1+p_2-b_1+b_2} P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2 \times_{B_2} \times \partial P_3
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.4. Compactified moduli spaces as singular topological chains. In this subsection we define a degree and boundary operator for compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines. We will show in Subsection 5.5 that these compactified moduli spaces are smooth manifolds with corners and the degree defined in this subsection coincides with the dimension of these manifolds. The abstract topological chain structure defined in this subsection together with those defined for the faces of an N -cube and for fibered products are used in Section 5 to define the homomorphism ∂_0 in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex.

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse-Bott function on a Riemannian manifold M and let $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow M$ denote the flow associated to $-\nabla f$. For any two critical submanifolds B and B' the flow φ_t induces an \mathbb{R} -action on $W^u(B) \cap W^s(B')$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}(B, B') = (W^u(B) \cap W^s(B')) / \mathbb{R}$$

be the quotient space of gradient flow lines from B to B' . For proofs of the following two fundamental theorems we refer the reader to Appendix §A.3 of [4] (see [13], [27], and [26] for a different approach to Theorem 20).

Theorem 19 (Gluing). *Suppose that B , B' , and B'' are critical submanifolds such that $W^u(B) \pitchfork W^s(B')$ and $W^u(B') \pitchfork W^s(B'')$. In addition, assume that $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(B'')$ for all $x \in B'$. Then for some $\epsilon > 0$, there is an injective local diffeomorphism*

$$G : \mathcal{M}(B, B') \times_{B'} \mathcal{M}(B', B'') \times (0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B, B'')$$

onto an end of $\mathcal{M}(B, B'')$.

Theorem 20 (Compactification). *Assume that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition. For any two distinct connected critical submanifolds B and B' the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(B, B')$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$, consisting of all the piecewise gradient flow lines from B to B' , which is either empty or a compact smooth manifold with corners of dimension $\lambda_B - \lambda_{B'} + b - 1$. Moreover, the beginning and endpoint maps extend to smooth maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') &\rightarrow B \\ \partial_+ : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') &\rightarrow B', \end{aligned}$$

where the beginning point map ∂_- has the structure of a locally trivial fiber bundle.

The compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines for a Morse-Bott-Smale function can be described inductively as follows. For any two critical submanifolds B and B' , define $B \succ B'$ if $B \neq B'$ and there exists a gradient flow line from B to B' . Note that this relationship is transitive, i.e. if $B \succ B'$ and $B' \succ B''$, then $B \succ B''$ by Theorem 19. If there is no critical submanifold B' such that $B \succ B' \succ B''$, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B'') = \mathcal{M}(B, B'')$. In general,

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B'') = \mathcal{M}(B, B'') \cup \bigcup_{B \succ B' \succ B''} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \times_{B'} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B', B'')$$

where the union is taken over all critical submanifolds B' between B and B'' . Note that this description is inductive, i.e. both $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B', B'')$ may contain fibered products of compactified moduli spaces of smaller dimension. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B'')$ consists of all piecewise gradient flow lines from B to B'' . The topology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is determined by considering its elements as one dimensional subsets of M .

Definition 21. *The topology on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is the topology induced from the Hausdorff metric d_H on subsets of M . That is, if $l_1, l_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$, then l_1 and l_2 determine subsets $l_1, l_2 \subseteq M$, which are images of continuous injective paths from B to B' , and*

$$d_H(l_1, l_2) = \sup_{x_1 \in l_1} \inf_{x_2 \in l_2} d(x_1, x_2) + \sup_{x_2 \in l_2} \inf_{x_1 \in l_1} d(x_1, x_2)$$

where d is the metric on M .

Note that this definition is compatible with the topology of fibered products. That is, if $B \succ B' \succ B''$, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \times_{B'} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B', B'')$ inherits a topology as a subspace

of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B', B'')$, and this topology coincides with the Hausdorff topology on subsets of M [28].

The next definition shows how to use the above description of the compactified moduli spaces to define a degree and boundary operator satisfying the axioms for abstract topological chains. For this definition we only need to assume that the Morse-Bott function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is weakly self-indexing (see Lemma 11). To simplify the notation we will assume that for each $i = 0, \dots, m$ the components of B_i , the set of critical points of index i , are of the same dimension. In general one needs to group the components by their dimension and then define the degree and boundary operator on each group.

Definition 22. *Let B_i be the set of critical points of index i . For any $j = 1, \dots, i$ the degree of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ is defined to be $j + b_i - 1$ and the boundary operator is defined to be*

$$\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) = (-1)^{i+b_i} \sum_{i-j < n < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j})$$

where $b_i = \dim B_i$ and the fibered product is taken over the beginning and endpoint maps ∂_- and ∂_+ . If $B_n = \emptyset$, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}) = 0$. The boundary operator extends to fibered products of compactified moduli spaces via Definition 17.

Note: For all $p \geq 0$ let C_p be the set consisting of the connected components of degree p of fibered products of the form

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}) \times_{B_{i_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_2}, B_{i_3}) \times_{B_{i_3}} \cdots \times_{B_{i_{n-1}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_{n-1}}, B_{i_n})$$

where $m \geq i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_n \geq 0$ and the fibered products are taken with respect to the beginning and endpoint maps ∂_- and ∂_+ . Let S_p be the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p , i.e. $S_p = \mathbb{Z}[C_p]$. The preceding definition describes $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ and $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ as abstract topological chains in S_{j+b_i-1} and S_{j+b_i-2} respectively. If $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ has more than one connected component, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \in S_{j+b_i-1}$ is defined to be the sum of these components. Similarly, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}) \in S_{j+b_i-2}$ is defined to be the sum of its connected components.

Notation: We will use the following notation for the fibered product over the beginning and endpoint maps ∂_- and ∂_+ . For any $i - j < n < i$ we define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n, B_{i-j}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}).$$

Similarly for $i - j < n < s < i$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_s, B_n, B_{i-j})$ will denote the triple fibered product over B_s and B_n . Iterated fibered products are well defined as abstract topological chains since the boundary operator on fibered products is associative by Lemma 18.

Lemma 23. *The degree and boundary operator for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ satisfy the axioms for abstract topological chains, i.e. the boundary operator on compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines is of degree -1 and it satisfies $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.*

Proof: For any n with $i - j < n < i$ the degree of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n)$ is $i - n + b_i - 1$ and the degree of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j})$ is $n - i + j + b_n - 1$ by Definition 22. Thus the degree of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j})$ is $j + b_i - 2$ by Definition 17. We can also apply Definition 17 and Definition 22 as follows. To simplify the notation let $d = \deg \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) = i - n + b_i - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j})) \\ &= \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}) + (-1)^{d+b_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}) \\ &= (-1)^{i+b_i} \sum_{n < s < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_s, B_n, B_{i-j}) + (-1)^{i+b_i-1} \sum_{i-j < t < n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n, B_t, B_{i-j}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) &= (-1)^{i+b_i} \left[\sum_{i-j < n < i} \left((-1)^{i+b_i} \sum_{n < s < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_s, B_n, B_{i-j}) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. (-1)^{i+b_i-1} \sum_{i-j < t < n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n, B_t, B_{i-j}) \right) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{i+b_i} \left[(-1)^{i+b_i} \sum_{i-j < n < s < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_s, B_n, B_{i-j}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (-1)^{i+b_i-1} \sum_{i-j < t < n < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n, B_t, B_{i-j}) \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

5. THE MORSE-BOTT-SMALE CHAIN COMPLEX

In this section we define the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$. Throughout this section we will assume that $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse-Bott-Smale function on a compact oriented smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension m . Furthermore, we will assume that all the critical submanifolds B and their negative normal bundles $\nu_*^-(B)$ are oriented. If f is constant, then the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex reduces to the chain complex of smooth singular N -cube chains (Example 36). If f is a Morse-Smale function, then the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex (Example 37).

5.1. The Morse-Bott degree and the chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$. Recall that B_i is the set of critical points of index i for $i = 0, \dots, m$ and let $N > \dim M$. For any $p \geq 0$ let C_p be the set consisting of the faces of I^N of dimension p and the connected components of degree p of fibered products of the form

$$Q \times_{B_{i_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}) \times_{B_{i_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_2}, B_{i_3}) \times_{B_{i_3}} \cdots \times_{B_{i_{n-1}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_{n-1}}, B_{i_n})$$

where $m \geq i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_n \geq 0$, Q is a face of I^N of dimension $q \leq p$, $\sigma : Q \rightarrow B_{i_1}$ is smooth, and the fibered products are taken with respect to σ and the beginning and endpoint maps ∂_- and ∂_+ . The following lemma is proved at the end of this section.

Lemma 24. *The elements of C_p are compact oriented smooth manifolds with corners.*

Let S_p be the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p , and let $S_p^\infty(B_i)$ denote the subgroup of the singular C_p -chain group $S_p(B_i)$ generated by those maps $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ that satisfy the following two conditions:

- (1) The map σ is smooth.
- (2) If $P \in C_p$ is a connected component of a fibered product, then $\sigma = \partial_+ \circ \pi$, where π denotes projection onto the last component of the fibered product.

Definition 25. *Define the **Morse-Bott degree** of the singular topological chains in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$ to be $p + i$. For any $k = 0, \dots, m$ the group of smooth singular topological chains of Morse-Bott degree k is defined to be*

$$\tilde{C}_k(f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-i}^\infty(B_i).$$

If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ is a singular C_p -space in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$, then for any $j = 1, \dots, i$ composing the projection map π_2 onto the second component of $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ with the endpoint map $\partial_+ : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow B_{i-j}$ gives a map

$$P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_{i-j}.$$

The next lemma shows that restricting this map to the connected components of the fibered product $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ and adding these restrictions (with the sign determined by the orientation when the dimension of a component is zero) defines an element $\partial_j(\sigma) \in S_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$.

