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Abstract

In plants, cell wall components including cellulose, hemicelluloses, and pectins interact with each other to form complex extracellular network structures that control cell growth and maintain cell shape. However, it is still not clear exactly how different wall polymers interact, how the conformations and interactions of cell wall polymers relate to wall mechanics, and how these factors impinge on intracellular structures such as the cortical microtubule cytoskeleton. Here, based on studies of Arabidopsis thaliana xxt1 xxt2 mutants, which lack detectable xyloglucan in their walls and display aberrant wall mechanics, altered cellulose patterning and biosynthesis, and reduced cortical microtubule stability, we discuss the potential relationships between
cell wall biosynthesis, wall mechanics, and cytoskeletal dynamics in an effort to better understand their roles in controlling plant growth and morphogenesis.
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Abbreviations

AFM atomic force microscopy
CESA cellulose synthase
CMF cellulose microfibril
CSCs cellulose synthase complexes
FESEM field emission scanning electron microscopy
HERK1 HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE1
MAP microtubule-associated protein
MT microtubule
PRC PROCUSTE
TEM transmission electron microscopy
WAK1 WALL ASSOCIATED KINASE1
WIS wall integrity signaling
XXT XYLOGLUCAN XYLOSYLTRANSFERASE
XyG Xyloglucan

TEXT
The primary cell walls of plants are mainly composed of cellulose, hemicelluloses and pectins.\(^1\) Xyloglucan (XyG) is the predominant hemicellulose, and is composed of a \(\beta-1,4\)-glucan backbone that is substituted with \(\alpha-1,6\)-xylosyl residues, which may be further decorated with galactose and fucose.\(^2,3\) XyG is thought to interact with cellulose to form load-bearing networks, but the detailed interacting modes of these and other wall components are not entirely clear.\(^1,4\) Several Arbidopsis thaliana
(Arabidopsis) XYLOGLUCAN XYLOSYLTRANSFERASEs (XXTs) have been identified and display enzymatic activity in vitro\textsuperscript{5,6} and \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{7} Arabidopsis double mutants lacking two of these, XXT1 and XXT2, lack detectable XyG in their walls, and display altered growth morphology including root hair defects, small leaves, short hypocotyls, and bent stems,\textsuperscript{7-9} indicating that XXT1 and XXT2 are required for XyG biosynthesis and normal plant growth.

Our recent study\textsuperscript{9} analyzed the effects of XyG deficiency on cellulose microfibril (CMF) patterning, cellulose biosynthesis by cellulose synthesis complexes (CSCs), and cortical microtubule (MT) dynamics, to investigate the links between wall synthesis, wall mechanical integrity, and cytoskeleton function. Several microscopic techniques were used to observe CMF patterns: atomic force microscopy (AFM), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and confocal microscopy with Pontamine Fast Scarlet 4B (S4B) staining\textsuperscript{10-13} revealed that CMFs in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} walls are more highly aligned and bundled than in wild type controls. We also found that S4B-stained fibers in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} hypocotyl cells, which likely represent larger bundles of cellulose, have wider spacing than wild type, consistent with previous results in roots,\textsuperscript{10} supporting the hypothesis that XyG regulates cellulose spacing. Also, the loss of XyG resulted in reduced CESA particle density, CESA particle motility, and cellulose content in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} plants.\textsuperscript{9} Because MTs direct CMF deposition by guiding CSCs in the plasma membrane\textsuperscript{14} and can respond to mechanical force\textsuperscript{15}, the growth and mechanical defects observed in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} mutants\textsuperscript{7-9} motivated us to observe MT dynamics in this mutant. We labeled MTs
by introducing the GFP-MAP4 MT marker\textsuperscript{16} into \textit{xxt1 xxt2} and wild type plants. MT patterning in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} cells differed from that in wild type cells, and MTs were more dynamic, with slower growth and faster shrinkage rates, and more sensitive to the MT-depolymerizing drug oryzalin and mechanical stress.\textsuperscript{9} Together, these data suggest that mechanical and/or signaling links between the cell wall and the MT cytoskeleton are active in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} plants.

