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EXPERIMENTAL CONVECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER INVESTIGATION AROUND A FILM COOLED HIGH PRESSURE TURBINE BLADE

C. CAMCI, T. ARTS, F.A.E. BREUGELMANS
VON KARMAN INSTITUTE FOR FLUID DYNAMICS
B-1840 RHODE SAINT GENÈSE - BELGIUM

Abstract

This paper deals with an experimental heat transfer investigation around a film cooled, high pressure gas turbine rotor blade. The measurements were performed in the von Kármán Institute short duration isentropic light piston compression tube facility using platinum thin film gauges painted on a blade made of machinable glass ceramic. The coolant was ejected simultaneously through the leading edge (3 rows), the suction side (2 rows) and the pressure side (1 row). The coolant hydrodynamic behaviour is described and the effects of overall mass weight ratio, coolant to free stream temperature ratio and free stream turbulence are successively investigated.

List of Symbols

- blade chord
- mean value of the discharge coefficient
- exit hole diameter
- exit hole diameter of the shaped hole
- convective heat transfer coefficient
- incidence angle
- leading edge ejection rows
- Mach number
- blowing rate
- mass flow rate
- pressure side ejection row
- pressure
- Reynolds number
- suction side ejection rows
- curvilinear coordinate measured from row LM,
- along the blade surface (+ along the suction side - along the pressure side)
- temperature
- reference temperature (= 290 K)
- free stream turbulence intensity (\(=\sqrt{u'^2/\bar{u}^2}\))
- velocity
- fluctuating component of velocity

Subscripts

- coolant flow condition
- free stream flow condition
- total condition
- isentropic

1. INTRODUCTION

The most classical way to improve the thermal efficiency of a double/stratified cycle is to increase the turbine entry temperature and pressure ratio. As a result, specific fuel consumption, size and weight of aero-engines have been significantly reduced during the last two decades. A 25/1 pressure ratio and a 1800 K turbine entry temperature are typical values observed in high performance jet engines [1]. However, these inlet free stream conditions are limited by material properties and an efficient blade cooling is most often required. Over the last years, a popular method to overcome the high temperature operation problems has been discrete hole film cooling.

In the severe engine environment of a film cooled airfoil, the large temperature differences existing between the mainstream and the blade surface induce a wall temperature pattern quite different from an adiabatic distribution. Considering the important spatial temperature variations due to internal cooling passages and the strongly varying heat flux distribution downstream of an ejection site, the most representative heat transfer quantity seems to be the convective heat transfer coefficient \(h\), defined from the local wall heat flux, the mainstream recovery temperature and the local wall temperature, for given values of the blowing rate and the coolant temperature. As a matter of fact, either an experimental or a numerical determination of \(h\) is essential to perform any detailed heat conduction or thermal stress analysis.

Detailed short duration heat transfer measurements along the suction side of a film cooled rotor blade were performed by the present authors [2]. A numerical prediction of the wall heating rates, with and without film cooling, was attempted and used was made of a two dimensional boundary layer code [3] using an experimentally determined mixing length augmentation model. In spite of the simplicity of the numerical approach, computed and measured results compared quite well in a rather large range of blowing rates and temperature ratios. A detailed experimental heat transfer investigation around the leading edge of the same profile was also conducted by the present authors [4]. The effects of mass weight ratio, coolant temperature and incidence angle were successively investigated. Several other turbine blade film cooling studies are available in the literature (e.g., [5,6]). Quantities such as adiabatic wall temperature \(T_{wall}\) and adiabatic wall effectiveness \(\eta_{wall}\), directly related to an adiabatic wall configuration, have almost always been presented. Some typical examples in this research area are a detailed interpretation of curvature effects on the coolant film behaviour [7], the effect of curvature on \(\eta_{wall}\) [8], the rotational effects on film cooling [9], etc.

The aim of the present heat transfer investigation is to look at the multi-location, discrete hole film cooling of a high pressure rotor blade mounted in a 6-blade, stationary, linear cascade arrangement and submitted to correctly simulated flow conditions, i.e., Mach and Reynolds numbers as well as free stream wall/coolant temperature ratios. The mainstream flow generated in the VKI isentropic light piston compression tube and the coolant is ejected simultaneously through the leading edge, the suction side and the pressure side. The hydrodynamic behaviour of the coolant plenum chambers and the heat transfer evolution without and with film cooling were successively investigated over a wide range of coolant to free stream mass weight and temperature ratios. The influence of free stream turbulence on film cooling heat transfer.
was also considered.

2. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

2.1 Test facility

A short duration measurement technique was applied and use was made of the VKI isentropic compression tube facility. The operation principles of this kind of tunnel were developed by Schultz et al. [10] about 10 years ago. The VKI CT-2 facility [11] (Fig. 1), constructed in 1978, consists of a 5 m long, 1 m

FIGURE 1 - VKI isentropic compression tube facility

diameter cylinder containing a light weight piston, driven by the air of a high pressure reservoir. This cylinder is isolated from the test section by a fast opening slide valve. As the piston moves, the gas in front of it is nearly isentropically compressed until it reaches the pressure, and hence temperature, levels defined by the operator. The fast opening valve is then actuated by means of a detonator, allowing this pressurized air to flow through the test section. Constant free stream conditions are maintained in the test section until the piston completes its stroke. The maximum test section dimensions are 250 x 100 mm². The free stream gas conditions can be varied between 300 and 600 K and 0.5 and 7 bar. A 5 m³ dump tank allows downstream pressure adjustments between 0.1 and 4 bar. A typical test duration is about 400...500 ms. Further details about this facility and its operating principles are described in [10,11,12,13].

2.2 Data acquisition facility

All the pressure, temperature and heat flux measurements were directly acquired by a digital PDP 11/34 computer by means of a high speed data acquisition system. This unit, designed and built at VKI, is characterized by three separate sections. The first one consists of 24 analog circuits, which provide the transformation of the heat flux gauge signals, proportional to the wall temperature into signals proportional to the wall heat flux. The second section is composed of a series of 48 amplifiers and low-pass filters. The last section consists of three analog to digital converters, a multiplexer and a buffer. The signals are digitized using 12 bit words. This data acquisition facility can operate on 48 channels, with a maximum sampling frequency as high as 500 kHz. For the present measurements, the sampling rate was selected to be 1 kHz.

2.3 Model description

All measurements reported in this paper were carried out on the same rotor blade section as tested by Consigny & Richards [14]. The cascade geometry is fully described in this reference and the cooling configuration is summarized in Figure 2. The blade instru-

FIGURE 2 - Cascade geometry and cooling configuration

mentation for heat flux measurements was milled from "Macor" glass ceramic and 45 platinum thin film sensors were painted on its surface (Fig. 3). Three rows of cooling holes (d=0.8 mm, s/c=0.031, 0.0, +0.031) are located around the leading edge (rows LP, LM, LS); the row and

FIGURE 3 - Heat transfer instrumented blade

hole spacing are both 2.48 mm. The holes are spanwise angled at 30° from the tangential direction. Two staggered rows of shaped holes (d=0.8 mm, s/c=0.206,0.237) are located on the suction side (rows S); the row and hole spacing are respectively 2.48 mm and 2.64 mm. One row of shaped holes (d=0.8 mm, s/c=0.315) is located on the pressure side (row P); the hole spacing is 2.64 mm. Three separate cavities are drilled along the blade height; they act as independent coolant plenum chambers. The coolant flow is supplied by a regenerative type cryogenic heat exchanger allowing a correct simulation of the coolant to free stream temperature ratio. Pressure tappings and miniature thermocouples provide continuously the coolant character-
istics at the 3 numbers inlet and outlet.

2.4 Measurement technique

The local wall heat flux is deduced from the corresponding time-dependent surface temperature evolution, provided by the thin films. The wall temperature-wall heat flux conversion is obtained from an electrical analogy, simulating a one-dimensional semi-infinite body configuration. A detailed description of this transient technique is given in references 15 and 13. The convective heat transfer coefficient is defined as the ratio of the measured wall heat flux and the difference between the free stream recovery and the wall temperatures. A recovery factor equal to 0.895 is used, as if the boundary layer on the blade surface was turbulent everywhere.