Lemma 26. *If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ is a singular C_p -space in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$, then for any $j = 1, \dots, i$ adding the components of $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ (with sign when the dimension of a component is zero) yields an abstract topological chain of degree $p + j - 1$. That is, we can identify*

$$P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \in S_{p+j-1}.$$

Thus, for all $j = 1, \dots, i$ there is an induced homomorphism

$$\partial_j : S_p^\infty(B_i) \rightarrow S_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$$

which decreases the Morse-Bott degree by 1.

Proof: The set B_i is a union of submanifolds of M , possibly of different dimensions. Since $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i$ is continuous and P is connected, the image $\sigma(P)$ must lie in a connected component $B \subseteq B_i$. By Lemma 23, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B_{i-j})$ is an abstract topological

chain of degree $j + b - 1$, and by the discussion after Lemma 16, $P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B_{i-j})$ has degree $p + j - 1$. This degree is independent of the dimension of the connected component B .

To see that $P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ has finitely many components, first note that $P \in C_p$ is a compact smooth manifold with corners by Lemma 24, and if B' is a connected component of B_{i-j} , then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is a compact smooth manifold with corners by Theorem 20. We will show in Lemma 44 that

$$P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$$

is a compact smooth manifold with corners, and hence it has finitely many components. By assumption (see Definition 6), the set B_{i-j} has finitely many components. Thus,

$$P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B_{i-j}) = P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$$

has finitely many components. □

Definition 27. For $k = 1, \dots, m$ define a homomorphism $\partial : \tilde{C}_k(f) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{k-1}(f)$ as follows. If $\sigma \in S_p^\infty(B_i)$ is a singular S_p -space of B_i where $p = k - i$, then

$$\partial(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \partial_j(\sigma)$$

where ∂_0 is $(-1)^k$ times the boundary operator on singular topological chains defined in Section 4, $\partial_j(\sigma) = \partial_+ \circ \pi_2 : P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow B_{i-j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, i$, and $\partial_j(\sigma) = 0$ otherwise. The map ∂ extends to a homomorphism

$$\partial : \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-i}^\infty(B_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-1-i}^\infty(B_i).$$

When $m = 2$ this homomorphism can be pictured as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S_0^\infty(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & & & \\ & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & & & \\ & \searrow \partial_2 & \oplus & & & & \\ S_1^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & \\ & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & \\ S_2^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_1^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ \tilde{C}_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{C}_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \tilde{C}_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0 \end{array}$$

Proposition 28. *For every $j = 0, \dots, m$*

$$\sum_{q=0}^j \partial_q \partial_{j-q} = 0.$$

Proof: The case $j = 0$ follows from Lemma 18 and Lemma 23. Now, let $\sigma \in S_p^\infty(B_i)$ be a singular C_p -space of B_i . Since $\partial_q(\partial_{j-q}(\sigma)) = 0$ if $j > i$ we will assume that $1 \leq j \leq i$. To simplify the notation we give the following computation in terms of abstract topological chains. This is sufficient because in $\tilde{C}_*(f)$ the only map allowed from these fibered products is the endpoint map ∂_+ composed with the projection map onto the last component. We will also assume that for every $i = 0, \dots, m$ all the components of B_i are of the same dimension b_i ; in general, the components need to be grouped by their dimension and the computation repeated on each group.

When $q = 0$ we use Definitions 17, 22, and 27 to compute as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(\partial_j(P)) &= \partial_0(P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+i-1} (\partial P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) + (-1)^{p+b_i} P \times_{B_i} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+i-1} \partial P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) + \\ &\quad (-1)^{2p+2b_i+2i-1} \sum_{i-j < n < i} P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_n) \times_{B_n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_n, B_{i-j}) \end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq q \leq j-1$, then by Definition 27

$$\partial_q(\partial_{j-q}(P)) = P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j+q}) \times_{B_{i-j+q}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i-j+q}, B_{i-j})$$

and if $q = j$, then

$$\partial_j(\partial_0(P)) = (-1)^{p+i} \partial P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}).$$

Summing these expressions gives the desired result. □

Corollary 29. *The pair $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ is a chain complex, i.e. $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.*

5.2. Orientations. In this subsection we describe an explicit set of orientations on the elements of C_p .

Recall the assumption that every critical submanifold B and every negative normal bundle $\nu_*^-(B)$ are oriented. For any $p \in B$, the relation

$$T_p M = T_p B \oplus \nu_p^+(B) \oplus \nu_p^-(B)$$

determines an orientation on $\nu_p^+(B)$. The stable and unstable manifolds are oriented by requiring that the injective immersions $E^+ : \nu_*^+(B) \rightarrow W^s(B)$ and $E^- : \nu_*^-(B) \rightarrow W^u(B)$ are orientation preserving (see Theorem 8). If $N \subseteq M$ is an oriented submanifold, then the normal bundle of N is oriented by the relation $T_x(N) \oplus \nu_x(N) = T_x(M)$

for all $x \in N$. For any two connected critical submanifolds B and B' , the orientation on $W(B, B') = W^u(B) \pitchfork W^s(B')$ is determined by the relation

$$T_x(M) = T_x W(B, B') \oplus \nu_x(W^s(B')) \oplus \nu_x(W^u(B))$$

for all $x \in W(B, B')$. Picking a non-critical value a between $f(B')$ and $f(B)$ we can identify $\mathcal{M}(B, B') = f^{-1}(a) \cap W(B, B')$. An orientation on $\mathcal{M}(B, B')$ is then determined by

$$T_x W(B, B') = \text{span}((-\nabla f)(x)) \oplus T_x \mathcal{M}(B, B')$$

for all $x \in f^{-1}(a) \cap W(B, B')$. This determines an orientation on the compact manifold with boundary $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ (see for instance Section VI.9 of [14]).

Definition 30. *Suppose that B is an oriented smooth manifold without boundary and P_1 and P_2 are oriented smooth manifolds with corners. If $\sigma_1 : P_1 \rightarrow B$ and $\sigma_2 : P_2 \rightarrow B$ are smooth maps that intersect transversally and stratum transversally, then the orientation on the smooth manifold with corners $P_1 \times_B P_2$ is defined by the relation*

$$(-1)^{(\dim B)(\dim P_2)} T_*(P_1 \times_B P_2) \oplus (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)^*(\nu_*(\Delta(B))) = T_*(P_1 \times P_2),$$

where $\nu_*(\Delta(B))$ denotes the normal bundle of the diagonal in $B \times B$.

Note: Lemma 16 extends to the category of smooth manifolds with corners under some additional assumptions; see the end of this section for more details. Also, the normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta(B) \subset B \times B$ pulls back to the normal bundle of the fibered product $P_1 \times_B P_2$ in $P_1 \times P_2$ via $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 : P_1 \times P_2 \rightarrow B \times B$.

Lemma 31. *The above orientation on fibered products of transverse intersections of smooth manifolds with corners is associative, i.e.*

$$(P_1 \times_{B_1} P_2) \times_{B_2} P_3 = P_1 \times_{B_1} (P_2 \times_{B_2} P_3)$$

as oriented smooth manifolds with corners.

Proof: The proof is a straightforward computation. The sign $(-1)^{(\dim B)(\dim P_2)}$ is needed to prove associativity. □

We will see in the proof of Lemma 24 that Definition 30 applies to the fibered products in C_p , and hence by Lemma 31 there is a well-defined orientation on the components of

$$Q \times_{B_{i_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}) \times_{B_{i_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_2}, B_{i_3}) \times_{B_{i_3}} \cdots \times_{B_{i_{n-1}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_{n-1}}, B_{i_n})$$

in C_p .

Note: The boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ consists of fibered products of compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines by Theorem 19. These fibered products are oriented by Definition 30. The boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ also inherits an orientation from the

orientation on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ (see for instance Lemma VI.9.1 of [14]). These two orientations can be compared using Proposition 2.7 of [4] which says that the gluing map is orientation reversing. We will always use the orientation given by Definition 30.

5.3. Degenerate and non-degenerate singular topological chains. The Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is defined as the quotient of the chain complex $(\tilde{C}_*(f), \partial)$ by the degenerate singular topological chains.

Definition 32 (Degeneracy Relations for the Morse-Bott-Smale Chain Complex). *Let $\sigma_P, \sigma_Q \in S_p^\infty(B_i)$ be singular C_p -spaces in B_i and let $\partial Q = \sum_j n_j Q_j \in S_{p-1}$. For any map $\alpha : P \rightarrow Q$, let $\partial_0 \sigma_Q \circ \alpha$ denote the formal sum $(-1)^{p+i} \sum_j n_j (\sigma_Q \circ \alpha)|_{\alpha^{-1}(Q_j)}$. Define the subgroup $D_p^\infty(B_i) \subseteq S_p^\infty(B_i)$ of **degenerate singular topological chains** to be the subgroup generated by the following elements.*

- (1) *If α is an orientation preserving homeomorphism such that $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_0 \sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \partial_0 \sigma_P$, then $\sigma_P - \sigma_Q \in D_p^\infty(B_i)$.*
- (2) *If P is a face of I^N and σ_P does not depend on some free coordinate of P , then $\sigma_P \in D_p^\infty(B_i)$ and $\partial_j(\sigma_P) \in D_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.*
- (3) *If P and Q are connected components of some fibered products and α is an orientation reversing map such that $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_0 \sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \partial_0 \sigma_P$, then $\sigma_P + \sigma_Q \in D_p^\infty(B_i)$.*
- (4) *If Q is a face of I^N and R is a connected component of a fibered product*

$$Q \times_{B_{i_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_1}, B_{i_2}) \times_{B_{i_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_2}, B_{i_3}) \times_{B_{i_3}} \cdots \times_{B_{i_{n-1}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_{i_{n-1}}, B_{i_n})$$

such that $\deg R > \dim B_{i_n}$, then $\sigma_R \in D_r^\infty(B_{i_n})$ and $\partial_j(\sigma_R) \in D_{r+j-1}^\infty(B_{i_n-j})$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m$.