However, the precise causal relationships between \textit{XyG}, cellulose, wall stiffness, MT stability, and the regulation of morphology remain unclear. Based on our work and previous studies of \textit{xxt1 xxt2} mutants, we propose a model to explain these relationships (Figure 1). Compared with wild type, in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} mutant plants, the loss of \textit{XyG} makes CMFs more prone to aggregate and form parallel bundles, and it is this CMF aggregation that results in mechanically weaker walls.\textsuperscript{8} Increased bundling could have this effect due to either abolition of recently-hypothesized biomechanical hotspots,\textsuperscript{4} or decreased exposure of cellulose surface area, which would cause weaker interactions between tethering matrix polysaccharides and CMFs.\textsuperscript{1,4} In addition, the unitary orientation of wall patterning in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} mutants might make their walls weaker. Distinct alterations in wall composition and ultrastructure have been implicated in determining different mechanical properties. As observed in \textit{xxt1 xxt2} mutants, \textit{mur3} mutants lacking a \textit{XyG} galactosyltransferase have reduced wall tensile strength,\textsuperscript{17} implying that \textit{XyG} galactosylation is required for wall integrity. An Arabidopsis cellulose-deficient mutant, \textit{cesa6\textsuperscript{procaestel-1}} (\textit{prc1-1}), displays decreased tensile stiffness.\textsuperscript{18} Additionally, the stems of mutants lacking \textit{PECTIN METHYLESTERASE35} show less mechanical
strength and pendant phenotypes. Decreased mechanical strength might trigger wall integrity signaling (WIS) and changes in MT stability. In xxt1 xxt2 mutants, we found that the expression levels of receptors implicated in wall integrity signaling, such as FEI1, FEI2, FERONIA, HERCULES RECEPTOR KINASE1 (HERK1) and WALL ASSOCIATED KINASE1 (WAK1), are altered. Additionally, the expression of several microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), including MAP20, MAP70-5, and CLASP have decreased gene expression in xxt1 xxt2 mutants, possibly contributing to the observed decrease in MT stability. However, we cannot exclude the effects of other MAPs on MT stability in xxt1 xxt2, since no stem bending phenotypes are observed in MAP70-5 RNAi plants or clasp-1 mutants. In several cases in plants, MT organization and stability have been linked to organ morphogenesis. Arabidopsis MAP65-1 and MAP65-2 regulate MT bundling, growth, and shrinkage and modulate cell growth and hypocotyl length. Arabidopsis spiral1 (spr1) mutants show right-handed helical growth and altered MT organization, and overexpression of MAP70-5 induces right-handed organ twisting. SPIRAL2 determines MT organization in leaf pavement cells and petiole cells by modulating MT severing.

How do changes in MT organization and/or stability translate to changes in wall synthesis and organization? Cortical MTs guide CSCs in the plasma membrane to direct CMF deposition. However, drug treatments with the cellulose synthesis inhibitors isoxaben and 2,6-dichlorobenzonitrile alter MT organization, and cortical MT orientation is also altered in two cellulose synthesis-deficient mutants, CESA6\textsuperscript{isx} and kor1-3. Thus, cellulose synthesis can feedback on MT organization, and a bidirectional
relationship may exist between MT dynamics and cellulose synthesis. We hypothesize that in xxt1 xxt2 mutants with less-stable MTs, CSC insertion in the plasma membrane and guidance by MTs is reduced, affecting CSC biosynthetic activity and ultimately resulting in less cellulose production, further reducing wall mechanical integrity. This feedback loop might ultimately inhibit cellular growth anisotropy.\textsuperscript{30,31}

Several key unanswered questions remain: 1) How does the absence of XyG result in altered stiffness? Cellulose, XyG, and pectins can all affect tissue mechanical properties, based on mutant analyses.\textsuperscript{8,18,19} However, it is difficult to determine whether a single wall component or the combined action of multiple components controls stiffness. We found that in xxt1 xxt2 mutants, both XyG and cellulose are altered,\textsuperscript{9} suggesting that changes in multiple cell wall components contribute to changes in wall stiffness in this mutant. 2) How does reduced wall stiffness trigger wall integrity signaling and changes in MT behavior, and to what extent do cortical MTs respond directly to mechanical stress?\textsuperscript{15,32} 3) What are the detailed functional mechanisms by which MTs guide CSCs? Multiple proteins, including CESA INTERACTIVE (CSI)\textsuperscript{33} and COMPANION OF CELLULOSE SYNTHASE (CC)\textsuperscript{34} proteins, are hypothesized to connect MTs and CSCs. The complexity of wall matrix polymers in composition and structure implies complex cross-linking between different cell wall components or polymer chains. Our model proposes links between cell wall biosynthesis, wall mechanical integrity, and the cytoskeleton in regulating organ morphogenesis and can serve as a basis for further investigation of these links.
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Figure 1 A proposed model of how xyloglucan affects cellulose and cortical MTs to control plant cell expansion and organ morphology. Compared with wild type (A), in xxt1 xxt2 mutants (B and C), the loss of XyG (1) promotes CMF aggregation and bundling (2), reducing wall stiffness (3). Decreased wall stiffness triggers wall integrity signaling (WIS) (4), possibly triggering changes in the expression of MT-associated proteins, resulting in unstable MTs (5). Importantly, cortical MTs can respond changes in wall mechanics directly or through modulations in MT-associated protein abundance or activity. MTs guide CSCs biosynthesis at the plasma membrane, and reductions in MT stability result in reduced cellulose synthesis (6). Together, these changes reduce growth anisotropy and alter plant organ morphology. PM, plasma membrane; XyG, xyloglucan; CSC, Cellulose Synthesis Complex; CMF, cellulose microfibril; MT, microtubule; RLK, receptor-like kinase.