The uncertainties on the different quantities measured have been estimated as follows [4]:

\[ h = 1000 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}, \text{ except for the two sources located in between rows LM,LF,LS} \]

\[ p = 2200 \text{ mm Hg}, \text{15 mm Hg} \]

\[ T = 100 \text{ K}, \text{+0.5 K} \]

\[ 
 \frac{u}{U_{in}} = 0.02, \text{0.005 kg/s} \]

\[ Re/\nu = 25, \text{+0.1} \]

2.5 Free stream turbulence generation

The free stream turbulence was generated by a grid of spanwise-oriented bars. The turbulence intensity was varied by displacing the grid upstream of the model; a maximum of 5.2% was obtained. The turbulence level of this facility is about 0.8%. The turbulence level defined in the present paper as \( \sqrt{u'^2}/u \) was measured using a VTI manufactured constant temperature hot wire probe.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE MAINSTREAM FLOW

The isentropic Mach number distribution measured along the profile at zero incidence is shown in figure 4. The isentropic inlet and outlet Mach numbers are respectively equal to 0.231 and 0.825. The flow accelerates quite regularly along the suction side up to transonic conditions close to the trailing edge. Along the pressure side, a velocity peak is predicted at \( S/C=0.08 \). Far downstream, a favourable pressure gradient accelerates the flow up to the trailing edge. Because of the relatively small leading edge radius and fast response instrumentation requirements, detailed static pressure measurements near the stagnation point could unfortunately not be carried out. A two-dimensional inviscid time marching code [26] provides a quite valuable prediction of this velocity distribution (Fig. 4). However, because of the weakness of this approach to accurately model a very low velocity region, a singularity method [17] was applied around the leading edge in order to obtain a better estimation of the stagnation point position. At zero incidence, the latter was calculated to be at \( S/C = 0.019 \). In between rows LM and LP, this suggests that, when no coolant is ejected through the leading edge, rows LM and LS may be considered as roughness elements to be felt by the suction side boundary layer whereas, along the pressure surface, the boundary layer behaviour would only be affected by row LP.

4. HEAT TRANSFER WITHOUT FILM COOLING

![Fig. 4 - Blade velocity distribution](image)

![Fig. 5 - Heat transfer without film cooling](image)

**Effect of Reynolds number**

The convective heat transfer coefficient distributions measured at zero incidence, and without any coolant ejection, are shown in figure 5 for several free stream inlet Reynolds number values. A possible undesirable free stream air circulation was avoided by filling the three plenum chambers with flexible inserts. In the absence of the latter, as was demonstrated from oil flow visualizations [10], free stream air enters into the leading edge plenum through row LM depending upon the local static pressures, it is ejected through rows LS and LP and strongly influences the local heat transfer rate.

The highest wall heating rates are measured in the leading edge region. The stagnation point heat transfer coefficient is proportional to the quantity \( T_w/Re/2 \) as has been demonstrated by several experimenters [19,20]; a detailed investigation of the heat transfer around the leading edge of the present model, with and without cooling, is described in reference 4. Figure 6 demonstrates a definite influence of the existence of rows LM and LS on the transitional heat transfer distribution between \( S/C=0.0 \) and 0.22 (suction surface ejection site). The comparison of the present data with those obtained by Cominy & Richards [14] around an identical but smooth, uncooled profile shows an earlier boundary layer transition, induced by the presence of the cooling rows LM and LS. A fully turbulent boundary layer is established at \( S/C=0.25 \). Along the pressure side, the tripping effect of row LP is not as obvious. When the free stream turbulence intensity is equal to 5.2%, similar heat transfer distributions are measured around the present model and the one of Cominy & Richards. As a matter of fact, the early pressure side boundary...
5. COOLANT HYDRODYNAMICS

5.1 Total coolant mass flow rate

The coolant air ejected through rows P, L, M, L, and S is delivered by a single, common reservoir, through the heat exchanger providing the required coolant to free stream temperature ratio. The total coolant mass flow rate $\dot{m}_c$, measured by means of a sonic orifice, is then shared between the suction side, leading edge and pressure side plenum chambers. The amount of coolant passing through each of these ejection sites must nevertheless imperfectly be determined in order to evaluate the local coolant to free stream mass weight ratios and blowing rates. Since the total coolant mass flow rate is inversely proportional to $f_{ref}^{1/2}$, a normalized overall mass weight ratio $\frac{\dot{m}_c}{n_{ref}}$ has been defined in order to establish a single functional dependency between the total coolant flow and the coolant to free stream pressure ratio ($p_{ref}/\rho_{ref}$). This dependency is shown in Figure 8.