- (5) *If $\sum_\alpha n_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \in S_*(R)$ is a smooth singular chain in a connected component R of a fibered product (as in (4)) that represents the fundamental class of R and*

$$(-1)^{r+i_n} \partial_0 \sigma_R - \sum_\alpha n_\alpha \partial(\sigma_R \circ \sigma_\alpha)$$

is in the group generated by the elements satisfying one of the above conditions, then

$$\sigma_R - \sum_\alpha n_\alpha (\sigma_R \circ \sigma_\alpha) \in D_r^\infty(B_{i_n})$$

and

$$\partial_j \left(\sigma_R - \sum_\alpha n_\alpha (\sigma_R \circ \sigma_\alpha) \right) \in D_{r+j-1}^\infty(B_{i_n-j})$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Note: Condition 3 does not apply to the p -faces of I^N . If we allowed condition 3 to apply to the p -faces of I^N , then we could combine conditions 1 and 3. However,

the resulting chain complex would then reduce to a quotient of the chain complex of smooth singular cubes when $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a constant function (see Example 36).

Lemma 33. *For any $i, j = 0, \dots, m$ there is an induced homomorphism*

$$\partial_j : S_p^\infty(B_i)/D_p^\infty(B_i) \rightarrow S_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})/D_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j}).$$

Proof: Let $\sigma_P : P \rightarrow B_i$ be a singular C_p -space in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$ such that $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$ and $\partial_0 \sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \partial_0 \sigma_P$ for some singular C_p -space $\sigma_Q : Q \rightarrow B_i$ in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$ and some orientation preserving homeomorphism $\alpha : P \rightarrow Q$. By Proposition 28, $\partial_0^2 \sigma_Q = \partial_0^2 \sigma_P = 0$ and hence, $\partial_0(\partial_0 \sigma_Q) \circ \alpha = \partial_0(\partial_0 \sigma_P)$. Thus, $\partial_0(\sigma_P - \sigma_Q) = \partial_0 \sigma_P - \partial_0 \sigma_Q \in D_{p-1}^\infty(B_i)$. Now assume that $1 \leq j \leq i$ and recall that $\partial_j(\sigma_P)$ is the map

$$\partial_j(\sigma_P) : P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_{i-j}$$

where the fibered product is taken over $\sigma_P : P \rightarrow B_i$ and $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow B_i$. The map $\beta : P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) \rightarrow Q \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ defined by $\beta(p, \gamma) = (\alpha(p), \gamma)$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism that satisfies $\partial_j(\sigma_Q) \circ \beta = \partial_j(\sigma_P)$ since $\sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \sigma_P$. By Definition 17,

$$\partial(P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})) = \partial P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) + (-1)^{p+b_i} P \times_{B_i} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$$

and

$$\partial(Q \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})) = \partial Q \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j}) + (-1)^{p+b_i} Q \times_{B_i} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$$

The assumption $\partial_0 \sigma_Q \circ \alpha = \partial_0 \sigma_P$ implies that $\partial_0(\partial_j(\sigma_Q)) \circ \beta = \partial_0(\partial_j(\sigma_P))$, and thus $\partial_j(\sigma_P - \sigma_Q) = \partial_j \sigma_P - \partial_j \sigma_Q \in D_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$ by the first condition for degeneracy. This completes the proof for the first case. The proof for the third case is similar.

Now assume that $\sigma_P : P \rightarrow B_i$ is a singular C_p -space in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$ where P is a face of I^N and σ_P does not depend on some free coordinate x_j . Then in the sum for $\partial_0 \sigma_P$ the term $\sigma_P|_{x_j=0} - \sigma_P|_{x_j=1} \in D_{p-1}^\infty(B_i)$ because it satisfies the first condition for degeneracy, and the rest of the terms are all independent of the coordinate corresponding to x_j and thus satisfy the second condition for degeneracy. Thus, $\partial_0 \sigma_P \in D_{p-1}^\infty(B_i)$. If $j > 0$, then the second condition for degeneracy implies that $\partial_j(\sigma_P) \in D_{p+j-1}^\infty(B_{i-j})$. This completes the proof for the second case of Definition 32. The fourth and fifth cases follow immediately from the definition. \square

Definition 34. *Define*

$$C_p(B_i) = S_p^\infty(B_i)/D_p^\infty(B_i)$$

to be the group of **non-degenerate** smooth singular topological chains in $S_p^\infty(B_i)$. The group $C_k(f)$ of **k -chains** in the Morse-Bott chain complex of f is defined to be

the group of non-degenerate smooth singular topological chains of Morse-Bott degree k , i.e.

$$C_k(f) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m C_{k-i}(B_i) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-i}^\infty(B_i)/D_{k-i}^\infty(B_i).$$

The boundary operator in the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex

$$\partial : \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-i}^\infty(B_i)/D_{k-i}^\infty(B_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^m S_{k-1-i}^\infty(B_i)/D_{k-1-i}^\infty(B_i)$$

is defined to be $\partial = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \partial_j$ where ∂_j is the induced homomorphism from Lemma 33.

When $m = 2$ this homomorphism can be pictured as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S_0^\infty(B_2)/D_0^\infty(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & & \oplus & & & \\
 S_1^\infty(B_1)/D_1^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_1)/D_0^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_2} & \oplus & \searrow^{\partial_1} & \oplus & & \\
 S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
 \end{array}$$

Corollary 35. *The pair $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is a chain complex, i.e. $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.*

Proof: This follows from Proposition 28 and Lemma 33. □

5.4. Computing Morse-Bott homology.

Example 36 (A constant function).

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a constant function on a compact oriented smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and let $N > \dim M$. Since f is constant there is only one critical submanifold $B_0 = M$, and for any $p \geq 0$ the set C_p consists of the faces of I^N of dimension p . For any $k \geq 0$ the group $\tilde{C}_k(f) = S_k^\infty(B_0)$ is the subgroup of smooth chains in the group $S_k(B)$ of continuous singular N -cube chains of degree k , $\partial_0 = (-1)^k \partial$, and ∂_j is trivial for all $j > 0$.

Conditions 1 and 2 for degeneracy in Definition 32 agree with the two conditions for degeneracy in Definition 14, and the other conditions are vacuous since $B_i = \emptyset$ for all $i > 0$. Thus, $D_k^\infty(B_0)$ is the subgroup smooth chains in the group $D_k(B_0)$ of continuous degenerate singular N -cube chains, and the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$, with its boundary operator multiplied by $(-1)^k$, is the subchain complex

of the chain complex $(S_*(B)/D_*(B), \partial_*)$ from Theorem 15 consisting of nondegenerate smooth singular N -cube chains. The proof of Theorem 15 carries over verbatim to the smooth case, and standard arguments can be used to show that the homology of the **smooth** singular cube chain complex of a smooth manifold M is isomorphic to the homology of the **continuous** singular cube chain complex, cf. Chapter 5 of [43]. Thus, the homology of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$. When $m = 2$ this chain complex can be pictured as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
\oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
\oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
\parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
\end{array}$$

Example 37 (A Morse-Smale function).

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse-Smale function on a compact oriented smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) , and let $N > \dim M$. Since the critical points of f are isolated, $\dim B_i = 0$ for all i and conditions 2 and 4 for degeneracy in Definition 32 imply that $D_p^\infty(B_i) = S_p^\infty(B_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $p > 0$. This implies that ∂_j is trivial unless $j = 1$ (see Lemma 26).

Moreover, the first condition for degeneracy in Definition 32 implies that $C_k(f) = S_0(B_k)/D_0(B_k)$ is isomorphic to the free abelian group generated by the critical points of index k for all $k = 0, \dots, m$. If $q \in B_k$ is a critical point of index k , then the map ∂_1 applied to q :

$$\partial_1(q) : q \times_{B_k} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_k, B_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_k, B_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_{k-1}$$

counts the number of gradient flow lines (with sign) between q and the critical points in B_{k-1} , i.e.


$$\partial_1(q) = \sum_{p \in B_{k-1}} n(q, p) p \in C_{k-1}(f)$$

where $n(q, p)$ is the number of gradient flow lines between q and p counted with the signs coming from the orientation of the zero dimensional manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_k, B_{k-1})$. Hence, $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex, and the homology of $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ by the Morse Homology Theorem. When $m = 2$ this chain complex can be pictured as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 S_0^\infty(B_2)/D_0^\infty(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & & & \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_1)/D_0^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
 \end{array}$$

Example 38 (A Morse-Bott-Smale function on S^2).

Consider $M = S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$, and let $f(x, y, z) = z^2$. Then $B_0 \approx S^1$, $B_1 = \emptyset$, and $B_2 = \{n, s\}$ where $n = (0, 0, 1)$ and $s = (0, 0, -1)$.



Note that

$$S_0^\infty(B_2)/D_0^\infty(B_2) \approx \langle n, s \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

by the first two conditions for degeneracy, and $S_p^\infty(B_2)/D_p^\infty(B_2) = 0$ for $p > 0$ by the second condition for degeneracy in Definition 32. Thus, the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex can be pictured as follows.


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \langle n, s \rangle & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & & & \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & \\
 \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & \searrow \partial_1 & \oplus & & \\
 S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
 \end{array}$$

The group $S_k^\infty(B_0)/D_k^\infty(B_0)$ is non-trivial for all $k \leq N$, but

$$H_k(C_*(f), \partial) = 0 \quad \text{if } k > 2$$

and $\partial_0 : S_3^\infty(B_0)/D_3^\infty(B_0) \rightarrow S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0)$ maps onto the kernel of the boundary operator $\partial_0 : S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0) \rightarrow S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0)$ because the homology of the bottom row in the above diagram is the smooth integral singular homology of $B_0 \approx S^1$.

The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_2, B_0)$ is a disjoint union of two copies of S^1 with opposite orientations. This moduli space can be viewed as a subset of the manifold S^2 since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_2, B_0) = \mathcal{M}(B_2, B_0)$.



Thus, there is an orientation reversing map $\alpha : n \times_n \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_2, B_0) \rightarrow s \times_s \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_2, B_0)$ such that $\partial_2(n) \circ \alpha = \partial_2(s)$. Since $\partial_0(\partial_2(n)) = \partial_0(\partial_2(s)) = 0$, the third condition for degeneracy from Definition 32 implies that

$$\partial_2(n + s) = \partial_2(n) + \partial_2(s) = 0 \in S_1(B_0)/D_1(B_0),$$

and the fifth condition for degeneracy implies that ∂_2 maps either n or s onto a representative of the generator of


$$\frac{\ker \partial_0 : S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0) \rightarrow S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0)}{\text{im } \partial_0 : S_2^\infty(B_0)/D_2^\infty(B_0) \rightarrow S_1^\infty(B_0)/D_1^\infty(B_0)} \approx H_1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$$

depending on the orientation chosen for B_0 . Therefore,

$$H_k(C_*(f), \partial) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 39 (Another Morse-Bott-Smale function on S^2).

Consider $M = S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$, and let $f(x, y, z) = -z^2$. Then $B_0 = \{n, s\}$ where $n = (0, 0, 1)$ and $s = (0, 0, -1)$, $B_1 \approx S^1$, and $B_2 = \emptyset$.



We have

$$S_0^\infty(B_0)/D_0^\infty(B_0) \approx \langle n, s \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

by the first two conditions for degeneracy, and $S_p^\infty(B_0)/D_p^\infty(B_0) = 0$ for $p > 0$ by the second and fourth conditions for degeneracy in Definition 32. Thus, the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex can be pictured as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \partial_0 & & & \\
 & & & \longrightarrow & & & \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & & 0 & & & \\
 \oplus & & & \oplus & & & \\
 S_1^\infty(B_1)/D_1^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & S_0^\infty(B_1)/D_0^\infty(B_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & & \\
 \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & \langle n, s \rangle & \xrightarrow{\partial_0} & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\
 C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & 0
 \end{array}$$

The middle row computes the smooth integral singular homology of $B_1 \approx S^1$ when $k > 1$, and hence $H_2(C_*(f), \partial) = \mathbb{Z}$. However, for $k = 1$ the kernel of $\partial = \partial_0 \oplus \partial_1 : C_1(f) \rightarrow C_0(f)$ is trivial because $\partial_1(\sigma_P)$ is non-zero for any map $\sigma_P : P \rightarrow B_1$ from a point $P \in C_0$ to B_1 . In fact, the fibered product $P \times_{B_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_1, B_0)$ consists of two points, and

$$\partial_1(\sigma_P) : P \times_{B_1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_1, B_0) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_1, B_0) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} \{n, s\}$$

represents either $n - s \in C_0(f)$ or $s - n \in C_0(f)$, depending on the orientation chosen for B_1 . (If we fix an orientation on B_1 , then the sign is determined by Definition 30). Therefore,

$$H_k(C_*(f), \partial) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5.5. Manifolds with Corners. In this subsection we recall some facts about manifolds with corners, and we prove Lemmas 24 and 44. Note that the definition of the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex does not rely on the smooth manifold with corners structure on the objects in C_p . All that is required (in addition to the abstract topological chain structure) is the notion of an orientation on these objects and some finiteness conditions. In fact, to define the Morse-Bott-Smale chain complex it would be sufficient to show that the objects in C_p are oriented topological manifolds with boundary and for any $P \in C_p$

$$P \times_{B_i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$$

has finitely many components.

However, the following example (adapted from [10]) shows that some additional structure is needed to guarantee that these fibered products have finitely many components.

Example 40. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ be given by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} (t, e^{-1/t^2} \sin(\pi/t)) & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $g : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ be given by $g(x, y) = (x, 0)$. Then f and g are smooth maps between finite dimensional compact oriented topological manifolds with boundary whose fibered product

$$[0, 1] \times_{(f,g)} [0, 1] \times [0, 1] = \{(t, t, 0) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \mid t = 0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$$

has infinitely many components.

Note that this example also shows that the fibered product of two finite CW-complexes might not be a CW-complex, and the fibered product of two finite simplicial complexes might not be a finite simplicial complex. So, a category with some additional structure is needed in order to prove that the fibered products of interest for Morse-Bott homology have finitely many components. An analysis of the above example shows that the failure of this fibered product to have finitely many components is due to a lack of transversality. Thus, the category of compact smooth manifolds with corners is a good candidate for proving the required finiteness conditions. Other choices are possible, including the category of compact manifolds with corners of class C^s where $s \geq 1$.

Recall that a manifold with corners of dimension m is a Hausdorff topological space X such that every point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U_x \subseteq X$ that is locally homeomorphic via a chart $\psi_x : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m$ to some open subset of $\mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m = \mathbb{R}^r \times [0, \infty)^{m-r}$. A manifold with corners is said to be of class C^s if and only if for any two charts $\psi_x : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m$ and $\psi_y : U_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m$ the composition $\psi_x \circ \psi_y^{-1} : \psi_y(U_x \cap U_y) \rightarrow \psi_x(U_x \cap U_y)$ extends to a map of class C^s between open sets of \mathbb{R}^m . We will assume that $s \geq 1$, and if $s = \infty$, then we will call X a smooth manifold with corners.

If $\psi_x : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m$ is a local chart on X such that $\psi_x(x) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}_{(r)}^m$, then the number r does not depend on the choice of the chart ψ_x and is called the **index** of x in X , denoted $\text{ind}(X, x)$. A manifold with corners X is stratified by manifolds (without boundary) X_0, X_1, \dots, X_m defined by

$$X_r = \{x \in X \mid \text{ind}(X, x) = r\}$$

for all $r = 0, \dots, m$. A connected component of X_r is called an r -**stratum** of X , and a subset of X that is an r -stratum for some r is called a **stratum** of X . The set X_m is the **interior** of X , and the set $X - X_m$ is called the **boundary** of X . For more details see [32], [34], or [38].

The strata of the compact smooth manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ can be described as follows. To simplify the notation assume that the components of B_i are all of dimension b_i , which implies that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B_i, B_{i-j})$ has dimension $m = b_i + j - 1$. The strata are then the connected components of the sets

$$X_{m-r} = \bigcup_{0 < j_1 < \dots < j_r < j} \mathcal{M}(B_i, B_{i-j_1}) \times_{B_{i-j_1}} \mathcal{M}(B_{i-j_1}, B_{i-j_2}) \times_{B_{i-j_2}} \dots \times_{B_{i-j_r}} \mathcal{M}(B_{i-j_r}, B_{i-j})$$

where $r = 0, \dots, j-1$, and the union is taken over all increasing sequences of integers $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r$ between 1 and $j-1$. Note that $X_m = \mathcal{M}(B_i, B_{i-j})$, and if $1 \leq r \leq j-1$, then the set X_{m-r} consists of those piecewise gradient flow lines that pass through exactly r intermediate critical submanifolds.

We will use the following theorem (see Theorem 3 of [38]).

Theorem 41. *Let X and Y be C^s manifolds with corners, where $s \geq 1$. Let $A \subseteq Y$ be a C^s submanifold with corners, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a local C^s map, which preserves local facets relatively to A and intersects A transversally and stratum transversally. Then either $f^{-1}(A) = \emptyset$, or*

- (1) $f^{-1}(A)$ is a C^s submanifold with corners of X , and
- (2) $\dim X - \dim f^{-1}(A) = \dim Y - \dim A$, and
- (3) $\text{ind}(X, x) - \text{ind}(f^{-1}(A), x) = \text{ind}(Y, f(x)) - \text{ind}(A, f(x))$ for all $x \in f^{-1}(A)$.

For a definition of “local facets” see [38]. We will not define local facets here because we will only apply this theorem in the case where Y is a manifold without boundary, and the local facets condition is always satisfied in that case. The assumption that f intersects A **stratum transversally** means that for any $x \in f^{-1}(A)$ we have

$$df_x(\hat{T}_x X) + \hat{T}_y A = \hat{T}_y Y$$

where $y = f(x)$ and $\hat{T}_x X$ denotes the tangent space of the stratum containing $x \in X$. Similarly, we will say that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a **stratum submersion** at $x \in X$ if and only if df_x maps $\hat{T}_x X$ onto $\hat{T}_y Y$ where $y = f(x)$. Note that if f is a stratum submersion at $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq Y$ is any submanifold with corners containing y , then f intersects A stratum transversally.

Lemma 42. *For any two connected critical submanifolds B and B' , the beginning point map*

$$\partial_- : \mathcal{M}(B, B') \rightarrow B$$

is a submersion.

Proof: Let B be a connected critical submanifold of a Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of dimension b and index λ_B . By Theorem 8 the beginning point map

$$\partial_- : W^u(B) \rightarrow B$$

is a locally trivial fiber bundle, and if B' is any other critical submanifold, then either $W(B, B') = \emptyset$ (in which case the lemma holds trivially) or $W(B, B')$ is a manifold of dimension $\lambda_B - \lambda_{B'} + b$ (by Lemma 10).

Let $x \in B$ and let $U \subset B$ be a coordinate neighborhood of x such that the bundle $\partial_- : W^u(B) \rightarrow B$ is trivial over U . We can extend the coordinate chart U on B to a coordinate chart V on M so that in V we have local coordinates

$$\mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{\lambda_B} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-b-\lambda_B}$$

where \mathbb{R}^b represents the coordinates on B and \mathbb{R}^{λ_B} represents the coordinates along the fiber of $W^u(B)$. In these local coordinates the beginning point map $\partial_- : W^u(U) \rightarrow U$ is given by the projection map

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^{\lambda_B} \times \vec{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^b \times \vec{0} \times \vec{0}.$$

Let $\tilde{x} \in V$ be a point in the fiber above x , i.e. $\partial_-(\tilde{x}) = x$, such that $\tilde{x} \in W^s(B')$. In the local coordinates we have $T_{\tilde{x}}W^u(x) = \vec{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{\lambda_B} \times \vec{0}$, and the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition

$$(\vec{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{\lambda_B} \times \vec{0}) + T_{\tilde{x}}W^s(B') = \mathbb{R}^m \approx T_{\tilde{x}}M$$

implies that we can find a smooth section $s : U' \rightarrow V$ of the bundle $\partial_- : W^u(B) \rightarrow B$ on an open set $U' \subseteq U$ containing x such that $s(x) = \tilde{x}$ and $s(z) \in W^s(B')$ for all $z \in U'$. The image of the section $s : U' \rightarrow V$ is in $W(B, B') = W^u(B) \cap W^s(B')$ by construction, and diffeomorphic to the open set $U' \subseteq B$ via the beginning point map $\partial_- : W^u(U') \rightarrow U'$. This shows that the beginning point map

$$\partial_- : W(B, B') \rightarrow B$$

is a submersion at \tilde{x} , and using the diffeomorphisms determined by the gradient flow we see that the beginning point map is a submersion at every point $\tilde{x} \in W(B, B')$.