As already demonstrated by several investigators [22,23], a free stream turbulence variation only affects laminar or transitional boundary layers submitted to a favourable pressure gradient along curved surfaces. This behaviour is verified in Figure 7. The effect of free stream Reynolds number is shown in Figure 5. An increase of this quantity results in an overall enhancement of the heat flux level [2,21].

5.2 Discharge coefficient

Mean values of the local discharge coefficient have been evaluated at the location of the pressure side, leading edge and suction side ejection rows from the independent film cooling investigations performed by the present authors [2,4,18]. The results of Figure 9 were obtained. Significant losses are observed across the leading edge holes compared to the two other ejection sites. These values show, however, qualitative agreement with Tillman et al. data [21] obtained for a cylinder in cross flow configuration, but in a water tunnel rather than in a high speed compressible flow environment. The relatively low Cp values obtained in the leading edge region were expected to occur because of the highly complicated

---

Fig. 6 - Heat transfer distribution without film cooling - Effect of cooling holes

Fig. 7 - Heat transfer distribution without film cooling - Effect of turbulence intensity

Fig. 8 - Normalized total coolant mass flow rate calculation

Fig. 9 - Normalized total coolant mass flow rate calculation
5.4 Local blowing rates

The local blowing rates across the different ejection rows have been determined from the independent ejection mass flow rates (Fig. 10), the corresponding ejection area (Fig. 2) as well as from the local free stream conditions (Fig. 4). Because of very small free stream mass flux rates in the leading edge region and relatively small free stream mass flux ratios along the first half of the pressure side, the rows LM and P eject the coolant at higher blowing rates (Fig. 11).

6. HEAT TRANSFER WITH FILM COOLING

The heat transfer measurements with film cooling were performed at constant downstream Reynolds (2,3210^7) and Mach (0.925) numbers. The free stream total temperature was equal to 415 K. The overall mass weight ratio was varied between 0.5% and 3.3% whereas coolant to free stream temperature ratios ranging between 0.31 and 0.70 were considered.

6.1 Heat transfer distribution downstream of row LS

The effect of overall mass weight ratio on the leading edge heat transfer distribution is shown in figures 12a,b,c. It should, however, be noted that
Fig. 12 - Heat transfer distribution with film cooling - effect of overall mass weight ratio and the uncertainty associated with the measurements obtained from the two gauges located in between rows LM, LP and LS is quite high as they are affected by undesirable conduction phenomena as well as strong deviations from the assumed one dimensional heat transfer. For low overall mass weight ratio values (0.005...0.0093), the heat transfer behaviour is quite smooth downstream of row LS whereas, for higher values (1.47... 3.03) a continuously increasing heat transfer augmentation is measured around s/C=0.08. The latter is due to a high blowing rate ejection effect across a highly convex surface.

6.2 Heat transfer distribution downstream of row LP

Just downstream of row LP, the coolant layers developing around the leading edge are expected to lift off because of a strong convex curvature effect, especially at high blowing rates. This behaviour results in a local heat transfer augmentation measured at s/C=0.8 (Fig. 12b,c) obviously function of the local blowing ratio. Downstream of the curvature inversion point, the effect of concave curvature is to induce the reattachment of the cold layers. The existence of a merging point, observed at s/C=0.16, and corresponding to tests with overall mass weight ratios equal to 0.0147, 0.0166, 0.0207 and 0.0308 confirms this assumption. A lower value of the overall mass weight ratio (< 0.010) provides a smooth heat transfer distribution (Fig. 12a).

6.3 Heat transfer distribution downstream of row S

The heat transfer distributions measured downstream of rows S at low overall mass weight ratios are shown in Figure 12a. However, the suction side of the rows at low blowing ratios blowing rate is nearly the same (0.59...0.62), a significant difference is observed in the heat transfer coefficient distribution. This might be explained by a cumulative effect, due to the action of the leading edge film, more effective for the highest value of the overall mass weight ratio. At higher overall mass weight ratios (Figs. 12b,c), a local wall heating augmentation, the strength of which being function of the local blowing rate value, occurs along the front 30 hole diameters downstream of rows S. This phenomenon is related to the very high local shear stresses and turbulent kinetic energy levels existing close to the wall. A second explanation might be the main-stream/ wall interaction resulting from a severe jet penetration with a reduced lateral spread occurring along this convex surface. More downstream, no significant differences are observed. This is explained by the fact that the efficiency of the leading edge film is...
nearly independent from the local blowing rate and does not provide significant differences further downstream.