If we pick an appropriate level set $f^{-1}(a)$ between B and B' , then we can identify

$$\mathcal{M}(B, B') = W(B, B') \cap f^{-1}(a).$$

This shows that

$$\partial_- : \mathcal{M}(B, B') \rightarrow B$$

is a submersion because the level sets are transverse to the gradient flow. □

Corollary 43. *For any two connected critical submanifolds B and B' , the beginning point map*

$$\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \rightarrow B$$

is a submersion and a stratum submersion.

Proof: Since B is a manifold without boundary, $\hat{T}_y B = T_y B$ for all $y \in B$. Hence, it suffices to show that $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \rightarrow B$ is a stratum submersion. The boundary of

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is stratified by fibered products of moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of the form

$$\mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2) \times_{B_2} \cdots \times_{B_n} \mathcal{M}(B_n, B')$$

for some intermediate critical submanifolds B_1, \dots, B_n . To see that these strata are smooth manifolds without boundary assume for the purpose of induction that

$$\mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2) \times_{B_2} \cdots \times_{B_{n-1}} \mathcal{M}(B_{n-1}, B_n)$$

is a smooth manifold without boundary. By Lemma 42 the map $\partial_- : \mathcal{M}(B_n, B') \rightarrow B_n$ is a submersion, and hence

$$\mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2) \times_{B_2} \cdots \times_{B_n} \mathcal{M}(B_n, B')$$

is a smooth manifold without boundary by Lemma 16.

Now let $P = \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2) \times_{B_2} \cdots \times_{B_n} \mathcal{M}(B_n, B')$ and assume for the purpose of induction that $\partial_- : P \rightarrow B_1$ is a submersion. This assumption implies that the projection

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B, B_1)$$

is a submersion. To see this, let $v \in T_x \mathcal{M}(B, B_1)$, and let $\alpha : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B, B_1)$ be a smooth curve such that $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha'(0) = v$. Since $\partial_- : P \rightarrow B_1$ is a submersion it is locally a projection map. Hence, if $\partial_+(\alpha(0)) \in B_1$ is contained in the image of $\partial_- : P \rightarrow B_1$, then for t sufficiently small we have $\partial_+(\alpha(t)) \in \partial_-(P)$. This implies that we can find a smooth curve $\gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow P$ such that $\partial_+(\alpha(t)) = \partial_-(\gamma(t))$ for t sufficiently small. The smooth curve $\alpha \times \gamma$ then lies in $\mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} P$ for t sufficiently small and satisfies $d\pi_1(\alpha'(0) \times \gamma'(0)) = v$.

To complete the proof of the corollary, simply note that $\partial_- : \mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \rightarrow B$ is a submersion by Lemma 42 and hence the composition of this map with π_1 :

$$\partial_- : \mathcal{M}(B, B_1) \times_{B_1} \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2) \times_{B_2} \cdots \times_{B_n} \mathcal{M}(B_n, B') \rightarrow B$$

is also a submersion. □

Lemma 44. *If B and B' are connected critical submanifolds and $\sigma : P \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map from a compact smooth manifold with corners P , then*

$$P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$$

is a compact smooth manifold with corners.

Proof: Let $\Delta = \{(b, b) \mid b \in B\}$ be the diagonal in $B \times B$. By Corollary 43 the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \rightarrow B$ is a submersion and a stratum submersion. Hence, the map $\sigma \times \partial_- : P \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') \rightarrow B \times B$ is transverse and stratum transverse to the submanifold $\Delta \subset B \times B$. Thus,

$$P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B') = (\sigma \times \partial_-)^{-1}(\Delta)$$

is a manifold with corners by Theorem 41. The space $P \times_B \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is compact because it is a closed subset of the compact space $P \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$.

□

Proof of Lemma 24: The faces of I^N are obviously compact smooth manifolds with corners, and for any two connected critical submanifolds B and B' the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(B, B')$ is a compact smooth manifolds with corners by Theorem 20. The rest of the elements in C_p are constructed inductively from these elements, and hence Lemma 44 can be applied inductively to show that all the elements of C_p are compact smooth manifolds with corners. The orientations on these manifolds were defined previously.

□

6. INDEPENDENCE OF THE FUNCTION

In this section we prove that the homology of the Morse-Bott chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is independent of the Morse-Bott-Smale function f , and hence isomorphic to the singular homology of M with integer coefficients. The method of proof follows standard arguments found in [4], [9], [18], [19], [41], and [44]. The outline of the proof is as follows.

Given two Morse-Bott-Smale functions $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we pick a smooth function $F_{21} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ meeting certain transversality requirements such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F_{21}(x, t) &= f_1(x) + 1 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F_{21}(x, t) &= f_2(x) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$. The compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of F_{21} (the *time dependent* gradient flow lines) are used to define a chain map $(F_{21})_{\square} : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$, where $(C_*(f_k), \partial)$ is the Morse-Bott chain complex of f_k for $k = 1, 2$.

Next we consider the case where we have four Morse-Bott-Smale functions $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and we pick a smooth function $H : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ meeting certain transversality requirements such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} H(x, s, t) &= f_1(x) + 2 \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} H(x, s, t) &= f_2(x) \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} H(x, s, t) &= f_3(x) \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} H(x, s, t) &= f_4(x) - 2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$. The compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of H are used to define a chain homotopy between $(F_{43})_{\square} \circ (F_{31})_{\square}$ and $(F_{42})_{\square} \circ (F_{21})_{\square}$ where

$(F_{lk})_{\square} : C_*(f_k) \rightarrow C_*(f_l)$ is the map defined above for $k, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In homology the map $(F_{kk})_* : H_*(C_*(f_k), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f_k), \partial)$ is the identity for all k , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{12})_* \circ (F_{21})_* &= (F_{11})_* \circ (F_{11})_* = id \\ (F_{21})_* \circ (F_{12})_* &= (F_{22})_* \circ (F_{22})_* = id. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(F_{21})_* : H_*(C_*(f_1), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f_2), \partial)$$

is an isomorphism.

6.1. Time dependent gradient flow lines. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ be a smooth strictly increasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \rho(t) = -1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(t) = 1$. The function ρ determines a function on the compactified real line $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ by defining $\rho(-\infty) = -1$ and $\rho(+\infty) = 1$. (The compactified real line $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a compact smooth manifold with boundary with a single chart $h : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ given by $h(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}$, see Definition 2.1 of [41]. Note that we could choose $\rho = h$.)

Let $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Morse-Bott-Smale functions, and let $B_i^{f_1}$ and $B_j^{f_2}$ denote the set of critical points of f_1 and f_2 respectively of index $i, j = 0, \dots, m$. Let $F_{21} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is strictly decreasing in its second component such that for some large $T \gg 0$ we have

$$F_{21}(x, t) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t < -T \\ h_t(x) & \text{if } -T \leq t \leq T \\ f_2(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t > T \end{cases}$$

where $h_t(x)$ is an approximation to $\frac{1}{2}(T-t)(f_1(x) - \rho(t)) + \frac{1}{2}(T+t)(f_2(x) - \rho(t))$ that makes F_{21} smooth. (To simplify the notation in the following computations we will sometimes drop the subscript on F_{12} .)

Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the flow associated to $-\nabla F_{21}$ with respect to the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\varphi_{\alpha}(x, t) = (\gamma(\alpha), \sigma(\alpha)) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$ where

$$(\gamma'(\alpha), \sigma'(\alpha)) = \begin{cases} (-\nabla f_1(\gamma(\alpha)), \rho'(\alpha)) & \text{if } t < -T \\ (-\nabla f_2(\gamma(\alpha)), \rho'(\alpha)) & \text{if } t > T \end{cases}$$

and $(\gamma(0), \sigma(0)) = (x, t)$. The negative gradient flow $\varphi_{\alpha} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ of F_{21} determines stable and unstable manifolds in $M \times \mathbb{R}$ of the critical submanifolds of f_1 and f_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} W_F^s(B_j^{f_2}) &= \{(x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_1(\varphi_{\alpha}(x, t)) \in B_j^{f_2}\} \\ W_F^u(B_i^{f_1}) &= \{(x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} \pi_1(\varphi_{\alpha}(x, t)) \in B_i^{f_1}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ denotes projection onto the first component. These stable and unstable manifolds inherit orientations from the stable and unstable manifolds of f_1 and f_2 , and since both f_1 and f_2 satisfy the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality

condition we can choose the approximation $h_t(x)$ so that $W_F^u(B_i^{f_1}) \pitchfork W_F^s(B_j^{f_2})$ is an oriented submanifold of $M \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $i, j = 0, \dots, m$.

Definition 45. *The moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of F_{21} (the time dependent gradient flow lines) are defined to be*

$$\mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) = (W_F^u(B_i^{f_1}) \cap W_F^s(B_j^{f_2})) / \mathbb{R}$$

for all $i, j = 0, \dots, m$ where the \mathbb{R} -action is defined by the negative gradient flow.

Lemma 46. *For a generic function F_{21} satisfying the conditions listed above the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ is either empty or an oriented smooth manifold of dimension $b_i^{f_1} + i - j$.*

Proof: The dimension of $W_F^u(B_i^{f_1})$ is $b_i^{f_1} + i + 1$ and the dimension of $W_F^s(B_j^{f_2})$ is $m + 1 - j$. Thus, the dimension of the transverse intersection $W_F^u(B_i^{f_1}) \pitchfork W_F^s(B_j^{f_2})$ is

$$(b_i^{f_1} + i + 1) + (m + 1 - j) - (m + 1) = b_i^{f_1} + i - j + 1.$$

□

Note: The preceding lemma implies that $\mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) = \emptyset$ whenever $b_i^{f_1} + i < j$. This is a weaker statement than the one found in Lemma 11, where the function is assumed to satisfy the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition.

There are gluing and compactification results for time dependent gradient flow lines analogous to Theorems 19 and 20. In order to distinguish between the time independent gradient flow lines and the time dependent gradient flow lines, we will denote the moduli spaces of (time independent) gradient flow lines of f_1 by $\mathcal{M}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_1})$. Similarly, we will denote the (time independent) moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of f_2 by $\mathcal{M}_{f_2}(B_i^{f_2}, B_j^{f_2})$. The proofs of the following two fundamental theorems follow from the results in Appendix §A.2 and §A.3 of [4]. See [13], [27], and [26] for an alternate approach to these theorems.

Theorem 47 (Gluing). *For large $N \gg 0$ there are injective local diffeomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} G_L : \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_1}) \times_{B_n^{f_1}} \mathcal{M}_F(B_n^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \times (N, \infty) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \\ G_R : \mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_2}) \times_{B_n^{f_2}} \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(B_n^{f_2}, B_j^{f_2}) \times (N, \infty) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \end{aligned}$$

onto the open ends of $\mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$.

Theorem 48 (Compactification). *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$, consisting of all the piecewise gradient flow lines from $B_i^{f_1}$ to $B_j^{f_2}$ (including both time dependent and time independent gradient flow lines), which is either empty or a compact oriented smooth manifold with corners of dimension $b_i^{f_1} + i - j$. The beginning and endpoint maps extend to smooth maps on the compactified spaces.*

The compactification of the moduli spaces of time dependent gradient flow lines by piecewise gradient flow lines determines a degree and boundary operator that satisfies the axioms for abstract topological chains. To simplify the notation we will assume that for each $i = 0, \dots, m$ the components of $B_i^{f_1}$ are of the same dimension. In general one needs to group the components by their dimension and then define the degree and boundary operator on each group.

Definition 49. *The degree of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ is defined to be $b_i^{f_1} + i - j$, and the boundary operator $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ is defined to be $(-1)^{i+b_i^{f_1}}$ times the quantity*

$$\left(\sum_{n < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_1}) \times_{B_n^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_n^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) - \sum_{j < n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_2}) \times_{B_n^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_2}(B_n^{f_2}, B_j^{f_2}) \right)$$

where $b_i^{f_1} = \dim B_i^{f_1}$ and the fibered products are taken over the beginning and end-point maps ∂_- and ∂_+ . If $B_n = \emptyset$, then the corresponding compactified moduli spaces and fibered products are identified with zero. The boundary operator extends to fibered products of compactified moduli spaces via Definition 17.

The proof of the following lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 23.

Lemma 50. *The degree and boundary operator for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ satisfy the axioms for abstract topological chains, i.e. the boundary operator is of degree -1 and it satisfies $\partial \circ \partial = 0$.*

6.2. Representing chain systems. Intuitively, the chain map $(F_{21})_{\square} : \tilde{C}_*(f_1) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_*(f_2)$ should be defined as follows. Given a singular $C_p^{f_1}$ -space $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ that is transverse and stratum transverse to $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_j^{f_2}$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m$, we would like to define

$$(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m \left(\partial_+ \circ \pi_2 : P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_j^{f_2} \right) \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^m S_{p+i-j}^{\infty}(B_j^{f_2})$$

where $P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \xrightarrow{\partial_+} B_j^{f_2}$ is defined as in Lemma 26. However, fibered products of the compactified moduli spaces of **time dependent** gradient flow lines with singular cubes in the critical submanifolds of f_1 are not elements of the sets $\{C_p^{f_2}\}_{p \geq 0}$ of allowed domains for the singular topological chains in $\tilde{C}_*(f_2)$. So, to define the map rigorously we need to choose a topological chain in $S_{p+i-j}^{\infty}(B_j^{f_2})$ that represents the map $\partial_+ \circ \pi_2 : P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_j^{f_2}$. To do this we adapt the idea of representing chain systems from [9] to our setup.

For every $p \geq 0$ let C_p^F be the set of p -dimensional components of the fibered products of the compactified moduli spaces of time dependent gradient flow lines of F_{21} with elements of $\tilde{C}_*(f_1)$ that intersect the ∂_- maps transversally and stratum transversally, and let S_p^F be the free abelian group generated by the elements of C_p^F ,

i.e. $S_p^F = \mathbb{Z}[C_p^F]$. Note that for any $p \geq 0$ the elements of C_p^F are compact oriented smooth manifolds with corners, and hence they have fundamental classes in relative homology. Moreover, (S_*^F, ∂) is a chain complex of abstract topological chains by Lemma 18.

Definition 51. *A **representing chain system** for $\{C_p^F\}_{p \geq 0}$ is a family of smooth singular cubical chains $\{s_P \in S_p(P) \mid P \in C_p^F\}_{p \geq 0}$ such that*

- (1) *The image of the smooth singular cubical chain s_P in the relative cubical chain group $S_p(P, \partial P) = S_p(P)/S_p(\partial P)$ (where ∂P denotes the topological boundary of P) is a cycle that represents the fundamental class of P .*
- (2) *If $\partial P = \sum_k n_k P_k \in S_{p-1}^F$ where $n_k = \pm 1$ and $P_k \in C_{p-1}^F$, then*

$$\partial s_P = \sum_k n_k s_{P_k} \in S_{p-1}(P)$$

where ∂s_P denotes the boundary operator on smooth singular cubical chains.

Lemma 52. *There exists a representing chain system for $\{C_p^F\}_{p \geq 0}$.*

Proof: The proof is by induction on the degree p . For $p = 0$ the statement is obvious. So, assume that we have chosen representing chain systems for all the elements in C_j^F where $j < p$, and let $P \in C_p^F$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_p^F & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S_{p-1}^F & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S_{p-2}^F \\ \downarrow R_p & & \downarrow R_{p-1} & & \downarrow R_{p-2} \\ S_p(P) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S_{p-1}(P) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S_{p-2}(P) \end{array}$$

where R_{p-1} and R_{p-2} are defined by sending linear combinations of subsets of P in S_{p-1}^F and S_{p-2}^F to their representing chains and all other elements to zero.

If $\partial P = \sum_k n_k P_k \in S_{p-1}^F$, then by the induction hypothesis $R_{p-1}(\partial P) = \sum_k n_k s_{P_k}$ where $s_{P_k} \in S_{p-1}(P_k) \subseteq S_{p-1}(P)$ is a smooth singular cubical chain whose image in $S_{p-1}(P_k, \partial P_k)$ is a cycle that represents the fundamental class of P_k . Therefore, we can choose an element $s_P \in S_p(P)$ such that $\partial s_P = \sum_k n_k s_{P_k}$ and the image of s_P in $S_p(P, \partial P)$ represents the fundamental class of P . (See for instance Lemma VI.9.1 of [14].) Define $R_p(P) = s_P$.

□

6.3. The chain map $(F_{21})_\square : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$. Assume that a representing chain system $\{s_P \in S_p(P) \mid P \in C_p^F\}_{p \geq 0}$ has been chosen. The map $(F_{21})_\square : \tilde{C}_*(f_1) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_*(f_2)$ is defined as follows.

Definition 53. *If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ is a singular $C_p^{f_1}$ -space in $B_i^{f_1}$ that intersects the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ transversally and stratum transversally,*

then define

$$(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^m F_{21}^j(\sigma) \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^m S_{p+i-j}^{\infty}(B_j^{f_2})$$

where

$$F_{21}^j(\sigma) = \sum_k n_k (\partial_+ \circ \pi_2 \circ s_k)$$

and

$$\sum_k n_k s_k \in S_{p+i-j}(P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}))$$

is the representing chain for $P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ if $P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{21}^j(\sigma) = 0$ otherwise.

Note: By general transversality arguments we may assume without loss of generality that the map $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ intersects the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ transversally and stratum transversally. Also, to simplify the notation we will suppress the representing chain systems and denote the chain $F_{21}^j(\sigma) \in S_{p+i-j}^{\infty}(B_j^{f_2})$ in the preceding definition by

$$\partial_+ \circ \pi_2 : P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_j^{f_2}.$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 33.

Lemma 54. *The map $(F_{21})_{\square} : \tilde{C}_*(f_1) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_*(f_2)$ induces a map $(F_{21})_{\square} : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$.*

Proposition 55. *The map $(F_{21})_{\square} : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$ is a chain map of degree zero. That is, the map preserves degree and $\partial \circ (F_{21})_{\square} = (F_{21})_{\square} \circ \partial$.*

Proof: Let $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ be a singular $C_p^{f_1}$ -space in $B_i^{f_1}$ that intersects the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ transversally and stratum transversally. The dimension of $P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$ is $p+i-j$ by Lemma 16 and Theorem 48, and thus $\partial_+ \circ \pi_2 : P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \rightarrow B_j^{f_2}$ has Morse-Bott degree $p+i$ for all $j = 0, \dots, m$. Therefore, the map $(F_{21})_{\square} : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$ preserves degree.