6.4 Heat transfer distribution downstream of row P

The total ejection area was chosen to supply the coolant flow at a high blowing rate, using forced re-attachment to a concave surface considerations. At a very low overall mass weight ratio ($\approx 0.0025$), an extreme low cooling effect is observed (Fig. 12a), the jets most probably move away from the blade surface. Increasing this value up to 0.0034...0.0147 improves the cooling efficiency, the jets are pulled down to the wall (Figs. 12a,b,c). However, if the overall mass weight ratio increases to higher values, above 0.0366, the turbulence is significantly augmented in a quite pronounced way just downstream of this ejection row, leading to appreciable heat transfer augmentations. Far downstream, however, the cooling is impressively improved.

6.5 Influence of coolant temperature

Up to now, the tests were performed at a constant value of the coolant to mainstream temperature ratio ($T_{in}/T_{in}=0.7$) and only the effect of a varying overall mass weight ratio was considered. However, in a contemporary aero-engine, the coolant temperature is typically of the order of half of the mainstream temperature. In order to investigate the effect of this important parameter, measurements were performed for three different values of the coolant to free stream temperature ratio ($T_{in}/T_{in}=0.7, 0.56, 0.51$), whereas the overall mass weight ratio was kept constant. The heat transfer coefficient distributions of figure 13 were obtained.

Significant heat transfer reductions result from a lowering of the coolant temperature; only the suction side, downstream of LS, is less significantly affected, because of a too high local blowing rate, responsible for a jet separation. It is also interesting to note that downstream of rows P, LF and S, the wall heat flux augmentation, identified in a preceding section, decreases with the coolant temperature, although the local blowing rates are kept constant. This behaviour is due to the fact that a decrease of the temperature ratio results in an increase of the density ratio ($\rho_{in}/\rho_{m}$) and hence, at constant blowing rate, in a decrease of the velocity ratio ($U_{in}/U_{m}$) and moreover of the momentum flux ratio ($\rho_{in}U_{in}^{2}/\rho_{m}U_{m}^{2}$) and as a direct consequence, in a decrease of the turbulence augmentation downstream of an ejection row.

6.6 Influence of turbulence intensity

The effect of free stream turbulence intensity on the heat transfer with film cooling is shown in figure 14. The turbulence level was varied from 0.8% to 5.2% whereas the overall mass weight ratio and temperature ratio were kept constant. No significant changes in the wall heat flux are observed. This behaviour was expected because of the nature of the turbulent boundary layers developing around the blade profile.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Detailed heat transfer data have been obtained for a high pressure, film cooled rotor blade, looking at the influence of overall mass weight ratio, coolant to free stream temperature ratio and free stream turbulence intensity. The measurements were taken using the VKI short duration facility, under correctly simulated aero-engine conditions; the wall heat flux distributions were obtained from platinum thin film gauges painted onto a blade made of machinable glass ceramic. The coolant was ejected simultaneously through the leading edge (3 rows), the suction side (2 rows) and the pressure side (1 row).

The main conclusions of this investigation are:

- Without coolant ejection
  - Along the suction side, laminar to turbulent boundary layer transition is strongly influenced by the presence of the leading edge cooling holes, whereas
along the pressure side, the boundary layer behavior is dominated by the free stream pressure gradient rather than by the existence of the cooling holes.

- The influence of free stream turbulence on the heat transfer coefficient distribution is quite limited. As expected, only the laminar and transition regions, i.e., in the leading edge vicinity, are significantly affected.

b. With coolant injection

- A normalized overall mass flow ratio has been defined, and making use of the local discharge coefficient, the local coolant mass flow and blowing rates have been obtained. A detailed evaluation of the 3-plate chamber hydrodynamic behavior has been presented.

- Film cooling around the leading edge has been proved to be quite effective at low overall mass flow ratios. At higher values, local heat transfer augmentations have been measured, as expected from injection along a highly convex surface.

- Downstream of the pressure surface injection row, pronounced wall heating augmentations have been identified; a very good wall protection was nevertheless obtained further downstream.

- Downstream of the suction surface injection rows, the influence of overall mass flow ratio is limited within the first 20 hole diameters downstream of these rows. Higher blowing rates are again responsible for wall heat flux augmentations.

- A strong coolant temperature dependency on the overall heat transfer distribution has been observed whereas no significant effect of free stream turbulence was identified.
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