We will now show that $(F_{21})_{\square}(\partial(\sigma)) = \partial(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) \in \tilde{C}_*(f_2)$. Thus $(F_{21})_{\square}(\partial(\sigma)) = \partial(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) \in C_*(f_2)$ by Lemma 33 and Lemma 54. To simplify the notation we give the following computation in terms of abstract topological chains and assume that for every $i = 0, \dots, m$ all the components of $B_i^{f_1}$ are of the same dimension $b_i^{f_1}$. In general the components need to be grouped by their dimensions and the computation repeated on each group. The abstract topological chain associated to $\partial(\sigma) \in C_{p+i-1}(f_1)$ is

$$(-1)^{p+i} \partial P + \sum_{k < i} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_k^{f_1}).$$

Hence, by Definition 53 we have $(F_{21})_{\square}(\partial(\sigma)) =$

$$(-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^m \partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k<i} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_k^{f_1}) \times_{B_k^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_k^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}).$$

Using Definition 53 again we have $(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^m P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})$, and hence by Definition 17, Definition 27, and Lemma 49

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) &= (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^m \partial(P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2})) \\ &= (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^m \left[\partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) + (-1)^{p+b_i^{f_1}} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^m \partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) + (-1)^{i+b_i^{f_1}} \sum_{j=0}^m P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \\ &= (-1)^{p+i} \sum_{j=0}^m \partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k<i} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_k^{f_1}) \times_{B_k^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_k^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{j<q} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_q^{f_2}) \times_{B_q^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_2}(B_q^{f_2}, B_j^{f_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \partial_m)((F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma)) &= \sum_{0 \leq k < j \leq m} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_2}) \times_{B_j^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_2}(B_j^{f_2}, B_k^{f_2}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{j<q} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^{f_1}, B_q^{f_2}) \times_{B_q^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_2}(B_q^{f_2}, B_j^{f_2}) \end{aligned}$$

we have $(F_{21})_{\square}(\partial(\sigma)) = (\partial_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \partial_m)((F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma)) \in \tilde{C}_*(f_2)$ and hence $(F_{21})_{\square}(\partial(\sigma)) = \partial(F_{21})_{\square}(\sigma) \in C_*(f_2)$. \square

Corollary 56. *The map $F_{21} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a homomorphism in homology*

$$(F_{21})_* : H_*(C_*(f_1), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f_2), \partial)$$

which is independent of the choice of representing chain system.

6.4. Chain homotopies from time dependent gradient flows. Assume now that we have four Morse-Bott-Smale functions $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By Proposition 55 these four functions determine chain maps


$$(F_{lk})_{\square} : C_*(f_k) \rightarrow C_*(f_l)$$

for all $k, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We will show that the moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of a function $H : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ meeting certain transversality requirements can be used to construct a chain homotopy between $(F_{43})_{\square} \circ (F_{31})_{\square}$ and $(F_{42})_{\square} \circ (F_{21})_{\square}$.

Following [4], [21], [40], and [44] we let $H : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is strictly decreasing in its last two components such that for some large $T \gg 0$ we have

$$H(x, s, t) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t < -T \\ f_2(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t < -T \\ f_3(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t > T \\ f_4(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t > T \end{cases}$$

where $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ is a smooth strictly increasing function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \rho(t) = -1$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(t) = 1$. Taking the standard metric on \mathbb{R} and the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, the gradient flow of $H : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the last two components of its domain can be pictured in $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ as follows.



Let $\varphi_{\alpha} : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the flow associated to $-\nabla H$ with respect to the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and denote the stable and unstable manifolds of the critical point sets $B_j^{f_4}$ and $B_i^{f_1}$ by

$$W_H^s(B_j^{f_4}) = \{(x, s, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_1(\varphi_{\alpha}(x, s, t)) \in B_j^{f_4}\}$$

$$W_H^u(B_i^{f_1}) = \{(x, s, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow -\infty} \pi_1(\varphi_{\alpha}(x, s, t)) \in B_i^{f_1}\}$$

where $\pi_1 : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ denotes projection onto the first component. These stable and unstable manifolds inherit orientations from the stable and unstable manifolds of f_1 and f_4 , and since both f_1 and f_4 satisfy the Morse-Bott-Smale transversality condition we can choose H so that $W_H^u(B_i^{f_1}) \pitchfork W_H^s(B_j^{f_4})$ is an oriented submanifold of $M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $i, j = 0, \dots, m$.

The moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of H are defined to be

$$\mathcal{M}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) = (W_H^u(B_i^{f_1}) \cap W_H^s(B_j^{f_4})) / \mathbb{R}$$

for all $i, j = 0, \dots, m$ where the \mathbb{R} -action is defined by the negative gradient flow, and we have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) = b_i^{f_1} + i - j + 1.$$

Moreover, there are gluing and compactification results for the moduli spaces of H similar to those stated above. Hence, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4})$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4})$, consisting of all the piecewise gradient flow lines of H from $B_i^{f_1}$ to $B_j^{f_4}$, which is a compact smooth manifold with corners. The beginning and endpoint maps ∂_- and ∂_+ extend to smooth maps on the compactified space.

Theorem 57. *The function $H : M \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a chain homotopy*

$$(F_{43})_{\square} \circ (F_{31})_{\square} - (F_{42})_{\square} \circ (F_{21})_{\square} = \partial H_{\square} + H_{\square} \partial.$$

Proof: Assume that the components of $B_i^{f_1}$ are of the same dimension and that appropriate representing chains have been chosen. The boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4})$ is $(-1)^{i+b_i^{f_1}}$ times the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^m \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{21}}(B_i^{f_1}, B_k^{f_2}) \times_{B_k^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{42}}(B_k^{f_2}, B_j^{f_4}) \\ & - \sum_{n=0}^m \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{31}}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_3}) \times_{B_n^{f_3}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{43}}(B_n^{f_3}, B_j^{f_4}) \\ & + \sum_{n < i} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_1}) \times_{B_n^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_n^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \\ & + \sum_{j < n} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_4}) \times_{B_n^{f_4}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_4}(B_n^{f_4}, B_j^{f_4}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^{f_1}$ satisfies the required transversality requirements, then $H_{\square}(\sigma) \in C_*(f_4)$ is represented by the abstract topological chain

$$\sum_{j=0}^m P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4})$$

and $\partial H_{\square}(\sigma) \in C_*(f_4)$ is represented by the abstract topological chain

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \left(\partial_0 \left(P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \right) + \sum_{k < j} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \times_{B_j^{f_4}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_4}(B_j^{f_4}, B_k^{f_4}) \right).$$

For the ∂_0 terms in the above sum we have $\partial_0 \left(P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \right) =$

$$(-1)^{p+i+1} \left(\partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) + (-1)^{p+b_i^{f_1}} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \right)$$

where $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4})$ is the sum given above. In addition, the term $H_\square(\partial\sigma)$ is represented by the abstract topological chain

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \left((-1)^{p+i} \partial P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_i^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) + \sum_{n<i} P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f_1}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_1}) \times_{B_n^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(B_n^{f_1}, B_j^{f_4}) \right).$$

Hence, $\partial H_\square(\sigma) + H_\square(\partial\sigma)$ is represented by the sum from $j = 0$ to m of the abstract topological chains

$$\sum_{n=0}^m P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{31}}(B_i^{f_1}, B_n^{f_3}) \times_{B_n^{f_3}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{43}}(B_n^{f_3}, B_j^{f_4}) - \sum_{k=0}^m P \times_{B_i^{f_1}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{21}}(B_i^{f_1}, B_k^{f_2}) \times_{B_k^{f_2}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{42}}(B_k^{f_2}, B_j^{f_4}).$$

□

Corollary 58. *For every Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a smooth function $F : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$F_* : H_*(C_*(f), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f), \partial)$$

is the identity homomorphism.

Proof: Let $F : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $F(x, t) = f(x) - \rho(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^f$ is a singular C_p^f -space in B_i^f , then

$$F_\square(\sigma) = \sum_{j \leq i} \left(\partial_+ : P \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_j^f) \rightarrow B_j^f \right)$$

since the Morse-Bott index of a Morse-Bott-Smale function is decreasing along its gradient flow lines by Lemma 11. The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_i^f)$ is diffeomorphic to B_i^f , and the beginning point map $\partial_- : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_i^f) \rightarrow B_i^f$ is the identity map with respect to this diffeomorphism. Hence,

$$P \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_i^f) \approx \{(x, y) \in P \times B_i^f \mid \sigma(x) = y\} \approx P,$$

and the endpoint map $\partial_+ : P \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_i^f) \rightarrow B_i^f$ agrees with $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^f$. Therefore,

$$F_\square(\sigma) = \sigma + \sum_{j < i} \left(\partial_+ : P \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(B_i^f, B_j^f) \rightarrow B_j^f \right).$$

Note that if f is a Morse-Smale function or a constant function, then the terms in the above sum with $j < i$ are all zero in $C_*(f)$, and thus the map $F_\square : C_*(f) \rightarrow C_*(f)$ is the identity at the level of chains.

In general, let $\tilde{F} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is strictly decreasing in its second component such that for some large $T \gg 0$ we have

$$\tilde{F}(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t < -\frac{1}{2}T \\ h_t(x) & \text{if } -\frac{1}{2}T \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}T \\ f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{2}T \end{cases}$$

where the approximation $h_t(x)$ is chosen so that the non-constant time dependent gradient flow lines of \tilde{F} all flow into $W^s(B_0^f) \times \mathbb{R}$. This is possible because $\dim W^s(B_0^f) = m$ and every piecewise gradient flow line of f can be extended to a piecewise gradient flow line that ends in B_0^f . If $\sigma : P \rightarrow B_i^f$ is a singular C_p^f -space in B_i^f , then

$$\tilde{F}_\square(\sigma) = \sigma + \left(\partial_+ : P \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{F}}(B_i^f, B_0^f) \rightarrow B_0^f \right).$$

Now, let $f_1 = f_2 = f_4 = f$, and let $f_3 \equiv 0$ be a constant function. Choose

$$F_{31}(x, t) = \begin{cases} f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t < -T \\ \mu(t)f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } -T \leq t \leq -\frac{1}{2}T \\ 0 - \rho(t) & \text{if } t > -\frac{1}{2}T \end{cases}$$

where $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a smooth decreasing function that is 1 for $t \leq -T$ and 0 for $t \geq -\frac{1}{2}T$. If we choose

$$F_{43}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0 - \rho(t) & \text{if } t < -T \\ (1 - \mu(t))f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } -T \leq t \leq -\frac{1}{2}T \\ h_t(x) & \text{if } -\frac{1}{2}T \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}T \\ f(x) - \rho(t) & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{2}T \end{cases}$$

then for all $i = 0, \dots, m$ we have diffeomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{31}}(B_i^f, M) \times_M \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{F_{43}}(M, B_0^f) \approx \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{F}}(B_i^f, B_0^f),$$

and hence, $(F_{43})_\square \circ (F_{31})_\square = \tilde{F}_\square$. Thus, if we choose $F_{21} = F_{42} = \tilde{F}$, then Theorem 57 implies that $\tilde{F}_* = \tilde{F}_* \circ \tilde{F}_*$.

If $\sum_k n_k \sigma_k$ is a cycle in $C_*(f)$, then we have

$$\tilde{F}_* \left(\left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right] \right) = \left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right] + [C]$$

where C is a sum of singular spaces in B_0^f of the form $\partial_+ : P_k \times_{B_i^f} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{F}}(B_{i_k}^f, B_0^f) \rightarrow B_0^f$. Hence,

$$(\tilde{F}_* \circ \tilde{F}_*) \left(\left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right] \right) = \left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right] + 2[C],$$

and the fact that $\tilde{F}_* = \tilde{F}_* \circ \tilde{F}_*$ implies that $[C] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{F}_* \left(\left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right] \right) = \left[\sum_k n_k \sigma_k \right],$$

and $\tilde{F}_* : H_*(C_*(f), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f), \partial)$ is the identity homomorphism. \square

Corollary 59. *For any two Morse-Bott-Smale functions $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the time-dependent gradient flow lines from f_1 to f_2 determine a canonical homomorphism*

$$(F_{21})_* : H_*(C_*(f_1), \partial) \rightarrow H_*(C_*(f_2), \partial),$$

i.e. the map $(F_{21})_$ is independent of the choice of the function $F_{21} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the representing chain system used to define the chain map $(F_{21})_\square : C_*(f_1) \rightarrow C_*(f_2)$.*

Proof: Let $f_2 = f_3 = f_4$ in Theorem 57, let $F_{21} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_{31} : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two functions that define time-dependent gradient flow lines from f_1 to $f_2 = f_3$, and let F_{22} and F_{33} be the functions from Corollary 58. Theorem 57 implies

$$(F_{33})_* \circ (F_{31})_* = (F_{22})_* \circ (F_{21})_*$$

and since $(F_{22})_* = (F_{33})_* = id$, we have $(F_{31})_* = (F_{21})_*$. \square

Corollary 60. *For any four Morse-Bott-Smale functions $f_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the canonical homomorphisms satisfy*

$$(F_{43})_* \circ (F_{31})_* = (F_{42})_* \circ (F_{21})_*$$

and

$$(F_{32})_* \circ (F_{21})_* = (F_{31})_*.$$

Proof: This follows immediately from Theorem 57 and Corollary 58. \square

Theorem 61. *The homology of the Morse-Bott chain complex $(C_*(f), \partial)$ is independent of the Morse-Bott-Smale function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore,*

$$H_*(C_*(f), \partial) \approx H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof: Let $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Morse-Bott-Smale functions. If we take $f_3 = f_1$ in Corollary 60, then we see that $(F_{12})_* \circ (F_{21})_* = (F_{11})_* = id$ by Corollaries 58 and 59. Similarly, $(F_{21})_* \circ (F_{12})_* = id$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 1–15. 83e:53037
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **308** (1983), 523–615. 85k:14006
- [3] Michèle Audin, *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, revised, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 93, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004, ISBN 3-7643-2176-8. MR2091310 (2005k:53158)
- [4] David M. Austin and Peter J. Braam, *Morse-Bott theory and equivariant cohomology*, The Floer Memorial Volume, Progr. Math., vol. 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, pp. 123–183. MR1362827 (96i:57037)
- [5] ———, *Equivariant Floer theory and gluing Donaldson polynomials*, Topology **35** (1996), 167–200. MR1367280 (97e:57037)
- [6] Augustin Banyaga and David E. Hurtubise, *The Morse-Bott inequalities via a dynamical systems approach*, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems **To appear**.
- [7] ———, *Lectures on Morse homology*, Kluwer Texts in the Mathematical Sciences, vol. 29, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2004, ISBN 1-4020-2695-1. MR2145196 (2006i:58016)
- [8] ———, *A proof of the Morse-Bott lemma*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), 365–373. MR2075744 (2005b:57062)
- [9] Jean-François Barraud and Octav Cornea, *Lagrangian intersections and the Serre spectral sequence*, Ann. of Math. (2) **166** (2007), 657–722. MR2373371 (2008j:53149)
- [10] Stefan Bauer, *Electronic posting*, Topology Listserv (2005).
- [11] Raoul Bott, *Nondegenerate critical manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 248–261. MR0064399 (16,276f)
- [12] Raoul Bott and Hans Samelson, *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 964–1029. MR0105694 (21 #4430)
- [13] F. Bourgeois, Y. Eliashberg, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, *Compactness results in symplectic field theory*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 799–888 (electronic). MR2026549 (2004m:53152)
- [14] Glen E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 139, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993, ISBN 0-387-97926-3. MR1224675 (94d:55001)
- [15] J. L. Bryant, *Triangulation and general position of PL diagrams*, Topology Appl. **34** (1990), 211–233. MR1042280 (91b:57032)
- [16] S. K. Donaldson, *Floer homology groups in Yang-Mills theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 147, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, ISBN 0-521-80803-0, With the assistance of M. Furuta and D. Kotschick. MR1883043 (2002k:57078)
- [17] Michael Farber, *Topology of closed one-forms*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 108, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, ISBN 0-8218-3531-9. MR2034601 (2005c:58023)
- [18] A. Floer and H. Hofer, *Coherent orientations for periodic orbit problems in symplectic geometry*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), 13–38. MR1200162 (94m:58036)
- [19] Andreas Floer, *An instanton-invariant for 3-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), 215–240. MR956166 (89k:57028)
- [20] ———, *Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), 513–547. 90f:58058
- [21] ———, *Symplectic fixed points and holomorphic spheres*, Comm. Math. Phys. **120** (1989), 575–611. 90e:58047
- [22] Urs Frauenfelder, *The Arnold-Givental conjecture and moment Floer homology*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2004), 2179–2269. MR2076142 (2005g:53174)

- [23] Kenji Fukaya, *Floer homology of connected sum of homology 3-spheres*, *Topology* **35** (1996), 89–136. MR1367277 (97d:57041)
- [24] Mikhael Gromov, *Filling Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* **18** (1983), 1–147. MR697984 (85h:53029)
- [25] F. Reese Harvey and H. Blaine Lawson Jr., *Finite volume flows and Morse theory*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **153** (2001), 1–25. MR1826410 (2002c:58018)
- [26] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, *A general Fredholm theory II: Implicit function theorems*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **To appear**.
- [27] ———, *A general Fredholm theory I: A splicing-based differential geometry*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **9** (2007), 841–876. MR2341834 (2008m:53202)
- [28] David E. Hurtubise, *The flow category of the action functional on $\mathcal{L}G_{n,n+k}(\mathbb{C})$* , *Illinois J. Math.* **44** (2000), 33–50. MR1731380 (2001i:57047)
- [29] ———, *Multicomplexes and spectral sequences*, arXiv:0809.1232v1 (2008).
- [30] Peter J. Kahn, *Pseudohomology and homology*, arXiv:math (2001).
- [31] Frances Clare Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984, ISBN 0-691-08370-3. 86i:58050
- [32] Janko Latschev, *Gradient flows of Morse-Bott functions*, *Math. Ann.* **318** (2000), 731–759. MR1802508 (2001m:58026)
- [33] Gang Liu and Gang Tian, *On the equivalence of multiplicative structures in Floer homology and quantum homology*, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **15** (1999), 53–80. MR1701133 (2001g:53154)
- [34] Juan Margalef Roig and Enrique Outereño Domínguez, *Differential topology*, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 173, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992, ISBN 0-444-88434-3. MR1173211 (93g:58005)
- [35] William S. Massey, *A basic course in algebraic topology*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, ISBN 0-387-97430-X. MR1095046 (92c:55001)
- [36] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, ISBN 0-8218-3485-1. MR2045629 (2004m:53154)
- [37] Jean-Pierre Meyer, *Acyclic models for multicomplexes*, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 67–85. MR486489 (80b:55012)
- [38] Lars Tyge Nielsen, *Transversality and the inverse image of a submanifold with corners*, *Math. Scand.* **49** (1981), 211–221 (1982). MR661891 (83m:57022)
- [39] Yongbin Ruan and Gang Tian, *Bott-type symplectic Floer cohomology and its multiplication structures*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), 203–219. MR1324703 (96a:57074)
- [40] Dietmar Salamon, *Lectures on Floer homology*, *Symplectic Geometry and Topology* (Park City, UT, 1997), *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 143–229. 2000g:53100
- [41] Matthias Schwarz, *Morse homology*, *Birkhäuser Verlag*, Basel, 1993, ISBN 3-7643-2904-1. MR1239174 (95a:58022)
- [42] ———, *Equivalences for Morse homology*, *Geometry and Topology in Dynamics* (Winston-Salem, NC, 1998/San Antonio, TX, 1999), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 246, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 197–216. MR1732382 (2000j:57070)
- [43] Frank W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 94, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983, ISBN 0-387-90894-3. 722297 (84k:58001)
- [44] Joa Weber, *The Morse-Witten complex via dynamical systems*, *Expo. Math.* **24** (2006), 127–159. 2243274

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802
E-mail address: banyaga@math.psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, PENN STATE ALTOONA, ALTOONA, PA
16601-3760
E-mail address: Hurtubise@psu.edu